Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Food Control

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/foodcont

Mercury in raw and cooked shrimp and mussels and dietary Brazilian exposure

Barbara S. Costa^{a, c}, Flávia B. Custódio^b, Valterney L. Deus^a, Daniela C.M. Hoyos^c, Maria Beatriz A. Gloria^{a, c, d,*}

^a LBqA, Faculdade de Farmácia, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Av. Presidente Antônio Carlos 6627, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, 31270-901, Brazil

^b Laboratório de Bioquímica e Toxicologia de Alimentos, Faculdade de Farmácia, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Av. Presidente Antônio Carlos 6627, Belo

Horizonte, Minas Gerais, 31270-901, Brazil

^c Escola de Veterinária, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Av. Presidente Antônio Carlos 6627, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, 31270-901, Brazil

^d Departamento de Ciências do Consumo, Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco (UFRPE), CEP 52171-900, Recife, Pernambuco, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Seafood Shellfish Mollusks Cooking Risk assessment

ABSTRACT

Seafood is the main source of dietary mercury (Hg) exposure and it can be affected by species, origin, tissue, and processing. The objective of this study was to investigate total Hg in shrimp and mussels from the retail market, compare species, body tissue distribution and cooking time, and to estimate dietary exposure. A total of 126 samples (whiteleg and Atlantic seabob shrimp and South American mussel) were analyzed. Total mercury was quantified by combustion atomic absorption spectrometry with gold amalgamation. Every sample had total Hg lower than maximum legislation levels. Higher mean levels were found in mussels compared to shrimp; and whiteleg shrimp had higher mean Hg levels compared to Atlantic seabob (p < 0.05). Mercury levels in the muscle were higher than in the exoskeleton. Boiling for up to 4 and 6 min did not affect Hg levels in shrimp and mussels (0.04–0.09 µg/kg bw for 3 portions/week consumption) was low, less than 6% of the provisional tolerable weekly intake – PTWI.

1. Introduction

In the last decades, the world per capita consumption of seafood has practically doubled. The worldwide production of seafood in 2016 was 17.1 million tons of mollusks and 7.9 million tons of crustaceans, representing 21.4% and 9.8% of all aquaculture, respectively (FAO, 2018). In fact, shellfish are valued today as they add resilience to the global food system (Suplicy, 2020). A diet high in fish and shellfish is beneficial to health (Cobb & Ernst, 2006; Lund, 2013). Seafood, including shrimp and mussels, are rich in omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids which are associated with a reduced risk of cardiovascular disease, stroke, and diabetes (Smith & Guentzel, 2010; Vilavert, Borrel, Nadal, Jacobs, & Domingo, 2017). They also have a well-balanced amino acid composition and provide significant levels of a number of other potentially protective components, including vitamins D and B12, selenium, iodine, and choline (Cobb & Ernst, 2006; Smith & Guentzel, 2010; Lund, 2013).

Maulvault, Cardoso, Nunes, & Marques, 2013; Cantoral, Batis, & Basu, 2017; Jacobs, Sioen, Jacxsens, Domingo, & Verbeke, 2017; Ralston, Kaneko, & Raymond, 2019). In addition, mussels are sources of taurine, associated with neuronal development (Lund, 2013), and they can lower the incidence of rheumatoid arthritis (Cobb & Ernst, 2006; Suplicy, 2020). However, these protective effects may be counteracted by the presence of mercury (Smith & Guentzel, 2010).

Fish are widely investigated for total mercury and methylmercury; the latter being the more toxic form; however, scarce information is available regarding mercury in shrimp and mussels. The benthic and detritivorous characteristic of shrimp makes it a possible mercury bio-accumulator and a biomarker of environmental contamination (Kaya & Turkoglu, 2017). This is also true for mussels due to their feeding characteristics as filters (Belabed et al., 2013; Ansari et al., 2016; Diop & Amara, 2016; Belivermiş et al., 2019).

In contrast to the mercury risk, shrimp and mussels can be good

E-mail address: mbeatriz@ufmg.br (M.B.A. Gloria).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2020.107669

Received 22 May 2020; Received in revised form 12 September 2020; Accepted 2 October 2020 Available online 3 October 2020

0956-7135/© 2020 Elsevier Ltd. This article is made available under the Elsevier license (http://www.elsevier.com/open-access/userlicense/1.0/).





Abbreviations: BW, body weight; DWB, dry weight basis; LOQ, limit of quantification; MeHg, methylmercury; PTWI, provisional tolerable weekly intake.

^{*} Corresponding author. LBqA, Faculdade de Farmácia, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Av. Presidente Antônio Carlos 6627, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, 31270-901, Brazil.

sources of selenium (Kehrig, Seixas, Dibenedito, & Malm, 2013; Silva, Viana, Onofre, Korn, & Santos, 2016). In fact, besides showing strong antioxidant activity, selenium is required for the activities of selenoenzymes with critical roles in fetal brain development, growth and thyroid hormone metabolism (Lund, 2013; Monastero, Karimi, Silbernagel, & Meliker, 2016; Ralston & Raymond, 2018). Methylmercury (MeHg) toxicity primarily occurs through disruption of brain selenium metabolism, which can be prevented in an excess of selenium (Se:Hg molar ratio above 1) (Bjørklund et al., 2017; Ralston & Raymond, 2018; Spiller, 2018).

Whiteleg shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*), Atlantic seabob shrimp (*Xiphopenaues kroyeri*) and the bivalve mollusk *Perna perna* – South American rock mussel (Mollusca: bivalvia) are important commercial shellfish in Brazil (MPA, 2012). Whiteleg shrimp is the major specie produced in aquaculture worldwide (FAO, 2018), and its production in Brazil is concentrated mainly in the Northeastern coast (Kubitza, 2015). Atlantic seabob shrimp has wide distribution throughout the Brazilian coast (Costa, Fransozo, Freire, & Castilho, 2007), but mainly captured in the South and Southeast (MPA, 2012). South American rock mussel is the main marine mollusk captured and cultivated in the Southern and Southeastern Brazilian coast (MPA, 2012).

The objective of this study was to determine total mercury in commercial shrimp and mussels and also to investigate the influence of some factors (species, tissue and heat treatment) on mercury level in the studied seafood. The data gathered was used to estimate the exposure of the Brazilian population to methylmercury present in shrimp and mussels using the deterministic model. In addition, the theoretical protective effect of selenium from literature data on mercury toxicity was calculated.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Experimental design

Samples of frozen whiteleg shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*), Atlantic seabob shrimp (*Xiphopenaeus kroyeri*) and South American rock mussel (*Perna perna*) were purchased at the retail market of Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil from June to December 2017. The samples were transported in isothermal boxes containing crushed ice and were taken immediately to the laboratory.

For the analysis of total mercury content, the shrimp samples were peeled and cleaned (removal of the cephalothorax) and the mussel samples were shelled. Exoskeletons of selected samples of whiteleg shrimp were kept for mercury analysis separately. All samples were minced, homogenized, and stored individually at -18 °C. A total of 126 samples were analyzed, in triplicate, with 42 samples of each species (whiteleg shrimp, Atlantic seabob shrimp and South American rock mussel).

The influence of heat treatment on the contents of total mercury in the whiteleg shrimp and mussels was investigated using three different pools for each cooking time. The boiling time was established according to culinary practices: 2 and 4 min for shrimp and 3 and 6 min for mussels. The moisture content was determined for these pools by drying samples in air circulating oven at 105 °C for 24 h (AOAC, 2016).

2.2 Mercury analysis

The total mercury content of the samples was determined using combustion atomic absorption spectrometry with gold amalgamation (Soares et al., 2018) using a Direct Mercury Analyzer® (DMA-80, Milestone, Sorisole, Italy). A 1000 \pm 2 mg/L standard solution of inorganic mercury (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO, USA) was used to prepare solutions of different concentrations (1.0–8.5 ng of mercury, six equally spaced points) using high-purity water with resistivity of 18.2 M Ω cm (Milli-Q Plus, Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA) and quartz boats for construction of the analytical curves (R² \geq 0.9969). Total mercury

concentrations were obtained by interpolation of absorbance readings at 253.7 nm in external analytical curves. The lower point of the calibration curve was considered the limit of quantification (LOQ), confirmed by six independent replicates (EC, 2002).

Samples (100 mg) were weighed directly into nickel boats and mercury was quantified in the DM Analyzer®. For quality assurance, periodically, empty nickel boats were analyzed to confirm that no residue of mercury was carried over between samples. Blank readings were typically <0.01 absorbance units, corresponding to <0.01 ng of Hg.

2.3. Estimation of Brazilian exposure to methyl mercury by shrimp and mussel consumption and potential theoretical protective effect from selenium

Chronic exposure of the Brazilian population to methylmercury present in seafood was calculated by the deterministic model (IOMC, 2008), using the equation: Exposure = [concentration of methylmercury] (µg/kg) x food consumption (kg)]/body weight (kg). Methylmercury occurrence was estimated from total mercury values assuming that 100% mercury was in the methylmercury form in shrimps and 90% in mussels considering mercury speciation data (Batista, Rodrigues, Souza, Souza, & Barbosa Jr, 2011). Data on the Brazilian consumption of shrimp and bivalve mollusks is available as microdata from a dietary survey conducted by the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE, 2011), between 2008 and 2009 for a population over 10 years old. Consumption data were obtained and evaluated with Stata® Statistical Software 16. Different consumption scenarios were considered: the mean Brazilian consumption and the theoretical consumption of different amounts of weekly portions. Body weight was derived from the IBGE survey for Brazilians older than 10 years old (63.6 kg) (IBGE, 2010).

The risk of exposure of the Brazilian population to mercury by the consumption of the analyzed seafood was characterized comparing the estimated methylmercury intakes to the provisional tolerable weekly intake (PTWI) of methylmercury of 1.6 μ g/kg body weight per week (JECFA, 2007).

2.4 Statistical analysis

The data was submitted to descriptive statistical analysis, to the Lilliefors test for probability distribution (normality test) and, subsequently, to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the means were compared by the Tukey test, at 95% probability (Sampaio, 2015), using MINITAB® 18.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Total mercury levels in shrimps and mussels

The levels of total mercury found in the samples are shown in

Table 1	
Total mercury levels in commercial shrimp and mussels.	

Seafood	Scientific name	Total mercury levels (mg/kg wb)			
		$\begin{array}{l} \text{Mean} \pm \\ \text{SD} \end{array}$	Median	Minimum	Maximum
Whiteleg shrimp	Litopenaeus vannamei	$\begin{array}{c} 0.019 \ \pm \\ 0.014^{b} \end{array}$	0.015	<loq< td=""><td>0.071</td></loq<>	0.071
Atlantic seabob	Xiphopenaeus kroyeri	0.011 ± 0.004^{c}	0.010	<loq< td=""><td>0.023</td></loq<>	0.023
Mussel	Perna perna	${\begin{array}{c} 0.031 \pm \\ 0.010^{a} \end{array}}$	0.028	0.020	0.076

SD – standard deviation (n = 42). wb – wet (weight) basis. LOQ – limit of quantification (0.010 mg/kg).

Mean values in the same column with different letters are significantly different (Tukey test, $p \leq 0.05$).

Table 1. All samples presented total mercury below the limit established by the Brazilian and international legislations (0.5 mg/kg for crustaceans, cephalopod mollusks and bivalves) (Brasil, 2013; EC, 2006). Higher total mercury was found in mussels, with values ranging from 0.020 mg/kg to 0.076 mg/kg. These levels are similar to previous studies on *Perna perna* in Brazil (Catharino, Vasconcellos, Sousa, Moreira, & Pereira, 2008; Kehrig et al., 2013; Ansari et al., 2016) and throughout the world (Belabed et al., 2013; Diop & Amara, 2016). Mussels are sessile animals that feed by water filtration, absorbing from it, the nutrients they need to survive but also contaminants (Belabed et al., 2013). Some of these studies were undertaken for environment biomonitoring purpose.

Total mercury levels in the two species of shrimps studied varied from 0.01 mg/kg to 0.07 mg/kg, similar to levels reported in Brazil (Batista, Rodrigues, Souza, Souza, & Barbosa, 2011; Kehrig et al., 2013) and worldwide (Clémens, Monperrus, Donard, Amouroux, & Guérin, 2011; Spada, Annicchiarico, Cardellicchio, Giandomenico, & Di Leo, 2012; Yu et al., 2020). Higher mean total mercury were observed for whiteleg compared to Atlantic seabob shrimp. Factors that can affect mercury are trophic level, age, as well as water and feed contamination (Koenig, Solé, Fernández-Gómez, & Díez, 2013; Rodrigues, Ferrari, Santos, & Conte, 2019). Whiteleg shrimp had higher body weight (15–20 g) compared to Atlantic seabob shrimp (5 g). It is likely that higher mercury levels are found in heavier and bigger shrimps, as reported in the literature (Koenig et al., 2013; Di Lena, Casini, Caproni, & Orban, 2018).

Total mercury levels in the fillet (muscle tissue) and in the exoskeleton (epithelial tissue) of whiteleg shrimps were compared, as both are commonly consumed. Fillet ($0.015 \pm 0.003 \text{ mg/kg}$) had significant higher total mercury than the exoskeleton ($0.009 \pm 0.003 \text{ mg/kg}$). Similar results were observed for green tiger shrimp (*Penaeus semisulcatus*) (Kaya & Turkoglu, 2017). This difference can be explained by the higher mercury affinity for the sulfhydryl protein groups – methionine and cysteine, which are abundant in muscle (Houserova, Kuban, Spurny, & Habarta, 2006).

3.2 Influence of heat treatment on total mercury levels in shrimps and mussels

The moisture content and total mercury levels in raw and cooked whiteleg shrimp and mussel samples are indicated in Table 2. There was no significant difference in moisture content between cooked and raw samples for shrimp and mussels; therefore, results were expressed on a wet basis (wb).

Cooking under boiling did not affect significantly total mercury in shrimp and mussels. Previous studies with different types of fish, including tuna, mackerel, shark and edible crab, did not find changes on mercury levels after cooking (Maulvault et al., 2013; Ouédraogo & Amyot, 2011). However, there are contradictory reports, some showing increased (Maulvault et al., 2013; Costa, Korn, Brito, Ferlin, & Fostier,

Table 2

Moisture content and total mercury levels in raw and cooked whiteleg shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) and South American rock mussel (*Perna perna*).

Cooking time (minute)	Moisture \pm SD (g/100 g)	Total mercury \pm SD (mg/kg wb)
Whiteleg shrimp		
0	74.5 ± 1.8	0.013 ± 0.007
2	74.2 ± 0.9	0.015 ± 0.008
4	74.2 ± 0.9	0.016 ± 0.010
South American rock mu	ssel	
0	$\textbf{75.8} \pm \textbf{0.6}$	0.033 ± 0.003
3	75.0 ± 2.2	0.036 ± 0.006
6	$\textbf{72.5} \pm \textbf{0.6}$	0.040 ± 0.012

SD – standard deviation (n = 9). wb – wet (weight) basis.

No significantly difference was observed within a column (Tukey test, $p \leq 0.05$).

2016), but others showing decreased mercury in seafood during cooking (Mieiro et al., 2016; Schmidt et al., 2018). Maulvault et al. (2013) observed that cooking affected mercury levels in different ways depending on the species; and reported that the effect of cooking treatments in seafood constituents should be considered in the assessment of risks and benefits.

Based on this study, mercury in seafood is not significantly eliminated during cooking. This is probably due to the fact that mercury remains bound to proteins (Costa et al., 2016). Even though there were no changes on total mercury levels during cooking, studies are needed to ascertain if heat treatment could affect mercury bioavailability.

3.3. Estimation of Brazilian exposure to methylmercury by shrimp and mussel consumption and potential protective effect from selenium

To estimate exposure to methylmercury from seafood consumption, the weekly exposure was undertaken as recommended by JECFA (2007). There is limited information regarding mercury speciation in shellfish and there was no consensus about the proportion of methylmercury from total mercury, with values varying from 90 to 100% in shrimps and 25–90% in mussels (Batista et al., 2011; Clémens et al., 2011; Spada et al., 2012). Therefore, in this study, a conservative approach was used, assuming that 100% mercury was in the methylmercury form in shrimps and 90% in mussels. Taking these percentages into account, the estimated chronic exposure to methylmercury from the consumption of shrimp and mussels are shown in Table 3.

The mean consumption of shrimp and bivalve mollusks by the Brazilian population was low (0.9 g and 0.1 g per capita per week, respectively) due to the low consumption prevalence: 0.8% and 0.1% of the population reported consumption of shrimp and bivalve mollusks with mean portions of 93 g and 68 g, respectively. For food with low consumption prevalence, it is also necessary to evaluate the risk of the population with a higher consumption profile. Therefore, the methylmercury intake by the consumption of one and three portions per week was considered for the Brazilian population.

The estimated exposure to methylmercury in the different consumption scenarios varied from 0.0003 to 0.09 μ g/kg bw per week for whiteleg shrimp, 0.0002–0.04 μ g/kg for Atlantic seabob shrimp and 0.00004–0.09 μ g/kg for mussels. Even at high consumption (three portions per week), the exposure to methylmercury was low, i.e. the values were only 6% of the PTWI (1.6 μ g/kg bw week) established by JECFA (2007).

Mercury in different mussels' species varied widely among studies (Belivermiş et al., 2019; Spada et al., 2012; Yu et al., 2020) and,

Table 3

Estimation of chronic exposure to methyl mercury from the consumption of shrimp and mussel in different scenarios – one and three portions per week.

1			1 1	
Consumption scenarios/Seafood	Consumption/ capita (g/week) ¹	MeHg (mg/kg) ²	Exposure (µg∕kg bw)	% PTWI
Mean consumption	of Brazilian population	n		
Whiteleg shrimp	0.9	0.019	0.0003	0.02
Atlantic seabob	0.9	0.011	0.0002	0.01
Mussel	0.1	0.028	0.00004	0.003
One portion per wee	ek			
Whiteleg shrimp	93	0.019	0.03	1.7
Atlantic seabob	93	0.011	0.02	1.0
Mussel	68	0.028	0.03	1.9
Three portions per v	veek			
Whiteleg shrimp	279	0.019	0.09	5.2
Atlantic seabob	279	0.011	0.04	3.0
Mussel	204	0.028	0.09	5.6

bw: body weight. MeHg: methylmercury. PTWI: provisional tolerable weekly intake.

¹ Mean portion – shrimp = 93 g and mussel = 68 g (IBGE, 2011).

 2 Estimated value of MeHg: 100% of total mercury for shrimps and 90% for mussels.

sometimes, mussels are reported as an important source of dietary mercury. This was not observed in the commercial mussels *Perna perna*. However, shrimps, in general, are considered a safe seafood option with respect to mercury (Batista et al., 2011; Clémens et al., 2011; Spada et al., 2012; Yu et al., 2020).

The risk associated with mercury exposure by shrimp and mussel consumption was low. However, it can be even lower if the protective effect exerted by selenium is considered (Ralston et al., 2019). In fact, mussel (*Perna perna*) and Atlantic seabob shrimp (*Xiphopenaeus kroyeri*), as well as whiteleg shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) from the same Brazilian regions were reported to be high sources of selenium (Kehrig et al., 2013; Silva et al., 2016). When using the reported values to calculate the theoretical Se/MeHg molar ratios, values of 20:1 in mussel and values varying from 45:1 to 64:1 in shrimp are obtained. These results reinforce that these shrimps and mussels are safe choices related to mercury and that the health benefits are greater than the risk.

4. Conclusion

The levels of mercury in shrimp and mussels varied among samples, but all of them showed total mercury levels at least 10 times lower than the maximum limits established by the Brazilian and international regulations (0.5 mg/kg). Higher levels of total mercury were observed in mussels compared to shrimps; in whiteleg compared to Atlantic seabob shrimp; and in shrimp muscles compared to the exoskeleton. Culinary heat treatment for up to 4 and 6 min did not reduce total mercury levels in shrimp and mussels, respectively. Estimated methylmercury intakes from the consumption of shrimps and mussels indicate that the studied seafood has low contribution to methylmercury exposure.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Barbara S. Costa: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing - original draft. Flávia B. Custódio: Methodology, Investigation, Writing - review & editing, Supervision. Valterney L. Deus: Data curation, Formal analysis. Daniela C.M. Hoyos: Methodology, Writing - review & editing. Maria Beatriz A. Gloria: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - review & editing, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Project administration.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest. The founding agencies had no role in the design of the study; data analyses or interpretation; and in the writing of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado de Minas Gerais – FAPEMIG (Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil), Coordenação de Pessoal de Nível Superior – CAPES, and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico – CNPq (Brasília, DF, Brazil).

References

- Ansari, N. R., Fernandez, M. A., Brito, J. L., Jr., Vidal, L. G., Costa, E. S. A., & Malm, O. (2016). Assessing mercury contamination in a tropical coastal system using the mussel *Perna perna* and the sea anemone *Bunodosoma caissarum*. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s10661-016-5683-7, 188-679.
- AOAC Association of Official Analytical Chemists. (2016). Official methods of analysis of AOAC International (20th ed.). Gaithersburg: AOAC.
- Batista, B. L., Rodrigues, J. L., Souza, S. S., Souza, V. C. O., & Barbosa, F., Jr. (2011). Mercury speciation in seafood samples by LC–ICP-MS with a rapid ultrasoundassisted extraction procedure: Application to the determination of mercury in Brazilian seafood samples. *Food Chemistry*, 126, 2000–2004. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.12.068
- Belabed, B.-E., Laffray, X., Dhib, A., Fertouna-Belakhal, M., Turki, S., & Aleya, L. (2013). Factors contributing to heavy metal accumulation in sediments and in the intertidal

mussel Perna perna in the Gulf of Annaba (Algeria). Marine Pollution Bulletin, 74, 477–489. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.06.004

- Belivermiş, M., Kiliç, O., Efe, E., Sezer, N., Gönülal, O., & Kaya, T. N. A. (2019). Mercury and Po-210 in mollusc species in the island of Gökçeada in the north-eastern Aegean Sea: Bioaccumulation and risk assessment for human consumers. *Chemosphere*, 235, 876–884. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.06.214
- Bjørklund, G., Aaseth, J., Ajsuvakovad, O. P., Nikonorove, A. A., Skalny, A. V., Skalnay, M. G., et al. (2017). Molecular interaction between mercury and selenium in neurotoxicity. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, 332, 30–37. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ccr.2016.10.009
- Brasil, Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária. (2013). Resolução RDC n. 42, de 29 de agosto de 2013. Regulamento Técnico MERCOSUL sobre Limites Máximos de Contaminantes Inorgânicos em Alimentos. *Diário Oficial da União, 33*. Aug 30th, 2013.
- Cantoral, A., Batis, C., & Basu, N. (2017). National estimation of seafood consumption in Mexico: Implications for exposure to methylmercury and polyunsaturated fatty acids. *Chemosphere*, 174, 289–296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. chemosphere.2017.01.109
- Catharino, M. G. M., Vasconcellos, M. B. A., Sousa, E. C. P. M., Moreira, E. G., & Pereira, C. D. S. (2008). Biomonitoring of Hg, Cd, Pb and other elements in coastal regions of São Paulo State, Brazil, using the transplanted mussel *Perna perna* (Linnaeus, 1758). Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry, 278, 547–551. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10967-008-1003-1
- Clémens, S., Monperrus, M., Donard, O. F. X., Amouroux, D., & Guérin, T. (2011). Mercury speciation analysis in seafood by species-specific isotope dilution: Method validation and occurrence data. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 401, 2699–2711. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-011-5040-1
- Cobb, C. S., & Ernst, E. (2006). Systematic review of a marine nutraceutical supplement in clinical trials for arthritis: The effectiveness of the New Zealand green-lipped mussel Perna canaliculus. Clinical Rheumatology, 25, 275–284. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10067-005-0001-8
- Costa, R. C., Fransozo, A., Freire, F. A. M., & Castilho, A. L. (2007). Abundance and ecological distribution of the "sete-barbas" shrimp *Xiphopenaeus kroyeri* (Heller, 1862) (Decapoda: Penaeoidea) in three bays of the Ubatuba region, Southeastern, Brazil. *Gulf and Caribbean Research*, 19, 33–41. https://doi.org/10.18785/ gcr.1901.04
- Costa, F. N., Korn, M. G. A., Brito, G. B., Ferlin, S., & Fostier, A. H. (2016). Preliminary results of mercury levels in raw and cooked seafood and their public health impact. *Food Chemistry*, 192, 837–841. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.foodchem.2015.07.081
- Di Lena, G., Casini, I., Caproni, R., & Orban, E. (2018). Total mercury levels in crustacean species from Italian fishery. *Food Additives And Contaminants: Part B*, 11, 175–182. https://doi.org/10.1080/19393210.2018.1450302
- Diop, M., & Amara, R. (2016). Mercury concentrations in the coastal marine food web along the Senegalese coast. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 23, 11975–11984. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-6386-x
- EC European Commission. (2006). Commission Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 of 19 December 2006 setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs. Official Journal of European Communities, L 364, 5–24.
- EC (European Commission). (2002). Council Decision 2002/657/EC of 12 August 2002: Implementing Council Directive 96/23/EC concerning the performance of analytical methods and the interpretation of results. Official Journal of the European Communities, L 221, 8–36.
- FAO Food and Agriculture Organization. (2018). The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2018 meeting the sustainable development goals. Rome: FAO.
- Houserova, P., Kuban, V., Spurny, P., & Habarta, P. (2006). Determination of total mercury and mercury species in fish and aquatic ecosystems of Moravian rivers. *Veterinarni Medicina*, 51, 101–110.
- IBGE Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística. (2010). Pesquisa de orçamentos familiares 2008-2009: Antropometria e estado nutricional de crianças, adolescentes e adultos no Brasil. Rio de Janeiro: IBGE.
- IBGE Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística. Pesquisa de orçamentos familiares 2008-2009: Microdados 2008-2009. (2011). https://www.ibge.gov.br/estatisticas/sociais /educacao/9050-pesquisa-de-orcamentos-familiares.html?=&t=microdados. (Accessed 15 March 2020).
- IOMC Inter-Organisation Programme for the Sound Management of Chemicals. (2008). Guidance for identifying populations at risk from mercury exposure. Geneva: UNEP/ WHO, 2008. Available at: http://www.who.int/foodsafety/publications/chem/ mercuryexposure.pdf. (Accessed 27 March 2020).
- Jacobs, S., Sioen, I., Jacxsens, L., Domingo, J. L., & Verbeke, W. (2017). Risk assessment of methylmercury in five European countries considering the national seafood consumption patterns. *Food Chemistry and Toxicology*, 104, 26–34. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.fct.2016.10.026
- JECFA Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives. (2007). Safety evaluation of certain contaminants in food: Methylmercury. WHO Food Additives Series, 58, 269–315.
- Kaya, G., & Turkoglu, S. (2017). Bioaccumulation of heavy metals in various tissues of some fish species and green tiger shrimp (*Penaeus semisulcatus*) from İskenderun Bay, Turkey, and risk assessment for human health. *Biology Trace Elements Research*, 180, 314–326. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-017-0996-0
- Kehrig, H. A., Seixas, T. G., Dibenedito, A. P., & Malm, O. (2013). Selenium and mercury in widely consumed seafood from South Atlantic Ocean. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 93, 156–162. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2013.03.034
- Koenig, S., Solé, M., Fernández-Gómez, C., & Díez, S. (2013). New insights into mercury bioaccumulation in deep-sea organisms from the NW Mediterranean and their human health implications. *The Science of the Total Environment*, 442, 329–335. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.10.036

- Kubitza, F. (2015). Aquicultura no Brasil: Principais espécies, áreas de cultivo, rações, fatores limitantes e desafios (p. 150). Panorama da Aquicultura. Available at: https://pa noramadaaquicultura.com.br/aquicultura-no-brasil-principais-especies-areas-de-cul tivo-racoes-fatores-limitantes-e-desafios/. (Accessed 28 April 2020).
- Lund, E. K. (2013). Health benefits of seafood; is it just the fatty acids? Food Chemistry, 140, 413–420. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.01.034
- Maulvault, A. L., Cardoso, C., Nunes, M. L., & Marques, A. (2013). Risk-benefit assessment of cooked seafood: Black scabbard fish (*Aphanopus carbo*) and edible crab (*Cancer pagurus*) as case studies. Food Control, 32, 518–524.
- Mieiro, C. L., Coelho, J. P., Dolbeth, M., Pacheco, M., Duarte, A. C., Pardal, M. A., et al. (2016). Fish and mercury: Influence of fish fillet culinary practices on human risk. *Food Control, 60*, 575–581. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2015.09.006
- Monastero, R., Karimi, R., Silbernagel, S., & Meliker, J. (2016). Demographic profiles, mercury, selenium, and omega-3 fatty acids in avid seafood consumers on Long Island, NY. Journal of Community Health, 41, 165–173. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10900-015-0082-5
- MPA Ministério da Pesca e Aquicultura. (2012). Boletim Estatístico da Pesca e Aquicultura - Brasil 2010. Brasília: MPA.
- Ouédraogo, O., & Amyot, M. (2011). Effects of various cooking methods and food components on bioaccessibility of mercury from fish. *Environmental Research*, 111, 1064–1069. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2011.09.018
- Ralston, N. V. C., Kaneko, J. J., & Raymond, L. J. (2019). Selenium health benefit values provide a reliable index of seafood benefits vs. risks. *Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine & Biology*, 55, 50–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtemb.2019.05.009
- Ralston, N. V. C., & Raymond, L. J. (2018). Mercury's neurotoxicity is characterized by its disruption of selenium biochemistry. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - General Subjects*, 1862, 2405–2416. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2018.05.009
- Rodrigues, P. A., Ferrari, R. G., Santos, L. N., & Conte, C. A., Jr. (2019). Mercury in aquatic fauna contamination: A systematic review on its dynamics and potential health risks. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 84, 205–218. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jes.2019.02.018
- Sampaio, I. B. M. (2015). Estatística aplicada à experimentação animal, 1 p. 265). Belo Horizonte: FEPMVZ.

- Schmidt, L., Figuero, J. A. L., Vecchia, P. D., Duarte, F. A., Mello, P. A., Caruso, J. A., et al. (2018). Bioavailability of Hg and Se from seafood after culinary treatments. *Microchemical Journal*, 139, 363–371. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. microc.2018.03.009
- Silva, E., Viana, Z. C. V., Onofre, C. R. E., Korn, M. G. A., & Santos, V. L. C. S. (2016). Distribution of trace elements in tissues of shrimp species *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone, 1931) from Bahia, Brazil. *Brazilian Journal of Biology*, 76, 194–204. https:// doi.org/10.1590/1519-6984.17114
- Smith, K. L., & Guentzel, J. L. (2010). Mercury concentrations and omega-3 fatty acids in fish and shrimp: Preferential consumption for maximum health benefits. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 60, 1615–1618. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.06.045
- Soares, J. M., Gomes, J. M., Anjos, M. R., Silveira, J. N., Custódio, F. B., & Gloria, M. B. A. (2018). Mercury in fish from the Madeira River and health risk to Amazonian and riverine populations. *Food Research International*, 109, 537–543. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.foodres.2018.04.069
- Spada, L., Annicchiarico, C., Cardellicchio, N., Giandomenico, S., & Di Leo, A. (2012). Mercury and methylmercury concentrations in Mediterranean seafood and surface sediments, intake evaluation and risk for consumers. *International Journal of Hygiene* and Environmental Health, 215, 418–426. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. iiheh.2011.09.003
- Spiller, H. A. (2018). Rethinking mercury: The role of selenium in the pathophysiology of mercury toxicity. *Clinical Toxicology*, 56, 313–326. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 15563650.2017.1400555
- Suplicy, F. M. (2020). A review of the multiple benefits of mussel farming. Reviews in Aquaculture, 12, 204–223. https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12313
- Vilavert, L., Borrel, F., Nadal, M., Jacobs, S., & Domingo, J. L. (2017). Health risk/benefit information for consumers of fish and shellfish: Fishchoice, a new online tool. Food Chemistry and Toxicology, 104, 79–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2017.02.004
- Yu, X., Khan, S., Khan, A., Tang, Y., Nunes, L. M., Yan, J., et al. (2020). Methyl mercury concentrations in seafood collected from Zhoushan Islands, Zhejiang, China, and their potential health risk for the fishing community. *Environment International*, 137, 105420. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.105420