



# Article Characterization of the Primary Sludge from Pharmaceutical Industry Effluents and Final Disposition

Renata Xavier Alberico Freitas<sup>1</sup>, Lara Aguiar Borges<sup>2</sup>, Handray Fernandes de Souza<sup>2</sup>, Fernando Colen<sup>2</sup>, Alex Sander Rodrigues Cangussu<sup>3</sup>, Eliane Macedo Sobrinho<sup>4</sup>, Francine Souza Alves Fonseca<sup>2</sup>, Sérgio Henrique Sousa Santos<sup>2</sup>, Bruna Mara Aparecida de Carvalho<sup>2</sup> and Igor Viana Brandi<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Biology, State University of Montes Claros, MG 39401-089, Brazil; renata\_alberico@yahoo.com.br
- <sup>2</sup> Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Montes Claros, MG 39404-547, Brazil; laragborges@hotmail.com (L.A.B.); handrayfds@hotmail.com (H.F.d.S.); fernandocolen@ica.ufmg.br (F.C.); francinefonseca@yahoo.com.br (F.S.A.F.); sergiosousas@ufmg.br (S.H.S.S.); brunamara.carvalho@gmail.com (B.M.A.d.C.)
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Bioprocess Engineering and Biotechnology, Federal University of Tocantins, Gurupi, TO 77402-970, Brazil; alexcangussu@mail.uft.edu.br
- <sup>4</sup> Federal Institute of Education and Technology of the North of Minas Gerais, Araçuai, MG 39600-000, Brazil; elianemsobrinho@hotmail.com
- \* Correspondence: ibrandi@hotmail.com; Tel.: +55-38-99964-0111

Received: 12 December 2018; Accepted: 4 February 2019; Published: 24 April 2019



**Abstract:** The generation of large volumes of waste by industrial processes has become an object of study because of the necessity to characterize the composition of residues in order to suggest appropriate treatments and to minimize adverse environmental impacts. We performed analyses of total fixed and volatile solids, moisture, and chemical oxygen demand (COD). We found high organic matter content. We also measured physicochemical characteristics, including corrosivity, reactivity, and toxicity. Sewage sludge showed levels of chloride and sodium above the maximum allowed limits. These data suggest the potential for anaerobic digestion as a treatment option for sewage sludge and for its use as a biofertilizer.

Keywords: sewage sludge; characterization; toxicity; biofuel; biofertilizer

## 1. Introduction

In an effluent treatment station (ETS), wastewater is usually treated by physical routes such as flotation and sedimentation, chemical pathways such as flocculation, and by biological processes such as microbial treatment [1]. According to Ji et al. [2], anaerobic biological treatment is a preferred option for the treatment of wastewater with pharmaceutical products, considering its advantages, including withstanding high organic loads, lower sludge production, and lower operating costs than conventional activated sludge process.

After effluent treatment, the sludge obtained and organic residue decanted both during and at the end of the process should be treated. Generally, three types of sludge are produced: primary, secondary, and tertiary. Sludge can undergo processes of densification, stabilization, conditioning, dehydration or dewatering, purification, and final disposal. Generally, sludge is destined for landfills; however, there are several studies reporting the use of sludge as a potential source of biofertilizer for agricultural systems as well as biofuel production. This is a recurring concern of industries, with respect

to achieving environmental sustainability. Liu et al. [3], for example, optimized biogas production through the anaerobic co-digestion of sludge with low organic content and food residues.

Sludge produced in an ETS contains nutrients, especially nitrogen, phosphorus, and carbon, and, in smaller amounts, potassium, calcium, magnesium, organic matter, heavy metals, pathogens, and other elements from tributaries. These may pose health risks and environment hazards if they are not properly monitored and controlled [4]. The concentration of substances from pharmaceutical residues varies among regions and their properties significantly affect treatment performance [5].

The need for characterization prior to treatment has been increasingly carried by ETSs. Laboreaux, in the city of Itabira, Minas Gerais, Brazil, showed that pressurized filtered sludge, if subjected to drying to improve heat output, can be used as a fuel to supply consumers in the region, replacing coal or firewood [6]. Another study characterized the physicochemical properties of oily residues from sanitation, and only from this study was it proposed that the use of these residues may serve as a substrate for the production of biofuels [7].

It is imperative to carry out studies on the composition and characterization of solid waste in order to propose appropriate treatment, minimizing environmental impacts, and to optimize the resources in ETS equipment. The characteristics of sludge directly affect the processes of treatment and final disposal; therefore, understanding of its characteristics is fundamental to defining residue management [8].

Knowledge of the effluent generated by an industry is essential to the determination of treatment processes performed by the ETS that aims to accord with legislation, in order to dispose of it safely into the environment and ecosystem, as well as to reuse it, guaranteeing environmental sustainability.

The objective of this work was to characterize the physicochemical properties of primary sludge of a pharmaceutical industry ETS, classifying it in terms of its potential risks according to current legislation, as well as its final disposal.

#### 2. Experiment Part

The ETS of the pharmaceutical industry operates an activated sludge system with prolonged aeration for the treatment of human and industrial sewage. Sludge from human sewage, after decantation, flows from the system to dehydrate in a drying bed. Subsequently, it is collected and sent to landfills legally licensed for this purpose. Industrial sewage is sent directly to final stabilization treatment and is not subjected to initial treatment.

#### 2.1. Collection of Primary Sludge Samples

ETS sludge samples were collected in December, January, February, and March, in a drying bed that constantly received fresh sludge (Figure 1A). Every month, small samples were collected, including drying; after collection, the sludge was homogenized (Figure 1B). The samples were stored in plastic buckets, individually capped, and analyses were conducted immediately after collection. Standard collection and analysis techniques were maintained every month (December, January, February, and March).



**Figure 1.** (**A**) Drying bed with dry primary sewage sludge. (**B**) Drying bed with primary sewage sludge after discharge.

### 2.2. Physicochemical Characterization of Samples

The analyses of total solids (TS), total fixed solids (TFS), total volatile solids (TVS), and determination of moisture and chemical oxygen demand (COD) were carried out at the Anaerobic Biodigestion Laboratory of the Institute of Agrarian Sciences of the Federal University of Minas Gerais (ICA/UFMG), Montes Claros Regional Campus. We measured the percentage value of total solids (TS), total fixed solids (TFS) and total volatile solids (TVS), moisture determination, and chemical oxygen demand (COD) in December, January, February, and March. After data collection, arithmetic mean and descriptive statistical analysis of the values were performed to characterize these criteria, related to the sludge studied. For analysis of TS, TFS, and TVS, the gravimetric method was used (2540 solids) [9]; for COD analysis, the colorimetric method was used, according to the Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater, 20th ed. [9]. Moisture content was determined by subtraction of the total solids, by Equation (1).

$$Moisture(100 \%) = 100 - total \ solids \ content \ (1) \tag{1}$$

For toxicity determinations, the following parameters were analyzed: Leaching toxicity classification—inorganic parameters; leaching toxicity classification—organic parameters (pesticides); leaching toxicity classification—organic parameters (other organic); classification of toxicity by solubilization—inorganic parameters (chromatography); classification of toxicity by solubilization—organic parameters (chromatography); classification of toxicity by solubilization—organic parameters (chromatography) and classification of macro- and micronutrients. For these analyses, a single sample was homogenized, starting from samples collected in the months of December, January, February, and March. The analyses were performed according to the standards described by the United States Environmental Protection Agency—USEPA [10] and Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater, 20th [9].

## 3. Results and Discussion

After descriptive analysis of the data, we obtained mean values of TS (25.05%), TFS (7.52%), and TVS (17.40%). The largest fraction of TS was represented by TVS, indicating a high biodegradable fraction of this residue. This result was compatible with that of Oliveira et al. [7], who performed chemical physical characterization of oily residues from sanitation waste and observed that the largest fraction of total solids was represented by volatile solids. Cucina et al. [11], when evaluating the risks and benefits associated with the agricultural use of three different organic fertilizers derived from a pharmaceutical manufacturing effluent, found values of 14.30% and 8.80% for total solids and volatile solids, respectively.

In relation to the moisture content of the sludge, a value of 74.95% was found. In a study carried out by Silva [12], when evaluating the characteristics of sludge produced at the Brasília Norte Sewage Treatment Plant (Brasília - DF), there was a moisture content of 83%. Moisture variation correlated

with environmental factors. In December, February, and March, this occurred on the day of collection and over the subsequent days, as opposed to the meteorological situation in January when there was no precipitation [13].

Regarding COD, the mean value obtained was 267.333 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. This value was higher when compared to COD measurements reported in the literature. According to some studies, COD values from effluents of the pharmaceutical industry vary between 4.410 and 40.000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> [14,15]. The high value observed for sewage sludge can be explained by the high concentration of organic material that is dumped in the effluent of the pharmaceutical industry, requiring a large amount of oxygen to oxidize and stabilize this organic material.

Tables 1–3 display the results of the leaching toxicity analyses, including the amounts of heavy metals and pesticides in the waste that is indispensable for evaluation for agricultural use, in addition to the evaluation of the risk heavy metals pose to the environment.

| Parameter      | Maximum Permitted<br>Value (mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) | Limit of Detection<br>(mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) | Concentration in Leached<br>Residue (mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|----------------|--|---|---|
| Arsenic        | 1.0  | 0.01  | <0.01   |
| Barium         | 70.0   | 0.02  | < 0.02  |
| Cadmium        | 0.5  | 0.001                                       | < 0.001   |
| Lead           | 1.0  | 0.01  | < 0.01  |
| Total chromium | 5.0  | 0.01  | < 0.01  |
| Fluorides      | 150.0  | 0.10  | <0.10   |
| Mercury        | 0.1  | 0.0031                                      | < 0.002   |
| Silver         | 5.0  | 0.01  | < 0.01  |
| Selenium       | 1.0  | 0.01  | < 0.01  |

**Table 1.** Classification of leached residue toxicity for inorganic parameters according to the Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater, 20th ed. [9], including maximum permitted value, limit of detection and the concentration of leached residue in the leached residue.

**Table 2.** Classification of leached residues for organic parameters (pesticide), according to the United States Environmental Protection Agency—USEPA [10]; maximum permitted value, limit of detection, and the concentration in the leached residue.

| Parameter  | Maximum Permitted<br>Value (mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) | Limit of Detection<br>(mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) | Concentration in Leached<br>Residue (mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|--|--|---|---|
| Aldrin and dieldrin                              | 0.003  | 0.001                                       | <0.001  |
| Chlordane (all isomers)                          | 0.02   | 0.001                                       | < 0.001   |
| Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane<br>(all isomers) | 0.2  | 0.001                                       | <0.001  |
| Pentachlorophenol                                | 0.9  | 0.01  | < 0.01  |
| 2,4- dichlorophenoxyacetic acid                  | 3.0  | 0.01  | < 0.01  |
| Endrin   | 0.06   | 0.001                                       | < 0.001   |
| Heptachloro and Heptachloro<br>Epoxide           | 0.003  | 0.001                                       | <0.001  |
| Lindane  | 0.2  | 0.001                                       | < 0.001   |
| Methoxychlor                                     | 2.0  | 0.001                                       | < 0.001   |
| Toxaphene  | 0.5  | 0.002                                       | < 0.002   |
| 2,4,5- Trichlorophenol                           | 0.2  | 0.002                                       | < 0.002   |
| 2,4,5-<br>trichlorophenoxypropionic acid         | 1.0  | 0.01  | <0.01   |

| Table 3. Classification of leached residues—org | anic parameters (other organics) according to the United |
|---|--|
| States Environmental Protection Agency-US       | EPA [10], maximum permitted value, limit of detection,   |
| and the concentration value in the sewage slue  | dge analyzed.  |

| Parameter             | Maximum Permitted<br>Value (mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) | Limit of Detection<br>(mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) | Concentration in Leached<br>Residue (mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|-----------------------|--|---|---|
| Benzene               | 0.5  | 0.04  | < 0.04  |
| Benzo(a)pyrene        | 0.07   | 0.002                                       | < 0.002   |
| Vinyl chloride        | 0.5  | 0.04  | < 0.04  |
| Chlorobenzene         | 100  | 0.01  | < 0.01  |
| Chloroform            | 6.0  | 0.04  | < 0.04  |
| o-Cresol              | 200  | 0.01  | < 0.01  |
| <i>m</i> -Cresol      | 200  | 0.01  | < 0.01  |
| <i>p</i> -Cresol      | 200  | 0.01  | < 0.01  |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene   | 7.5  | 0.04  | < 0.04  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane    | 1.0  | 0.04  | < 0.04  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethylene  | 3.0  | 0.04  | < 0.04  |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene    | 0.13   | 0.01  | < 0.01  |
| Hexachlorobenzene     | 0.1  | 0.001                                       | < 0.001   |
| Hexachlorobutadiene   | 0.5  | 0.04  | < 0.04  |
| Hexachloroethane      | 3.0  | 0.01  | < 0.01  |
| Methyl ethyl ketone   | 200  | 0.5   | <0.5  |
| Nitrobenzene          | 2.0  | 0.01  | < 0.01  |
| Pyridine              | 5.0  | 0.01  | < 0.01  |
| Carbon tetrachloride  | 0.2  | 0.04  | < 0.04  |
| Tetrachlorethylene    | 4.0  | 0.04  | < 0.04  |
| Trichlorethylene      | 7.0  | 0.04  | < 0.04  |
| 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol | 400  | 0.01  | < 0.01  |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | 20   | 0.01  | < 0.01  |

According to the results presented in Table 1, the concentration of inorganic compounds in the leached residue agrees with the established limits. Therefore, the primary sludge from pharmaceutical industry effluents cannot be considered toxic in terms of this parameter.

Table 2 shows that the concentration of organic compounds (pesticides) agree with the established limits. Therefore, primary sludge from pharmaceutical industry effluents can not be considered toxic with respect to this parameter.

According to the results presented in Table 3, the concentration of organic compounds (other organic) also agrees with the established limits. Therefore, the primary sludge from pharmaceutical industry effluents cannot be considered toxic with respect to this parameter.

According to Turki et al. [16], cited by Rigobello et al. [17], the organic compounds that are present in the residues can leach into groundwater and surface water and, because of the difficulty of their removal by conventional methods such as coagulation, sedimentation, flocculation, and filtration, they can be found in water intended for human consumption. These same authors, Turki et al. [16], studying landfill leachate samples before and after treatment with Fenton reagent, identified aromatic acids (1,2-diphenylcyclobutane, 4-phenyl cyclohexane, and 1,2-benzenedicarboxylic acid), phenolic compounds (2,4-bisphenol, 4-methyl phenol), aliphatic acid and ester (bis(2-methoxyethyl)ester), phthalate ester - alcohols (2-chlorocyclohexanol and cholestanol), and polyaromatic hydrocarbons (anthracene and naphthalene). All of the aforementioned compounds are considered mutagenic or carcinogenic [18], except for cholestanol, a natural sterol that may be associated with natural sources of organic matter of terrigenous or marine origin [19].

Although the evaluation of organic pollutants in sludge from wastewater treatment plants is a practice already established in some countries [20], there have been no studies related to the identification of these compounds in primary sludge of effluents from the pharmaceutical industry in Brazil. Tables 4 and 5 display the results of the solubility toxicity analyses, including heavy metals and pesticides in waste, the understanding of which is indispensable for agricultural purposes, in addition to the fact that heavy metals pose a risk to the environment.

| Parameter                              | Maximum Permitted<br>Value (mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) | Limit of Detection<br>(mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) | Concentration in the Residual Solubilized (mg $L^{-1}$ ) |
|--|--|---|--|
| Arsenic (mg As $L^{-1}$ )              | 0.01   | 0.01  | < 0.01   |
| Barium (mg Ba $L^{-1}$ )               | 0.7  | 0.02  | < 0.02   |
| Cadmium (mg Cd $L^{-1}$ )              | 0.005  | 0.001                                       | < 0.001  |
| Lead (mg Pb $L^{-1}$ )                 | 0.01   | 0.01  | < 0.01   |
| Cyanide (mg CN $L^{-1}$ )              | 0.07   | 0.01  | 0.03   |
| Total chromium (mg Cr $L^{-1}$ )       | 0.05   | 0.01  | < 0.01   |
| Fluorides (mg $FL^{-1}$ )              | 1.5  | 0.10  | <0.10  |
| Mercury (mg Hg $L^{-1}$ )              | 0.001  | 0.0002                                      | < 0.0002   |
| Nitrate (mg N $L^{-1}$ )               | 10.0   | 0.05  | 0.49   |
| Silver (mg Ag $L^{-1}$ )               | 0.05   | 0.01  | < 0.01   |
| Selenium (mg Al $L^{-1}$ )             | 0.01   | 0.01  | < 0.01   |
| Aluminum (mg Al $L^{-1}$ )             | 0.2  | 0.05  | <0.05  |
| Chloride (mg Cl $L^{-1}$ )             | 250  | 2.0   | 437  |
| Copper (mg Cu $L^{-1}$ )               | 2.0  | 0.009                                       | < 0.009  |
| Iron (mg Fe $L^{-1}$ )                 | 0.3  | 0.1   | 0.12   |
| Manganese (mg M $L^{-1}$ )             | 0.1  | 0.05  | < 0.05   |
| Sodium (mg Na L <sup>-1</sup> )        | 200  | 0.8   | 444  |
| Sulfate (mg $SO_4^{-2} L^{-1}$ )       | 250  | 1.00  | 62.0   |
| Zinc (mg Zn $L^{-1}$ )                 | 5.0  | 0.1   | <0.10  |
| Surfactants (mg MBAS L <sup>-1</sup> ) | 0.5  | 0.10  | 0.18   |
| Total Phenols (mg $C_2H_5OH L^{-1}$ )  | 0.01   | 0.001                                       | <0.001   |

**Table 4.** Classification of solubility toxicity—inorganic parameters, measured by chromatography: maximum permitted value, limit of detection, and concentration of solubility in the sample, according to the Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater, 20th ed. [9].

**Table 5.** Classification of solubility toxicity—organic parameters, measured by chromatography: maximum permitted value, limit of detection, and concentration of the solubility in the sample, according to the United States Environmental Protection Agency—USEPA [10].

| Parameter                  | Maximum Permitted<br>Value (mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) | Limit of Detection<br>(mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) | Concentration in the Residual Solubilized Sample (mg $L^{-1}$ ) |
|----------------------------|--|---|---|
| Aldrin and dieldrin        | $3.0 \times 10^{-5}$                             | $2.0 \times 10^{-5}$                        | $<2.0 \times 10^{-5}$   |
| Chlordane (all isomers)    | $2.0 	imes 10^{-4}$                              | $2.0 \times 10^{-4}$                        | < 0.0002  |
| 2,4–D                      | 0.03   | 1.0x 10 <sup>-2</sup>                       | < 0.01  |
| DDT (all isomers)          | $2.0 \times 10^{-3}$                             | 1.0 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>                      | < 0.001   |
| Endrin                     | $6.0 \times 10^{-4}$                             | 2.0 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>                      | < 0.0002  |
| Heptachlor and its epoxide | $3.0 \times 10^{-3}$                             | $2.0 \times 10^{-5}$                        | $<2.0 \times 10^{-5}$   |
| Hexachlorobenzene          | $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$                             | 1.0 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>                      | < 0.001   |
| Lindane                    | $2.0 \times 10^{-3}$                             | 1.0 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>                      | < 0.001   |
| Methoxychlor               | 0.02   | 1.0 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>                      | < 0.001   |
| Toxaphene                  | $5.0 \times 10^{-3}$                             | 2.0 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>                      | < 0.002   |
| 2,4,5-T                    | $2.0 \times 10^{-3}$                             | 2.0 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>                      | < 0.002   |
| 2,4,5-TP                   | 0.03   | 1.0 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>                      | <0.01   |

Within the classification of solubility toxicity for inorganic parameters (Table 4), the primary sludge of effluents from the pharmaceutical industry presented levels of chloride and sodium above the maximum limits allowed.

According to Lakhdar et al. [21], organic matter controls the effects of salt on soils. Therefore, high levels of chloride and sodium (Table 4) in the sludge would be controlled by the high content of organic matter, indicated by the high volatile solids content and the COD that are indirect measures of the organic matter content of the substrate.

According to Daliakopoulos et al. [22], cited by Cucina et al. [11], the content thereof should be highlighted when organic fertilizers of pharmaceutical origin are applied to soils to avoid salination and/or negative effects, for example, the structure of the soil, colloidal dispersion, or inhibition of plant growth.

According to the results presented in Table 5, within the classification of toxicity by solubilization for organic parameters, the primary sludge of pharmaceutical industry effluents presented levels within the maximum allowed limits.

Table 6 shows the results for the analysis of macro- and micronutrients that are indispensable for disposal of soil residues.

| Table 6. Classification of macro- and micronutrients of effluent treatment station (ETS) primary  |
|---|
| sewage sludge: method of analysis, according to the Standard Methods for Examination of Water and |
| Wastewater, 20th ed. [9] and maximum permitted concentration in Brazil [23].                      |

| Assay                | Results                      | Maximum Concentration Permitted in Brazil [23] |
|----------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Calcium              | $4.10 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$    | -  |
| Total organic carbon | 25.20%                       | -  |
| Copper               | $252.30 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  | $1500 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$                      |
| Total sulfur         | 5.22%                        | -  |
| Total phosphorus     | $10.20 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$   | -  |
| Magnesium            | $1091.60 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ | -  |
| Molybdenum           | $0.281 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$   | $50 \mathrm{~mg~kg^{-1}}$                      |
| Nickel               | $47.50 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$   | $420 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$                       |
| Nitrite              | $1.02 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$    | -  |
| Ammoniacal nitrogen  | $78.88 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$   | -  |
| Kjeldahl nitrogen    | 0.84%                        | -  |
| Potassium            | $1384.8 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  | -  |
| Selenium             | $< 0.002 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ | $100 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$                       |

Sewage sludge is a source of important nutrients. According to Teixeira et al. [24], cited by Botero et al. [25], in the flocculation and decantation stage, a residue rich in organic matter, micro- and macronutrients is generated. Its use can reduce the environmental impact associated with inadequate disposal of such waste.

According to Correia [26], the percentage of carbon present in the dry mass of sewage sludge ranges from 18% to 50%. The value of 25.2% (Table 6) found for the sludge accords with already recorded data. In addition, we observed that the concentrations of copper, molybdenum, nickel, and selenium were below the maximum allowed values [23]. On the other hand, the concentrations found for other compounds (macro- and micronutrients) disagreed with established values, and there should be no absence of these elements [23].

The use of sewage sludge for agricultural use is regulated by law as prescribed by resolution number 375/2006 of the National Council for the Environment in CONAMA [23], establishing criteria and procedures for agricultural use of sewage sludge generated in sewage treatment plants. The Technical Report on Solid Waste Classification of the Brazilian Association of Technical Standards ABNT NBR 10004 establishes a classification for solid wastes [27], divided into two classes that can be classified as Class I, considered to be hazardous, and Class II, considered to be non-hazardous. The second classification is further divided into inert (B) and non-inert (A).

ETS sludge from the pharmaceutical industry, according to the ABNT NBR 10004 [27] Solid Waste Classification Technical Report, is classified as Class II A (non-hazardous, non-inert). It is also neither corrosive nor reactive [27]. The toxicity evaluated by the parameters analyzed, namely, leaching toxicity classification—inorganic parameters, leaching toxicity classification—organic parameters (pesticides), leaching toxicity classification—organic parameters (other organic), classification of toxicity by solubilization—inorganic parameters (chromatography), and classification of toxicity by solubilization—organic parameters (chromatography), presented results according to specifications [27].

According to Cieslik et al. [8], one of the methods of stabilization of sewage sludge is anaerobic biodigestion with biogas production. The products of this process can be used in agriculture and in the generation of energy, contributing to the sustainable development of small and large facilities. Anaerobic biodigestion (degradation of biodegradable organic matter by microorganisms in the absence of oxygen gas) is a common effective method for the stabilization of sludge, concomitant with the production of biogas. The various methods of performing anaerobic biodigestion should be challenged to optimize the achievement of the desired product [28]. The generation of energy from biogas must take into account certain criteria to reach maximum efficiency, including the raw materials and energy demands (input) [29].

Matteo et al. [30] achieved satisfactory results, studying environmentally sustainable solutions, including biogas production and energy conversion. Based on the results presented here, it can be inferred that the treatment of sewage sludge is a promising alternative for the production of biofertilizer and biofuels.

## 4. Conclusions

Given the current environmental problems generated by the high volume of solid waste, this study contributes data to better understanding the constitution of sewage sludge from the pharmaceutical industry, in order to implement viable alternative measures. Primary sludge from the pharmaceutical industry presents levels of inorganic and organic compounds that are below the specified maximum levels, except for those of chloride and sodium, in addition to containing a high content of organic matter that contributes to the control of salt levels in soil. According to the Technical Report on Solid Waste Classification ABNT NBR 10004: 2004, the primary sludge is classified within Class II A (non-hazardous—non-inert). It also contains important micro- and macronutrients, contributing to the production of biofertilizer from the residues in question, in addition presenting itself as an attractive alternative for the production of biofuels, through anaerobic biodigestion. This is an economically viable and environmentally sustainable proposal for the reuse of solid waste and alternative energy production.

Author Contributions: I.V.B. and F.C. conceived and designed the experiments; R.X.A.F. and L.A.B. and H.F.d.S. performed the experiments; S.H.S.S. and F.S.A.F. analyzed the data; F.C. contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools; R.X.A.F., I.V.B., F.C., A.S.R.C., E.M.S., B.M.A.d.C. and E.M.S. wrote the paper.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: Novo Nordisk, FAPEMIG, CNPq, CAPES, FAPEMIG, Pró-Reitoria de Pesquisa da UFMG, UNIMONTES.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- Raheem, A.; Sikarwar, V.S.; He, J.; Dastyar, W.; Dionysiou, D.D.; Wang, W.; Zhao, M. Opportunities and challenges in sustainable treatment and resource reuse of Sewage Sludge: A review. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2018, 337, 616–641. [CrossRef]
- Ji, J.Y.; Xing, Y.J.; Ma, Z.T.; Cai, J.; Zheng, P.; Lu, H.-F. Toxicity assessment of anaerobic digestion intermediates and antibiotics in pharmaceutical wastewater by luminescent bacterium. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2013, 246–247, 319–323. [CrossRef]
- 3. Liu, C.; Li, H.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, C. Improve biogas production from low-organic-content sludge through high-solids anaerobic co-digestion with food waste. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2016**, *219*, 252–260. [CrossRef]
- 4. Duricová, A.; Hybská, H.; Mitterpach, J. Possibilities of reducing risks of environment contamination from sewage sludge. *J. Geogr. Inst. Jovan Cvijic* **2013**, *63*, 183–194. [CrossRef]
- Yang, Y.; Ok, Y.S.; Kim, K.H.; Kwon, E.E.; Tsang, Y.F. Occurrences and removal of pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) in drinking water and water/sewage treatment plants: A review. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2017, 596–597, 303–320. [CrossRef]

- 6. Rosa, A.P.; Lobato, L.C.S.; Borges, J.M.; Melo, G.C.B.; Chernicharo, C.A.L. Energy potential and alternative usages of biogas and sludge from UASB reactors: Case study of the Laboreaux wastewater treatment plant (Itabira). *Eng. Sanit. Ambiental* **2016**, *21*, 315–328. [CrossRef]
- Oliveira, J.P.; Antunes, P.W.P.; Pinotti, L.M.; Cassinia, S.T.A. Physico-chemical characterization of oily sanitary waste and of oils and greases extracted for conversion into biofuels. *Quim. Nova* 2014, 37, 597–602. [CrossRef]
- 8. Cieslik, B.M.; Namiesnik, J.; Konieczka, P. Review of sewage sludge management: Standards, regulations and analytical methods. *J. Cleaner Prod.* **2015**, *90*, 1–15. [CrossRef]
- 9. American Public Health Association (APHA); American Water Works Association (AWWA); Water Environment Federation (WEF). *Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater*, 20 ed.; American Public Health Association (APHA): New York, NY, USA, 1998.
- 10. United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). *Standards for the Use and Disposal of Sewage Sludge;* EPA: Washington, DC, USA, 1994.
- 11. Cucina, M.; Tacconi, C.; Ricci, A.; Pezzolla, D.; Sordi, S.; Zadra, C.; Gigliotti, G. Evaluation of benefits and risks associated with the agricultural use of organic wastes of pharmaceutical origin. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2018**, *613–614*, 773–782. [CrossRef]
- 12. Silva, C.C. Proposta de Biodigestor Anaeróbio para a Codigestão dos Lodos Gerados na ETE Brasília Norte e Resíduos Sólidos Urbanos; Monography; University of Brasilia: Brasilia, Brazil, 2016.
- 13. Available online: http://www.ica.ufmg.br/gemisa (accessed on 1 June 2015).
- 14. Cetecioglu, Z.; Ince, B.; Gros, M.; Rodriguez-Mozaz, S.; Barceló, D.; Ince, O.; Orhon, D. Biodegradation and reversible inhibitory impact of sulfamethoxazole on the utilization of volatile fatty acids during anaerobic treatment of pharmaceutical industry wastewater. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2015**, *536*, 667–674. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Oktem, Y.A.; Ince, O.; Donnelly, T.; Sallis, P.; Ince, B.K. Determination of optimum operating conditions of an acidification reactor treating a chemical synthesis-based pharmaceutical wastewater. *Process Biochem.* 2006, 41, 2258–2263. [CrossRef]
- Turki, N.; Belhaj, D.; Jaabiri, I.; Ayadi, H.; Kallel, M.; Bouzid, J. Determination of organic compounds in landfill leachates treated by coagulation-flocculation and fenton-adsorption. *IOSR J. Environ. Sci. Toxicol. Food Technol.* 2013, 7, 18–25. [CrossRef]
- Rigobello, E.S.; Scandelai, A.P.J.; Corso, B.L.; Tavares, C.R.G. Identification of organic compounds in a municipal landfill leachate by gas chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry. *Quim. Nova* 2015, *38*, 794–800. [CrossRef]
- Castillo, M.; Barceló, D. Characterisation of organic pollutants in textile wastewaters and landfill leachate by using toxicity-based fractionation methods followed by liquid and gas chromatography coupled to mass spectrometric detection. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 2001, 426, 253–264. [CrossRef]
- 19. Martins, C.C.; Gomes, F.B.A.; Ferreira, J.A.; Montone, R.C. Organic markers of sewage contamination in surface sediments from Santos bay, São Paulo. *Quim. Nova* **2008**, *31*, 1008–1014. [CrossRef]
- Clarke, B.O.; Smith, S.R. Review of 'emerging' organic contaminants in biosolids and assessment of international research priorities for the agricultural use of biosolids. *Environ. Int.* 2011, 37, 226–247. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 21. Lakhdar, A.; Scelza, R.; Scotti, R.; Rao, M.A.; Jedidi, N.; Gianfreda, L.; Abdelly, C. The effect of compost and sewage sludge on soil biologic activities in salt affected soil. R.C. *Suelo Nutr. Veg.* **2010**, *10*, 40–47. [CrossRef]
- Daliakopoulos, I.N.; Tsanis, I.K.; Koutroulis, A.; Kourgialas, N.N.; Varouchakis, A.E.; Karatzas, G.P.; Ritsema, C.J. The threat of soil salinity: A European scale review. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2016, 573, 727–739. [CrossRef]
- 23. Brazil—Ministry of Environment, National Environment Council. Resolution n° 375. 30 August 2006. Available online: http://www.normasbrasil.com.br/norma/resolucao-3752--006\_103464.html (accessed on 1 June 2015).
- 24. Teixeira, S.T.; Melo, W.J.; Silva, E.T. Application of water treatment sludge in degraded soil. *Pesq. Agropec. Bras.* 2005, 40, 91–94. [CrossRef]
- 25. Botero, W.G.; Santos, A.; Oliveira, L.C.; Rocha, J.C. Characterization of sludge generated in water treatment plants: Prospects for agricultural application. *Quim. Nova* **2009**, *32*, 2018–2022. [CrossRef]
- 26. Correia, J.E. Caracterização Físico-Química e Microbiológica do Lodo Gerado na Estação de Tratamento de Esgoto Contorno. Feira de Santana. BA. Dissertation, State University of Feira de Santana, Feira de Santana, Brazil, 2009.

- 27. *Solid Waste—Classification, Brazilian Standard of 2004;* ABNT NBR: Rio de Janeiro, Brasil, 2004; 71 p. Available online: http://www.suape.pe.gov.br/images/publicacoes/normas/ABNT\_NBR\_n\_10004\_2004.pdf (accessed on 1 June 2015).
- 28. Athanasoulia, E.; Melidis, P.; Aivasidis, A. Optimization of biogas production from waste activated sludge through serial digestion. *Renew. Energy* **2012**, *47*, 147–151. [CrossRef]
- 29. Berglund, M.; Borjesson, P. Assessment of energy performance in the life-cycle of biogas production. *Biomass Bioenerg*. **2006**, *30*, 254–266. [CrossRef]
- Matteo, U.D.; Nastasi, B.; Albo, A.; Garcia, D.A. Energy Contribution of OFMSW (Organic Fraction of Municipal Solid Waste) to energy-environmental sustainability in urban areas at small scale. *Energies* 2017, 10, 229. [CrossRef]



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).