# ORIGINAL ARTICLE



# Role of management and human resource factors on matrix support in secondary oral health care in Brazil

Department of Community and Preventive Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Brazil

#### Correspondence

Mauro Henrique Nogueira Guimarães de Abreu, Department of Community and Preventive Dentistry, School of Dentistry, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Avenida Antônio Carlos, 6627 - Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil. Email: maurohenriqueabreu@gmail.com

### **Funding information**

The authors would like to thank to Ministério da Saúde–Brazil. MHNGA is fellow from Ministério da Ciência, Tecnologia e Inovação–Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico, Brazil (process number 303772/2019-0)

## Abstract

**Objectives:** To investigate the association between health management and human resource factors on matrix support (MS) in a nationally representative sample of Dental Specialty Centres (DSCs) in Brazil.

**Methods:** This survey included 1042 DSCs (Response rate = 94.99%) in the second cycle of the National Program for the Improvement of the Quality and Access to the Dental Specialty Centres (PMAQ-CEO, in Portuguese) in 2018. Previously trained interviewers extracted information on MS, health management and human resources of the DSC by using a structured instrument. An MS score was created by adding the number of positive answers to the 10 MS questions. Negative binomial regression models were used to estimate the unadjusted and adjusted rate ratios (RR) and corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI).

**Results:** Of all the DSCs (n = 1042), 116 (11.1%) performed all 10 MS procedures. Those DSCs with a manager who had a higher education degree in the area of Public Health or Public Management (RR = 1.01, 95% CI, 1.01-1.02) and with human resources that received incentives, bonuses or financial awards for performance related to the PMAQ-CEO result (RR = 1.01 95% CI 1.01-1.02) are more likely to perform MS, when compared to the reference categories. The DSCs that are more likely to perform MS include those that developed actions as a result of periodic planning and evaluation with confirmatory documentation (RR = 1.06, 95% CI; 1.01-1.10); those that received support for planning and organizing the work scheme (RR = 1.03, 95%Cl; 1.01–1.05); those that monitored and analysed the goals set for each specialty offered at the DSC, with (RR = 1.06, 95% CI; 1.01-1.10) or without confirmatory documentation (RR = 1.06, 95%CI; 1.02–1.11); those whose team periodically performed self-assessment processes, using the Ministry of Health's formal self-assessment (AMAQ in Portuguese) (RR = 1.04, 95% Cl; 1.02-1.05); those who followed clinical guidelines (with confirmatory documentation) regarding the referral of patients from primary care to the DSC (RR = 1.02, 95% CI; 1.01-1.04). On the contrary, DSCs that did not use the results achieved in previous PMAQ cycles in the organization of the

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes. © 2021 The Authors. *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

DSC's team work scheme proved to be less likely to perform MS (RR = 0.98, 95% CI; 0.96–0.99).

**Conclusions:** Matrix support is associated with human resources and management factors in secondary oral health care in Brazil. Continuing professional development and some management characteristics are important for secondary dental care quality and could be considered in health policy initiatives.

## KEYWORDS

dental health services, health care evaluation mechanisms, interprofessional relations

# 1 | BACKGROUND

The construction of a poly-hierarchical structure of the Brazilian public oral healthcare network, under a Unified Health System (SUS in Portuguese), a universal healthcare system funded by federal, state and municipal budgets, has been a challenging matter. In this system, primary health care (PHC) is the entry level and it is expected to solve 80% of oral health care. Since 2006, patients requiring the service from a medium-complexity unit have been referred to Dental Specialty Centres (DSCs), where oral cancer diagnoses, special needs patient care endodontics, specialized periodontics and minor oral surgery of hard and soft tissues procedures are developed. Tertiary oral health care involves dental procedures for groups that need hospital care. The access for all patients is free and there is no co-payment for those services.<sup>1</sup> However, the institutionalization of a national secondary oral health care<sup>1</sup> and the integration of these two levels of care, PHC and secondary health care, has been considered a relevant public health issue in Brazil and other countries.<sup>1-3</sup> Both difficulties in integrating these two levels of oral health care nationally<sup>4</sup> as well as in the development of the oral healthcare network in a Brazilian southeastern state have been recently identified,<sup>5</sup> presenting lack of structure and limited access to secondary oral health care.4,5

In Brazil, some experiences in collaborative care planning and educational support from an interprofessional perspective (from different healthcare levels or from the same healthcare level) to others, called "matrix support" (MS),<sup>6</sup> has been considered an interesting experience to overcome the flaws in the development of the healthcare network and to increase the quality of care. Some evidence of MS can be found in the areas of mental health,<sup>7</sup> respiratory diseases<sup>8</sup> and nutritional health care,<sup>9</sup> and there is evidence that matrix support actions can positively impact the quality of PHC teams.<sup>10</sup> MS could also be considered a technical and educational background for the human resources at PHC to enhance the quality of their healthcare actions and integrate different specialties.<sup>6-9</sup> As an example, in the mental health area, the training of human resources at PHC and specialists in mental health enhanced the actions for patients with mental diseases at PHC.<sup>7</sup> Also, health training for general physicians has influenced the knowledge on respiratory diseases and decreased the number of referrals to secondary pulmonology.<sup>8</sup>

On the contrary, internationally, the impact of practice-based interventions designed to improve interprofessional collaboration,<sup>11</sup> a concept similar to MS, has shown limited proof of this practice in the healthcare outcomes among developed countries. The authors point out that the difficulties faced by health professionals when collaborating in clinical practice could explain these results.<sup>11</sup> Human resources and health management factors could interfere in the healthcare provisions<sup>12,13</sup> and MS.<sup>6,7</sup> In recent years, the growth of work instability, resulting from the neoliberal model of employment, may well explain some of these factors.<sup>14</sup> Nonetheless, evidence of the influence of these factors on MS in secondary oral health care is scarce. Thus, investigating factors associated with the MS could generate useful knowledge to make interprofessional collaboration more effective in oral health networks. Hence, this study aimed to investigate the association between health management and human resource factors within the MS in a nationally representative sample of DSCs in Brazil.

# 2 | METHODS

The present study involved secondary analysis of data from the second cycle of the Program for Improvement of the Quality and Access to the Dental Specialty Centres (PMAQ-CEO in Portuguese), carried out in 2018. All 1097 DSCs were free to participate. Fifty-five out of the 1.097 DSCs were excluded by PMAQ-CEO evaluation criteria either because they were closed, not treating patients or merely refused to participate in the Program, resulting in data collected from 1042 DSCs (Response rate = 94.99%).

The PMAQ-CEO program consists of a four-phase agreement, development, external evaluation and re-contractualization—which complement each other and form a continuous evaluation cycle of DSC teams. The external evaluation phase is comprised of a questionnaire applied at the PHC units, in addition to its verification. The questionnaires were based on the principles of PHC and Donabedian's model for the evaluation of healthcare services, examining the structure, process and outcomes, and including questions concerning the structure of dental facilities, dental instruments, executed dental procedures, dentists' profiles, as well as management and service organization.<sup>15</sup> The questions involved dichotomous responses (yes/no) and were answered in a face-to-face interview with a representative dentist from each DSC unit.

The service evaluation involved a team of 85 interviewers, all dentists, who had no professional connection with the evaluated service and who underwent a 24-h training program regarding DSC in SUS, survey methods and PMAQ-CEO questionnaires to enable them to conduct this survey nationwide. All interviewers underwent formal evaluation to assess their abilities prior to beginning the study. The Brazilian Ministry of Health developed a mobile app with the relevant questions, which sent responses to a central online database. The PMAQ-CEO questionnaire was specifically constructed to evaluate SUS through a partnership between Ministry of Health (MofH) and teaching and research institutions in Brazil. In the present study, our outcome was questions related to the delivery of 10 MS questions (Table 1). MS is a collaborative care planning and educational support from an interprofessional perspective (from different healthcare levels or from the same healthcare level).<sup>6</sup> All of these questions, originally identified in the PMAO-CEO survey as related to MS, were part of the external evaluation phase of PMAQ-CEO, Module II, involving data gathered in face-to-face interviews with the dentist at the DSC unit. Whilst the overall PMAQ-CEO, Module II, had 346 guestions, these 10 items were selected to be part of this research considering the aim of this study.

A variable called the 'MS Score' (MSS) was developed in relation to the 10 MS questions, much like the method used in another study.<sup>16</sup> Each 'yes' answer in the above items resulted in one point. Each answer 'no/not needed' ('Does the DSC's team receive support from other professionals to help solving cases considered complex?'), 'no defined periodicity' ('How often does the DSC's team provide support to the Primary Oral Healthcare Teams?') and 'no' (for all the other eight questions) scored zero. Thus, this score varied from zero to ten, with each DSC receiving a score relevant to one

TABLE 1Frequency of questions usedto construct the matrix support score(MSS) among Dental Specialty Centres,Brazil, 2018

of these values. Higher scores reveal that the DSC performed more MS.

Descriptive statistical analysis with the calculation of proportions and central tendency measures was performed. The analysed dependent variable was the MSS. Negative binomial regression models were used to estimate the unadjusted and adjusted rate ratios (RR) and corresponding 95% confidence interval. First, we carried out unadjusted negative binomial regression models to estimate unadjusted RR (95% CI) and *p*-values for each of the 18 covariates separately. In this first step, any covariate with a *p*-value of less than .25 was a candidate to be tested in the final adjusted negative binomial regression model.

Because the interest was focused on the independent effects of each covariate, all potential variables were included in the unadjusted model. Secondarily, the regression models were analysed based on Brazilian regions (South, Southeast, Center, Northeast and North). Only covariates with a *p*-value of less than .05 were maintained in the final model. To evaluate the goodness of fit of the final model, the ratio between residual deviance and degree of freedom, and the chi-squared test of the results of residual deviance, was recommended.<sup>17,18</sup> This study was submitted to and approved by Ethics Committee for Human Research of the Universidade Federal de Pernambuco (protocol number 23458213.0.1001.5208).

# 3 | RESULTS

The most frequently MS action was receiving support from other professionals. The less frequent action on MS was the joint construction of therapeutic projects with professionals from the Primary Oral Healthcare Teams (Table 1). From the total of DSCs (n = 1042), 116 (11.1%) performed all 10 health matrix activities. Two hundred

| Questions   | Frequency<br>(%) |
|---|------------------|
| Does the DSC's team receive support from other professionals to aid in solving cases considered complex? (Yes)                            | 812 (77.9)       |
| How often does the DSC's team provide support to the Primary Oral Healthcare<br>Teams? (weekly, biweekly, monthly, quarterly, semiannual) | 369 (35.4)       |
| Discussion of clinical cases, sentinel events, difficulty and challenging cases.<br>(Yes)   | 775 (74.4)       |
| ihared clinical actions with the professionals of the Primary Oral Healthcare<br>Teams. (Yes)   | 681 (65.4)       |
| raining with primary care professionals in the detection of oral cancer. (Yes)  | 641 (61.5)       |
| oint construction of therapeutic projects with the professionals of the Primary<br>Oral Healthcare Teams. (Yes)                           | 469 (45.0)       |
| Continuity of professional development training together with the professionals of the Primary Oral Healthcare Teams. (Yes)               | 615 (59.0)       |
| linical protocol construction and discussion. (Yes)   | 725 (69.6)       |
| pecialty Benchmark Package. (Yes)   | 775 (74.4)       |
| Make home visits with the professionals of the Primary Oral Healthcare Teams, when requested. (Yes)                                       | 472 (45.3)       |

ninety-five DSCs presented scores below five, 156 clinics presented scores of zero and one, twenty clinics presented a score of two, and 42 and 77 clinics presented scores of three and four, respectively. The median MSS was 7 (Q1: 4; Q3: 9) (Figure S1).

Those DSCs with a manager who had a higher education degree in the area of Public Health or Public Management (RR = 1.01, 95%Cl; 1.01-1.02) and with human resources that received incentives, bonuses or financial awards for performance related to the PMAQ-CEO result (RR = 1.01, 95% CI; 1.01-1.02) are more likely to perform MS actions, as compared to the reference categories. DSCs that developed actions as a result of periodic planning and evaluation with confirmatory documentation (RR = 1.06, 95% CI; 1.01–1.10); those that received support for planning and organizing the work scheme (RR = 1.03, 95% CI; 1.01-1.05); those that monitored and analysed the goals set for each specialty offered in the CEO, with (RR = 1.06, 95% CI; 1.01-1.10) or without confirmatory documentation (RR = 1.06, 95% CI; 1.02-1.11); those whose team periodically performed self-assessment processes, using AMAQ (RR = 1.04, 95%) CI; 1.02-1.05); those that followed clinical guidelines (with confirmatory documentation) regarding the referral of patients from primary care to the DSC (RR = 1.02, 95% CI; 1.01-1.04) are more likely to perform MS actions. By contrast, DSCs that did not use the results achieved in previous PMAQ cycles in the organization of the DSC team's work scheme are less likely to perform MS actions (RR = 0.98, CI 95%; 0.96-0.99) (Table 2). All the covariates in this final model were identified in the models stratified by Brazilian regions. There were some differences in the covariates retained in the final models considering the different Brazilian regions (Table S1). Parameters of goodness of fit were adequate. Chi-squared test of the residual deviance results in a p-value equal to 1, indicating that the model fit well.

# 4 | DISCUSSION

Secondary dental care in Brazil developed at DSCs has no hegemonic practice of MS, since only a few centres actually performed all of the MS actions. Moreover, the DSCs most often received support from other health teams than gave support to PHC. Human resources and management factors were associated with the development of MS.

According to the National Oral Health Policy, the DSCs are referral units for oral health teams at PHCs, and, as such, they must have integrated planning with them and with other regional health establishments. In addition, it is expected that professionals who today in the care network will be co-responsible for the comprehensiveness of care as well as contribute to the development of competencies and technical skills of PHC professionals.<sup>1</sup>

It seems that DSCs have a more passive profile regarding MS, since they commonly received more help from other health services, confirming findings from MS in mental health area.<sup>19</sup> The balance between giving and receiving support in a healthcare system could be achieved by an exchange between knowledge from different professions<sup>11</sup> and could be considered relevant for improving the quality of healthcare services. In another qualitative research developed

at PHCs in Brazil,<sup>19</sup> health professionals conduct MS using a more traditional educational role, based on assimilation of the transmitted contents.<sup>19</sup> In the current study, even in MS developed from DSCs to PHCs, the most common actions involved the development of criteria to refer patients from PHCs to DSCs, as well as the discussion of clinical cases. Other MS, such as training and home visits, in which in-depth interaction may occur, are less frequently performed. Moreover, the MS is not performed as a regular action within DSCs, considering the high frequency of 'no defined periodicity'. These latter factors suggest a weak relationship between PHC and secondary care that have been identified in Brazil<sup>4</sup> and in the UK,<sup>19</sup> as well as difficulties in the more horizontal educational relationship between these two levels of care.<sup>20</sup>

Two human resource factors were associated with better MSS, the formation of the manager and the existence of financial incentives for health professionals. For both covariates, the MSS increased by approximately 1% when the manager has formation in Public Health or when there is a financial incentive. Despite not being a strong association, the workforce should be aware, properly trained and prepared to meet healthcare system needs.<sup>21</sup> In recent decades, the Brazilian government has implemented a national healthcare worker training within the SUS system, including certificates (ie specialization) in public health and family health.<sup>22</sup> Globally, human resource management, and understanding what it means, is essential to the healthcare system and can ensure improved care.<sup>21</sup> The evaluation of some experiences has shown a good impact in developing competencies within the public health scenario.<sup>23,24</sup> These competencies can explain the high MSS among DSCs with managers who have a higher education degree in public health. Another interesting finding is the direct association between MSS and financial incentives for health professionals. PMAQ-CEO has developed its evaluation policies based on payment for performance. In this approach, these programs provide financial rewards to individual healthcare professionals linked to the achievement of the metrics of delivery, utilization, efficiency or outcomes in health care. Although there is no consensus concerning the effectiveness of payment for performance on the outcomes and processes of health care,<sup>25,26</sup> in the current study, incentives, bonuses or financial rewards received by DSC professionals positively impacted the MSS. A systematic review focuses on interprofessional collaboration at PHC settings has found that professional training and financial incentives are key factors to facilitate collaborative relationships.<sup>27</sup> Lack of financial incentives in countries with universal health systems was also perceived as a barrier to enhance interprofessional collaboration at PHC.<sup>28,29</sup> On the other hand, some covariates such as the mere presence of a manager, the time of work of this manager, and the fact that the manager was an oral health professional had no effect on MS.

Dental Specialty Centres that performed more planning actions and those that received support from managers increased the MSS in 6% and 3%, respectively. It seems that services that are more well-organized in terms of healthcare plans tend to have better work schemes, which also includes educational practices. Healthcare planning, management, evaluation and monitoring are considered key aspects of any healthcare

Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology - WILEY TABLE 2 Factors associated with matrix support score (MSS) among Dental Specialty Centres (N = 1042), Brazil, 2018

| Variable  | Matrix Scores (mean;<br>median) | Unadjusted Rate Ratio<br>(Cl 95%) | p-Value           | Adjusted Rate Ratio<br>(Cl 95%) | p-Value |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| Human resource variables                                  |                                 |                                   |                   |                                 |         |
| Is there a manager at DSC?                                |                                 |                                   |                   |                                 |         |
| No (N = 48)   | 3.9; 4.0                        | 1                                 | .001              |                                 |         |
| Yes (N = 994)   | 6.2; 7.0                        | 1.08 (1.03–1.13)                  |                   |                                 |         |
| How long have you been in this DSC po                     | sition as a manager?            |                                   |                   |                                 |         |
| There is no manager/I do not know $(N = 95)$              | 4.5; 5.0                        | 1                                 |                   |                                 |         |
| Less than 1 year ( $N = 245$ )                            | 6.2; 7.0                        | 1.05 (1.02–1.08)                  | <.001             |                                 |         |
| 1 to 2 years ( <i>N</i> = 380)                            | 6.3; 7.0                        | 1.05 (1.02–1.08)                  | <.001             |                                 |         |
| 3 to 4 years (N = 107)                                    | 5.9; 7.0                        | 1.04 (1.011.08)                   | .005              |                                 |         |
| 5 to 9 years (N = 166)                                    | 6.6; 7.0                        | 1.06 (1.03–1.09)                  | <.001             |                                 |         |
| 10 years or more ( $N = 49$ )                             | 5.6; 7.0                        | 1.04 (0.99–1.08)                  | .058              |                                 |         |
| Does the manager have or is in the proc                   | cess of studying for a high     | er education degree in the        | area of Public He | alth or Public Manageme         | ent?    |
| No (N = 641)  | 5.6; 6.0                        | 1                                 | <.001             | 1                               | .003    |
| Yes (N = 401)   | 6.9; 7.0                        | 1.03 (1.02–1.04)                  |                   | 1.01 (1.01–1.02)                |         |
| Is the manager a Dentist, Oral Health Te                  | echnician or Dental Assis       | tant?                             |                   |                                 |         |
| No (N = 119)  | 5.3; 6.0                        | 1                                 | .010              |                                 |         |
| Yes (N = 923)   | 6.2; 7.0                        | 1.02 (1.01–1.04)                  |                   |                                 |         |
| Do professionals receive incentives, bo                   | nuses or financial awards       | for performance related to        | the PMAQ-CEO      | result?                         |         |
| No/I do not know ( $N = 776$ )                            | 5.7; 6.0                        | 1                                 | <.001             | 1                               | .014    |
| Yes (N = 266)   | 7.1; 8.0                        | 1.03 (1.02–1.04)                  |                   | 1.01 (1.01–1.02)                |         |
| Management variables                                      |                                 |                                   |                   |                                 |         |
| Time DSC has been in operating (in yea                    | rs)                             |                                   |                   |                                 |         |
|   |                                 | 0.99 (0.99–1.00)                  | .034              |                                 |         |
| Are the actions developed in this DSC t                   | he result of periodic plan      | ning and evaluation?              |                   |                                 |         |
| No (N = 114)  | 3.2; 2.0                        | 1                                 |                   | 1                               |         |
| Yes, without confirmatory documentation (N = 185)         | 5.3; 6.0                        | 1.11 (1.06–1.16)                  | <.001             | 1.04 (1.00–1.09)                | .062    |
| Yes, with confirmatory documentation ( <i>N</i> = 743)    | 6.7; 7.0                        | 1.15 (1.10–1.20)                  | <.001             | 1.06 (1.01–1.10)                | .014    |
| Does the DSC receive support for plan                     | ning and organizing the w       | ork scheme?                       |                   |                                 |         |
| No/Does not perform planning and evaluation ( $N = 222$ ) | 3.9; 4.0                        | 1                                 | <.001             | 1                               | .005    |
| Yes (N = 820)   | 6.7; 7.0                        | 1.10 (1.07–1.12)                  |                   | 1.03 (1.01–1.05)                |         |
| Is there monitoring and analysis of the g                 | goals set for each specialt     | y offered in the DSC?             |                   |                                 |         |
| No (N = 85)   | 3.2; 2.0                        | 1                                 |                   | 1                               |         |
| Yes, without confirmatory documentation (N = 125)         | 5.2; 6.0                        | 1.10 (1.05–1.16)                  | <.001             | 1.06 (1.02–1.11)                | .008    |
| Yes, with confirmatory documentation (N = 832)            | 6.5; 7.0                        | 1.14 (1.09–1.20)                  | <.001             | 1.06 (1.01–1.10)                | .009    |
| Are self-assessment processes periodic                    |                                 |                                   |                   |                                 |         |
| No ( <i>N</i> = 260)                                      | 4.1; 4.0                        | 1                                 |                   | 1                               |         |
| Yes, using others types of self-<br>assessment (N = 90)   | 5.1; 5.0                        | 1.04 (1.02–1.07)                  | .002              | 1.01 (0.99–1.04)                | .235    |
| Yes, using AMAQ ( $N = 692$ )                             | 7.0; 8.0                        | 1.09 (1.07-1.11)                  | <.001             | 1.04 (1.02–1.05)                | <.001   |
|   |                                 |                                   |                   |                                 |         |

23

# TABLE 2 (Continued)

|  | , ,  |                                 |                                   |                    |                                 |         |  |  |
|--|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|---------|--|--|
|  | Variable   | Matrix Scores (mean;<br>median) | Unadjusted Rate Ratio<br>(CI 95%) | p-Value            | Adjusted Rate Ratio<br>(Cl 95%) | p-Value |  |  |
|  | Have the results achieved in previous P  | MAQ cycles been conside         | red in the organization of t      | he DSC's team w    | ork scheme?                     |         |  |  |
|  | First time in PMAQ evaluation $(N = 247)$  | 5.9; 6.0                        | 1                                 |                    | 1                               |         |  |  |
|  | No ( <i>N</i> = 248)   | 4.5; 5.0                        | 0.96 (0.94-0.97)                  | <.001              | 0.98 (0.96-0.99)                | .002    |  |  |
|  | Yes (N = 547)  | 6.9; 8.0                        | 1.02 (1.01-1.03)                  | <.001              | 1.00 (0.99-1.01)                | .523    |  |  |
|  | Are there any clinical guidelines (with c<br>specialty?  | onfirmatory documentatio        | on) that guide the referral o     | f patients from pr | imary care to the DSC to        | o any   |  |  |
|  | No ( <i>N</i> = 216)   | 4.5; 5.0                        | 1                                 | <.001              | 1                               | .006    |  |  |
|  | Yes (N = 826)  | 6.5; 7.0                        | 1.06 (1.04–1.07)                  |                    | 1.02 (1.01–1.04)                |         |  |  |
|  | Does the continuity of professional dev  | elopment training address       | s the demands and needs o         | f the DSC's team?  | ,                               |         |  |  |
|  | No action was performed $(N = 303)$  | 4.5; 5.0                        | 1                                 |                    |                                 |         |  |  |
|  | The actions did not address $(N = 38)$   | 5.3; 6.0                        | 1.03 (1.00–1.06)                  | .077               |                                 |         |  |  |
|  | Yes, partially ( $N = 389$ )   | 6.6; 7.0                        | 1.06 (1.05–1.08)                  | <.001              |                                 |         |  |  |
|  | Yes, fully ( $N = 312$ )   | 7.0; 8.0                        | 1.07 (1.05–1.08)                  | <.001              |                                 |         |  |  |
|  | In the DSC's planning in the last 12 months, were the suggestions/opinions of the dentists taken into consideration? |                                 |                                   |                    |                                 |         |  |  |
|  | No/planning was not performed (N = 202)  | 4.4; 4.0                        | 1                                 |                    |                                 |         |  |  |
|  | Yes (N = 840)  | 6.5; 7.0                        | 1.06 (1.05–1.08)                  | <.001              |                                 |         |  |  |
|  | Does the DSC's team plan/program its   | activities considering the o    | challenges pointed out fror       | n the self-assessm | nent – AMAQ?                    |         |  |  |
|  | Planning was not performed<br>(N = 194)  | 4.4; 4.0                        | 1                                 |                    |                                 |         |  |  |
|  | Plans/programs were based on<br>other self-assessment methods<br>(N = 290)   | 5.3; 6.0                        | 1.03 (1.01-1.05)                  | .004               |                                 |         |  |  |
|  | Yes (N = 558)  | 7.1; 8.0                        | 1.08 (1.06-1.10)                  | <.001              |                                 |         |  |  |
|  | Does the DSC's team perform the evaluation of planned/programmed actions?  |                                 |                                   |                    |                                 |         |  |  |
|  | No (N = 324)   | 4.7; 5.0                        | 1                                 |                    |                                 |         |  |  |
|  | Yes, without confirmatory<br>documentation (N = 194)   | 5.7; 6.0                        | 1.03 (1.02-1.05)                  | <.001              |                                 |         |  |  |
|  | Yes, with confirmatory<br>documentation (N = 524)  | 7.1; 8.0                        | 1.07 (1.05–1.08)                  | <.001              |                                 |         |  |  |
|  | Does the management support the orga<br>PMAQ-CEO?  | anization of the work sche      | me aimed at improving acc         | ess and quality fr | om the standards of the         |         |  |  |
|  | No (N = 141)   | 4.1; 4.0                        | 1                                 | <.001              |                                 |         |  |  |
|  | Yes ( <i>N</i> = 901)  | 6.4; 7.0                        | 1.08 (1.05-1.10)                  |                    |                                 |         |  |  |
|  | Does the DSC hold a team meeting?  |                                 |                                   |                    |                                 |         |  |  |
|  | No (N = 124)   | 4.5; 5.0                        | 1                                 | <.001              |                                 |         |  |  |
|  |  |                                 |                                   |                    |                                 |         |  |  |

1.05 (1.03-1.08)

system.<sup>30,31</sup> Health management should focus on appropriate interventions for individuals within a given population to diminish healthcare risks and costs.<sup>32</sup> In SUS, planning is a highly relevant aspect of health management.<sup>33,34</sup> In Spain and other countries with universal health care, it was also identified that management support could be a facilitator of interprofessional collaboration at PHC.<sup>27,29</sup> In the same sense, healthcare evaluation and monitoring also impacted the MSS. These actions are necessary to evaluate the performance of healthcare policies,

6.3; 7.0

Yes (N = 918)

as well as to formulate the proper criteria to improve the healthcare system as a whole.<sup>35,36</sup> Other management factors, such as time of operating the DSC, self-evaluation and team meeting, had no effect on MSS. A systematic review of qualitative studies had identified that interprofessional collaboration is influenced by a net of complex factors that could be modified based on local level.<sup>29</sup>

The use of guidelines was positively associated with MSS. Guidelines are developed to support healthcare providers regarding patients' dental health. These guidelines also enhance dentists' continuing education and can diminish the gap between dental research and clinical practice, contributing to the quality of care provided.<sup>37,38</sup> In PHCs, the existence of guidelines was also associated with a better performance of dental teams,<sup>39</sup> reinforcing its importance for the Brazilian oral healthcare network. Finally, regional differences and social inequalities in Brazil<sup>1,4,13,16</sup> can explain the different covariates that have influenced MSS in the different regions. Beside these differences, human resources and management factors had an effect on MSS in all five Brazilian regions, similar to the findings throughout the country.

Despite the advances in oral health policies in Brazil in recent decades,<sup>1</sup> new and serious challenges in SUS appeared with the new Federal Government of Brazil.<sup>40</sup> Hence, the human resources and management factors associated with MSS and that could be further developed are at risk of being discontinued. It is also important to point out that the world is facing the COVID-19 pandemic, and in this context, telehealth could be an interesting choice<sup>41</sup> for developing MS actions.

In this survey, we were not able to measure the reliability and reproducibility of the instrument used by the trained researchers. Moreover, the cross-sectional design also hindered causal inferences. Despite these limitations, this is the most comprehensive and large empirical evaluation focused on the MS in secondary oral healthcare in Brazil. It is possible to conclude that MS is associated with human resources and management factors in secondary oral healthcare in Brazil. Continuing professional development and some management characteristics are important for secondary dental care quality and could be considered in health policy initiatives. In order to reach advances in the MS and, more broadly, in the quality of secondary care, a long-term monitoring in the SUS is encouraged, ensuring, beyond other advantages, that our results had an impact in public health policies.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest to disclosure.

# AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MHNGA, JHLA and MAFW were responsible for the conception of the study. MHNGA and RSP carried out the statistical analyses. MHNGA, JHLA, LGZ, MV and RSP were responsible for the interpretation of data. MHNGA drafted the article. All authors revised it critically for important intellectual content and final approval the version to be published.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are openly available on the Brazilian Ministry of Health website at http://aps.saude.gov. br/ape/pmaq/ciclo2ceo/.

## ORCID

Mauro Henrique Nogueira Guimarães de Abreu Dhttps://orcid. org/0000-0001-8794-5725

# Rafaela da Silveira Pinto 🗅 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6169-7708

## REFERENCES

 Pucca GA Jr, Gabriel M, Araujo ME, Almeida FCS. Ten years of a national oral health policy in Brazil: innovation, boldness, and numerous challenges. J Dent Res. 2015;94:1333-1337.

Community Dentistry and Oral FPIDEMIOLOGY

- Nicholson C, Jackson MJ. A governance model for integrated primary/ secondary care for the health-reforming first world—results of a systematic review. BMC Health Serv Res. 2013;13(1):528.
- Dudley L, Garner P. Strategies for integrating primary health services in low- and middle-income countries at the point of delivery. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2011;6:CD003318.
- Martins RC, Reis CM, Machado ATM, Amaral JHL, Werneck MAF, Abreu MHNG. Relationship between primary and secondary dental care in public health services in Brazil. *PLoS One*. 2016;11:e0164986.
- Leal DL, Martins RC, Carneiro NCR, Abreu MHNG, Werneck MAF, Borges-Oliveira AC. Analysis of the oral health care network development in Minas Gerais State, Brazil. J Public Health Dent. 2019;79:154-159.
- Campos GWS, Domitti AC. Matrix support and reference team: a methodology for interdisciplinary health work management. *Cad Saude Publica*. 2007;23:399-407.
- Iglesias A, Avellar LZ. Matrix support in mental health: practices and concepts brought by reference teams, matrix teams and managers. *Cien Saude Colet.* 2019;24:1247-1254.
- Martins SM, Salibe-Filho W, Tonioli LP, et al. Implementation of 'matrix support' (collaborative care) to reduce asthma and COPD referrals and improve primary care management in Brazil: a pilot observational study. NPJ Prim Care Respir Med. 2016;26:16047.
- 9. Borelli M, Domene SM, Mais LA, Pavan J, Taddei JA. The inclusion of nutritionists in primary health care: a proposal for a matrix model of nutritional care. *Cien Saude Colet*. 2015;20:2765-2778.
- Chaves LAC, Jorge AO, Cherchiglia ML, et al. Integration of primary care in the healthcare network: analysis of the components in the external evaluation of the PMAQ-AB. *Cad Saude Publica*. 2018;34:e00201515.
- Reeves S, Pelone F, Harrison R, Godman J, Zwarenstein M. Interprofessional collaboration to improve professional practice and healthcare outcomes. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2017;6:CD000072.
- Sanz M, Treasure E, van Dijk W, et al. Profile of the dentist in the oral healthcare team in countries with developed economies. *Eur J Dent Educ.* 2008;12:101-110.
- Cunha MA, Vettore MV, Santos TR, Matta-Machado AT, Lucas SD, Abreu MHNG. The role of organizational factors and human resources in the provision of dental prosthesis in primary dental care in Brazil. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17:1646.
- 14. Garrido-Pinzón J, Bernardo MH. Health workers' experiences in dealing with the neoliberal logic: a study of primary care in Colombia and Brazil. *Cad Saude Publica*. 2017;33:e00050716.
- Donabedian A. Evaluating the quality of medical care. Milbank Q. 2005;83:691-729.
- Abreu MHNG, Resende VLS, Lee KH, Matta-Machado ATG, Starr JR. Regional differences in infection control conditions in a sample of primary health care services in Brazil. *Cad Saude Publica*. 2017;33:e00072416.
- Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S, Sturdivant RX. Applied Logistic Regression, 3rd edn. Wiley & Sons; 2013.
- 18. Long JS. Regression Models for Categorical and Limited Dependent Variables, 1st edn. Sage Publications; 1997.
- Bains M, Warriner D, Behrendt K. Primary and secondary care integration in delivery of value-based health-care systems. *Br J Hosp Med.* 2018;79:312-315.
- Costa FRM, Lima VV, Silva RF, Fioroni LN. Challenges of matrix support as educational practice: mental health in primary healthcare. *Interface*. 2015;19:491-502.

- 21. Kabene SM, Orchard C, Howard JM, Leduc R. The importance of human resources management in health care: a global context. *Hum Resour Health*. 2006;4:20.
- 22. Paim J, Travasssos C, Almeida C, Bahia L, Macinko J. The Brazilian health system: history, advances, and challenges. *Lancet*. 2011;377:1778-1797.
- 23. Scherer MDA, Oliveira CI, Carvalho WMES, Costa MP. Specialization training courses on family health: what can training change in the work? *Interface*. 2016;20:691-702.
- 24. Buchan J, Fronteira IF, Dussault G. Continuity and change in human resources policies for health: lessons from Brazil. *Hum Resour Health*. 2011;9:17.
- 25. Ogundeji YK, Bland JM, Sheldon TA. The effectiveness of payment for performance in health care: a meta-analysis and exploration of variation in outcomes. *Health Policy*. 2016;120:1141-1150.
- 26. Mendelson A, Kondo K, Damberg C, et al. The effects of pay-forperformance programs on health, health care use, and processes of care: a systematic review. *Ann Intern Med.* 2017;166:341-353.
- 27. Bollen A, Harrison R, Aslani P, van Haastregt JCM. Factors influencing interprofessional collaboration between community pharmacists and general practitioners. A systematic review. *Health Soc Care Community*. 2019;27:e189-e212.
- Gaboury I, Bujold M, Boon H, Moher D. Interprofessional collaboration within Canadian integrative healthcare clinics: key components. Soc Sci Med. 2009;69:707-715.
- Supper I, Catala O, Lustman M, Chemla C, Bourgueil Y, Letrilliart
  L. Interprofessional collaboration in primary health care: a review
  of facilitators and barriers perceived by involved actors. J Public
  Health. 2014;37:716-727.
- Pan American Health Organization. Methodology for monitoring and evaluation of health sector reform in Latin America and the Caribbean. Washington D.C. 1998. Available at https://www.paho. org/hq/dmdocuments/2010/01-Methodology\_Monitoring\_Evalu ation\_HSR\_LAC.pdf Cited July 14 2020.
- World Health Organization. Monitoring and evaluation of health systems strengthening. Geneva. 2009. Available at https://www. who.int/healthinfo/HSS\_MandE\_framework\_Nov\_2009.pdf Cited July 13 2020.
- 32. Farrell T, Tomoaia-Cotisel A, Scammon D, Day J, Day R, Magill M. Care management: implications for medical practice, health policy, and health services research. Rockville, 2015. Available at https:// www.ahrq.gov/sites/default/files/publications/files/caremgmtbrief.pdf Cited July 9 2020.

- 33. Vieira FS. Advances and challenges of the unified health system planning. *Cien Saude Colet*. 2009;14:1565-1577.
- 34. Santos TP, Machado ATM, Abreu MH, Martins RC. What we know about management and organisation of primary dental care in Brazil. *PLoS One*. 2019;14:e0215429.
- 35. Santos AF, Sobrinho DF, Araujo LL, et al. Incorporation of information and communication technologies and quality of primary healthcare in Brazil. *Cad Saude Publica*. 2017;33:e00172815.
- 36. Goes PSA, Figueiredo N, Neves JC, et al. Evaluation of secondary care in oral health: a study of specialty clinics in Brazil. *Cad Saude Publica*. 2012;28:S81-S89.
- Van der Sanden WJM, Mettes DG, Plasschaert AJM, Hof MA, Grol RPTM, Verdonschot EH. Clinical practice guidelines in dentistry: opinions of dental practitioners on their contribution to the quality of dental care. *Qual Saf Health Care*. 2003;12:107-111.
- Faggion CM. The development of evidence-based guidelines in dentistry. J Dent Educ. 2013;77:124-136.
- Reis CM, Mendes SR, Mambrini JVM, Machado ATM, Werneck MAF, Abreu MH. Factors associated with the performance of primary dental health care in Brazil: a multilevel approach. *Medicine*. 2020;99:17.
- 40. Castro MC, Massuda A, Almeida G, et al. Brazil's unified health system: the first 30 years and prospects for the future. *Lancet*. 2019;394:345-356.
- 41. Vidal-Alaball J, Acosta-Roja R, Hernández NP, et al. Telemedicine in the face of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Aten Primaria*. 2020;52:418-422.

# SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of the article at the publisher's website.

How to cite this article: Abreu MHNGD, Amaral JHLD, Guimarães Zina L, Vasconcelos M, da Silveira Pinto R, Werneck MAF. Role of management and human resource factors on matrix support in secondary oral health care in Brazil. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.* 2022;50:19–26. doi:<u>10.1111/</u> cdoe.12712