








# Social vulnerability associated with the self-reported diagnosis of type II diabetes: a multilevel analysis

## *Vulnerabilidade social se associa com o diagnóstico autorreferido de diabetes tipo II: uma análise multinível*

Luís Antônio Batista Tonaco<sup>1</sup> , Maria Alice Souza Vieira<sup>1</sup> , Crizian Saar Gomes<sup>1</sup> ,  
Fabiana Lucena Rocha<sup>II</sup> , Danielle Samara Tavares de Oliveira-Figueiredo<sup>III</sup> ,  
Deborah Carvalho Malta<sup>1</sup> , Gustavo Velasquez-Melendez<sup>1</sup> 

**ABSTRACT:** *Objective:* To analyze the contextual factors associated with type II diabetes mellitus in Belo Horizonte City. *Methods:* Cross-sectional study with 5,779 adults living in Belo Horizonte City, participating in the Risk and Protection Factors Surveillance System for Chronic Diseases through Telephone Survey (Vigitel), in 2008, 2009, and 2010. Multilevel regression models were used to test the association between contextual indicators of physical and social environments, and self-reported diagnosis of diabetes, adjusted for individual sociodemographic and lifestyle factors. Descriptive analyzes and multilevel logistic regression models were used, considering a 5% significance level. *Results:* The prevalence of diabetes was 6.2% (95%CI 5.54 – 6.92), and 3.1% of the variability of chance of presenting diabetes were explained by contextual characteristics. Living in areas with high density of private places for physical activity and high income was associated with a lower chance of having diabetes. The areas with high level of social vulnerability were strongly associated with the chance of presenting diabetes, adjusted for individual characteristics. *Conclusion:* Characteristics of physical and social environments were associated with the chance of diabetes occurrence. Urban centers with opportunities to adopt healthy behaviors can help to reduce the occurrence of diabetes and its complications.

**Keywords:** Diabetes mellitus. Social vulnerability. Multilevel analysis. Chronic disease.

<sup>I</sup>Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais – Belo Horizonte (MG), Brazil.

<sup>II</sup>Universidade Federal de Campina Grande – Cajazeiras (PB), Brazil.

<sup>III</sup>Universidade Federal de Campina Grande – Cuité (PB), Brazil.

**Corresponding author:** Gustavo Velasquez-Melendez. Escola de Enfermagem, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais. Avenida Alfredo Balena, 190, Santa Efigênia, CEP: 30130-100, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil. E-mail: jguveme@gmail.com

**Conflict of interests:** nothing to declare – **Financial support:** Brazilian National Health Fund, Ministry of Health (TED 148/2018) and Minas Gerais State Research Support Foundation (FAPEMIG, PPM0071316).

**RESUMO:** *Objetivo:* Analisar os fatores contextuais associados ao diabetes mellitus tipo II em Belo Horizonte (MG). *Métodos:* Estudo transversal com 5.779 adultos residentes em Belo Horizonte, participantes do Sistema de Vigilância de Fatores de Risco e Proteção para Doenças Crônicas por Inquérito Telefônico, nos anos de 2008, 2009 e 2010. Foram utilizados modelos de regressão multinível para testar a associação entre indicadores contextuais do ambiente físico e social e diagnóstico autorreferido de diabetes, ajustados por fatores individuais sociodemográficos e de estilo de vida. Utilizaram-se análises descritivas e modelos de regressão logística multinível, considerando um nível de significância de 5%. *Resultados:* A prevalência de diabetes foi de 6,2% (IC95% 5,54–6,92), e 3,1% da variabilidade da chance de diabetes nas áreas de abrangência estudadas foi explicada por características contextuais. Residir em áreas com alta densidade de locais privados para prática de atividade física e com alta renda associou-se a menor chance de ter diabetes. As áreas com alto índice de vulnerabilidade social foram fortemente associadas ao diabetes, independentemente de características individuais. *Conclusão:* A ocorrência de diabetes está associada com as características do ambiente físico e social. Centros urbanos com oportunidades para adoção de comportamentos saudáveis podem ajudar a reduzir a ocorrência de diabetes e as suas complicações.

**Palavras-chave:** Diabetes mellitus. Vulnerabilidade social. Análise multinível. Doença crônica.

## INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a chronic non-communicable disease (NCD), considered an intervention priority by the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Action Plan for the Global Strategy for the Prevention and Control of NCD, 2011-2022.<sup>1</sup> DM causes an increase in the years of life lost due to premature death, adjusted for disability, and a reduction in quality of life, considered a significant health problem.<sup>2</sup>

The prevalence of type II diabetes mellitus (DM II) increased by 62% in the last decade. In 2019, roughly half a billion people (9.3% of adults between 20 and 79 years old) lived with diabetes worldwide, but half of these people do not know they have the disease.<sup>3</sup> The projection for 2040 is a prevalence of 10.4%, which represents nine billion people in the world.<sup>4</sup>

In Brazil, DM II accounted for almost 5% of the disease burden in 2008, with a rate of years of life lost adjusted for disability of 9.2 per thousand inhabitants.<sup>5</sup> According to a telephone survey in Brazilian capitals, the prevalence of DM went from 5.5% in 2006 to 7.4% in 2019. In 2019, its prevalence among women was higher (7.8%) in relation to men (7.1%), as well as higher in people aged 65 and over (23.0%).<sup>6</sup>

Understanding the factors associated to DM II is important to guide intervention policies.<sup>7,8</sup> Several studies have shown that sociodemographic, clinical, and unhealthy behavior characteristics are considered risk factors for this outcome.<sup>9,10</sup> However, risk factors at the individual level are not enough to understand the differences in both the prevalence and incidence of DM II in populations.<sup>11</sup>

Recent research suggests additional factors associated with DM II, including the physical and contextual characteristics of where individuals live.<sup>12</sup> Characteristics such as poverty

level,<sup>13</sup> lower supply of green areas,<sup>14,15</sup> and greater offer of fast food chains have been associated with a higher prevalence of diabetes;<sup>16</sup> whereas residential environments with more options for physical activity were associated with a lower incidence of DM II.<sup>17</sup> A lower prevalence of diabetes was also observed in geographic places of higher income and education.<sup>18</sup>

Ecological approaches to study factors associated with diabetes have the advantage offered by analysis at the community level being able to better describe economic and social contexts in which individuals live and experience health-related situations.<sup>19</sup> In addition, these studies can also measure the relative importance of each factor by identifying the residual effects of contextual factors.<sup>20</sup>

Studies are still scarce, especially in developing countries. Exploring the topic in greater depth in locations that present differences in the historical process of urban centers formation and recent changes in physical and social environments, such as Brazil, is essential. Considering that, the present study assesses relations between different characteristics of both the built and social environments, using georeferenced information and data from a monitoring system for risk and protection factors for NCD, with a representative sample. The objective of the present study was to assess the contextual factors associated with DM II in Belo Horizonte City (Minas Gerais State).

## METHODS

This is a cross-sectional study with data from adults living in Belo Horizonte City, capital of Minas Gerais State, Brazil. They were interviewed by the Risk and Protection Factors Surveillance System for Chronic Diseases through Telephone Survey (Vigitel), in 2008, 2009, and 2010. The complete and specific methodology of this system is available in previous publications.<sup>21</sup>

In 2008, 2009, and 2010, a total of 6,034 interviews were conducted by Vigitel in Belo Horizonte City. Of these interviews, 47 women were excluded (43 who were pregnant at the time of interview and four who did not know if they were pregnant), as well as people who did not inform their Brazilian Zip Code (CEP) data (175 had a blank CEP, one informed a zip code of another city, and 32 could not have their zip codes located). Thus, final sample was composed of 5,779 individuals.

The dependent variable was the self-reported diagnosis of DM II. Individuals who answered positively to the following question were considered diabetic: "Has any doctor ever told you that you have diabetes?"

For the purposes of this study, the area inside coverage area of the basic health unit (*area de abrangência da unidade básica de saúde*, AAUBS) was assumed as a contextual unit, which is considered a geographical division delimited by policies of the Brazilian Unified Health System (SUS). To identify the participant's AAUBS, their ZIP codes located inside AAUBS.

To assess the characteristics of the AAUBS context, database with information on the physical and social environment of these areas was developed. This database was geocoded from the full addresses of places, made available by several commercial and government sources, and linked to Vigitel database.

As to independent variables, they were related to both the individual and the physical and social environments of AAUBS.

Individual variables were:

- Age group, whose age in years was categorized into: 18–24; 25–34; 35–44; 45–54; 55–64; 65 or over;
- Sex, categorized into: male and female;
- Education level, categorized into: study years (0–8 years; 9–11 years; 12 or more);
- Civil status: with partner or without partner (single, widowed, separated, and divorced);
- Ethnicity/skin color, categorized into: white, black, *pardo*, and others (Asian/indigenous);
- Perception of poor health: yes/no;
- Leisure physical activity (practice of at least 150 minutes/week of moderate intensity physical activity or at least 75 minutes/week of vigorous intensity physical activity), categorized into: yes and no;
- Smoking – smoking habits, regardless of the cigarettes number, frequency, and duration – categorized into: yes and no;
- Abusive alcohol consumption – consumption of five or more doses (man), or four or more doses (woman) of alcoholic drinks on a single occasion, at least once in the last 30 days – categorized into: yes and no;
- Consumption of fruits, vegetables, and greens – consumption in five or more times a day on five or more days a week, categorized into: yes and no;
- Consumption of sweetened soda – consumption sweetened soda on five or more days a week – categorized into: yes and no;
- Body Mass Index (BMI) – weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters – presented continuously.

Environmental variables were:

- Population density: population of the AAUBS coverage area/total area (km<sup>2</sup>);
- Density of public places for physical activity: number of parks, squares and public lanes, and gyms in the city within the AAUBS coverage area/total area (km<sup>2</sup>);
- Density of private places for physical activity: number of spaces for practicing sports, dance, gyms and sports social clubs in the AAUBS coverage area/total area (km<sup>2</sup>);
- Homicide rate: (number of homicides in the AAUBS coverage area/population) × 10,000;
- Residential density: number of households in the coverage area/coverage area (km<sup>2</sup>);
- AAUBS average family income: total income of people aged 10 or over, from AAUBS, divided by 10,000;
- Density of establishments with mainly healthy food for sale;
- Number of butchers, fish markets, fresh products, dairy products and cold cuts, and supply in the AAUBS coverage area/total area (km<sup>2</sup>);
- Density of establishments with predominant sale of unhealthy food: number of establishments that sell sweets, candies, chocolates and similar products, snack bars, bars, street vendors, department stores and mini-markets in the AAUBS coverage area/total area (km<sup>2</sup>);

- Density of establishments that sell both types of food: number of hypermarkets, supermarkets, restaurants and bakeries in the AAUBS coverage area / total area (km<sup>2</sup>);
- AAUBS health vulnerability index.

For data analysis, multilevel logistic regression was used, considering the individual as unit at level 1 and the AAUBS as unit level 2. Modeling was carried out in three stages: the first included only the random intercept, in order to detect the existence of a contextual effect; the second included the individual variables; and the third included variables at the contextual level. The entry of individual and environmental variables in the multilevel model was organized considering  $p < 0.20$  in the bivariate analyzes.

The variance partition coefficient (VPC) was quantified to examine the ratio of total variance attributed to the contextual level. The percentage of reduction in variance was calculated between the null model and each subsequent model, to assess the proportion of variance explained by the variables included in the model. The adjust of models was assessed with the Akaike Information Criterion, AIC), in which the best model had the lowest AIC.<sup>22,23</sup>

All analyzes were performed using the Stata 14.0 statistical package, and the weightings attributed to each individual were considered: the inverse of the telephone number lines in the respondent's home and the number of adults living in the respondent's home.<sup>21</sup> For the final model analysis, 5% significance level was considered.

The Vigitel implementation project was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Brazilian Ministry of Health. The present study was developed respecting Resolution No. 466/2012 of the National Health Council and is part of a project entitled "Inequalities in small geographical areas of NCD indicators, violence, and their risk factors", approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais.

## RESULTS

Of the 5,779 individuals studied, 53.9% were female, 40.5% had zero to eight years of study, 54.1% were *pardo* /black, and 53.9% lived without a partner. The average age of participants was 42.2 years (SD = 16.32) (Table 1).

The prevalence of diabetes was 6.2% (95%CI 5.54–6.92). Among individual variables, increased age, presence of a partner, increased BMI, and poor self-perception of health were associated with a greater chance of presenting diabetes. On the other hand, higher levels of education, smoking habit, alcohol abuse, and soda consumption were associated with a lower chance of having DM II (Table 2). As to environmental variables, living in areas with a high family income and high density of private places for physical activity were factors associated with a lower chance of presenting diabetes (Table 2).

Table 3 presents the multilevel logistical models for diabetes. The variance of the null model ( $\sigma^2_{u0} = 0.10$ ;  $p < 0.05$ ) indicates that there is significant variability in the occurrence of diabetes in the AAUBS. The VPC of the null model was 0.031 (95%CI 0.012–0.074), that is, 3.1% of the total variance in the AAUBS.

When including the individual variables (Table 3) (model 2), increasing age, poor self-perceived health, and increasing BMI were significantly associated with a greater chance of having diabetes. In contrast, having higher education and consuming soda were significantly associated with a lower chance of diabetes (Table 3) (model 2).

With the inclusion of contextual variables, three different multivariate models were generated (Table 3) (models 3 to 5), since the contextual variables are highly correlated. After adjusting for individual characteristics, living in AAUBS with a high density of private places for physical activity and high income was significantly associated with a lower chance

Table 1. Characteristics of the study participants. Belo Horizonte City, Minas Gerais State. 2008–2010.

Characteristics	% (95%CI)
<b>Sex</b>	
Male	46.1 (44.5 – 47.6)
Female	53.9 (52.4 – 55.4)
<b>Age group (years)*</b>	
18–24	14.6 (13.5 – 15.7)
25–34	24.6 (23.2 – 26.1)
35–44	20.0 (18.8 – 21.2)
45–54	17.4 (16.3 – 18.6)
55–64	11.9 (11.0 – 12.8)
> 65	11.5 (10.7 – 12.4)
<b>Education (study years)*</b>	
0 to 8	40.5 (39.0 – 42.1)
9 to 11	35.2 (33.8 – 36.6)
12 or more	24.3 (23.1 – 25.5)
<b>Civil status</b>	
With partner	46.1 (44.5 – 47.6)
Without partner	53.9 (52.3 – 55.4)
<b>Ethnicity/Skin color</b>	
White	37.6 (36.2 – 39.1)
Black	7.9 (7.0 – 8.8)
<i>Pardo</i>	54.1 (52.5 – 55.6)
Others (Asian/Indigenous)	0.4 (0.2 – 0.6)

95%CI: 95% confidence interval; \*mean and standard deviation.

Table 2. Unadjusted analysis of potential factors associated with the occurrence of diabetes. Belo Horizonte City, Minas Gerais State. 2008–2010.

Variables	OR (95%CI)
<b>Individuals</b>	
Age (years)	<b>1.06 (1.05 – 1.07)</b>
Female (Ref. male)	1.08 (0.89 – 1.32)
Education (Ref. 0 to 8 study years)	
9 to 11	<b>0.41 (0.32 – 0.54)</b>
12 or more	<b>0.26 (0.18 – 0.38)</b>
Skin color (Ref. White)	
Black	1.16 (0.76 – 1.78)
Black and <i>pardo</i>	1.23 (0.93 – 1.63)
Others (Asian and Indigenous)	2.29 (0.45 – 11.54)
Civil status (Ref. without partner)	<b>1.70 (1.34 – 2.16)</b>
Leisure-time physical activity (Ref. no)	0.89 (0.64 – 1.24)
Smoker (Ref. no)	<b>0.62 (0.40 – 0.96)</b>
Alcohol abuse (Ref. no)	<b>0.47 (0.32 – 0.70)</b>
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	<b>1.09 (1.07 – 1.12)</b>
Perception of poor health: (Ref no.)	<b>4.56 (3.27 – 6.35)</b>
Soda consumption (Ref. no)	<b>0.33 (0.21 – 0.51)</b>
Regular consumption of fruits and vegetables	1.29 (0.99 – 1.68)
<b>Environmental</b>	
Social environment	
Homicide rate (per 10,000 inhabitants)	1.03 (0.99 – 1.06)
Family income (BRL)	<b>0.99 (0.99 – 0.99)</b>
Health Vulnerability Index (HVI)	3.60 (0.92 – 13.99)
Physical environment	
Density of private places for PA practice (number/km <sup>2</sup> )	<b>0.98 (0.96 – 0.99)</b>
Density of public places for PA practice (number/km <sup>2</sup> )	0.99 (0.81 – 1.21)
Density of establishments that sell mainly healthy food;	1.00 (0.99 – 1.01)
Density of establishments that sell unhealthy food (number/km <sup>2</sup> )	0.99 (0.99 – 1.00)
Density of establishments that sell both types of food (number/km <sup>2</sup> )	0.99 (0.99 – 1.00)
Population density (inhabitants/km <sup>2</sup> )	0.99 (0.99 – 1.00)
Population density (inhabitants/km <sup>2</sup> )	0.99 (0.99 – 1.00)

OR: odds ratio; 95%CI: confidence interval; Ref.: reference; BMI: Body mass index; PA: physical activity.

Table 3. Multilevel logistic regression models for the presence of diabetes. Belo Horizonte City, Minas Gerais State, 2008–2010.

Variables	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5
<b>Fixed effect</b>					
Intercept ( $\beta$ ; 95%CI)	-2.74 (-2.87 – 2.62)	-7.13 (-7.84 – -6.41)	-7.11 (-7.83 – -6.39)	-7.09 (-7.80 – -6.37)	-7.80 (-8.74 – -6.86)
<b>Individuals</b>					
Age (years old)		1.05 (1.05 – 1.06)	1.05 (1.05 – 1.06)	1.06 (1.05 – 1.06)	1.06 (1.05 – 1.06)
Education (Ref. 0 to 8 study years)					
9 to 11 study years)		0.92 (0.70 – 1.20)	0.96 (0.74 – 1.26)	0.99 (0.76 – 1.29)	1.00 (0.78 – 1.30)
12 or more study years		0.55 (0.38 – 0.82)	0.61 (0.42 – 0.89)	0.66 (0.46 – 0.96)	0.66 (0.43 – 1.01)
Perception of poor health		2.87 (2.03 – 4.06)	2.86 (2.02 – 4.06)	2.89 (2.04 – 4.09)	2.71 (1.90 – 3.88)
Soda consumption		0.51 (0.32 – 0.82)	0.51 (0.32 – 0.82)	0.52 (0.32 – 0.82)	0.51 (0.32 – 0.82)
BMI		1.08 (1.06 – 1.10)	1.08 (1.06 – 1.10)	1.08 (1.05 – 1.10)	1.08 (1.06 – 1.10)
<b>Environmental</b>					
Density of private places for PA practice (number/km <sup>2</sup> )			0.97 (0.94 – 0.99)		
Family income (BRL)				0.99 (0.99 – 0.99)	
Health Vulnerability Index (HVI)					7.98 (1.29 – 49.42)
<b>Random effect (<math>\sigma^2u_0</math>) Coverage area</b>					
Variance (95%CI) – intercept	0.10 (0.040 – 0.263)				
Variance partition coefficient (VPC) (95%CI)	0.031 (0.012 – 0.074)	0.036 (0.015 – 0.086)	0.030 (0.011 – 0.086)	0.021 (0.005 – 0.089)	0.024 (0.007 – 0.081)
AIC	2,667.79	2,262.45	2,261.07	2,255.99	2,253.25

$\beta$ : beta coefficient; 95%CI: 95% confidence interval; Ref.: reference; BMI: Body mass index; PA: physical activity; AIC: Akaike information criterion; Model 1: null model; Model 2: model with individual variables; Models 3 to 6: models with individual and environmental variables.



of diabetes. On the other hand, individuals living in AAUBS with a high health vulnerability index (HVI) were associated with a higher chance of having diabetes.

After introducing contextual variables, a reduction in the VPC is observed, suggesting that they contribute to explaining the variability in the AAUBS. Table 3 also presents the results of AIC, showing reduced values when adding individual and contextual variables, which means that the inclusion of variables improves the model's adjust.

## DISCUSSION

The study uses multilevel regression models to identify characteristics of the physical and social environments and individual variables associated with DM II in Belo Horizonte City. Individual variables associated with DM II were age, education, existence of a partner, overweight, and poor health perception. Additionally, an inverse relation between soda consumption, smoking, and alcohol abuse and DM II was shown, which can be explained by the lack of temporality between exposure and the outcome resulting from the cross-sectional design in this case. Diabetic patients are encouraged to reduce their consumption of sweetened beverages, as well as to adopt healthy behaviors, such as avoiding tobacco and alcohol consumption. These results are consistent with that of national and international literature.<sup>6,24-37</sup> Thus, the importance of age, low education, marital status, and the poor health perception and high BMI is evident in the development of DM II.

The present study identified that there are differences in the chances of DM II occurring in the AAUBS studied. These differences can be explained, in part, by characteristics of the physical and social environments. Among contextual variables, the number of private places for physical activity and high family income were associated with a lower chance of diabetes. Areas of greater social vulnerability were strongly associated with a greater chance of DM II. Thus, environment's characteristics can impact on the occurrence of this disease, regardless of individual attributes. Living in areas with a high density of private places for physical activity was found to decrease the chance of having diabetes. Previous studies have found that the greater the availability of resources for physical activity, the greater the practice of this activity.<sup>24-26</sup> The practice of physical activity can help in the prevention of chronic diseases, such as diabetes, with the stabilization of glycemic metabolism, weight control, and lower insulin resistance.<sup>27</sup> Thus, the existence of places for physical activity close to home can facilitate the practice of these activities.

Associations between the occurrence of diabetes and geographic areas with few opportunities for physical activity have been reported in other studies.<sup>14,28</sup> The association of physical activity with only private places may be related to the fact that these places offer more security and better structures when compared to public places, which are, for the most part, outdoors. In Brazil, insecurity and crime are increasing due to rapid urbanization. The greater the violence or insecurity, the fewer the options for places and the lesser the practice of physical activity is observed.<sup>24,29,30</sup> Therefore, unsafe locations are associated with socioeconomic deprivation.

Another important result of the present study was that the social context assessed with the HVI and family income was associated with the occurrence of DM II. Therefore, living in areas with greater social vulnerability and low income can potentially increase the chance of DM II. An explanation for these results is related to the effect of socioeconomic deprivation in lifestyles. Studies show that, especially in low and middle income countries, and to a lesser extent in developed countries, neighborhoods with less social vulnerability have a higher density of places that sell healthy food, and these places have greater variety and quality of this type of food.<sup>31,32</sup> On the other hand, more socioeconomically vulnerable neighborhoods have fewer places that sell healthy food, with less variety.<sup>31</sup> Besides that, healthier eating patterns are associated with higher socioeconomic positions and healthier behaviors.<sup>33</sup> Socioeconomic variables showed greater magnitude, highlighting the importance of contextual factors and social determinants in the prediction of NCD.<sup>34</sup> Similarly, studies have shown that areas with greater socioeconomic deprivation have fewer options for places to practice physical activity.<sup>24,29,30</sup>

There are some limitations to highlight in the present study. The first is the impossibility of establishing cause and effect relations. The diagnosis of self-reported diabetes may overestimate or underestimate outcome's prevalence. On the other hand, direct measurements, obtained with laboratory tests, are difficult to be carried out in large populations, in addition to being costly. In addition, a validity and reproducibility study of data was carried out comparing measures obtained through self-reports, with measures indicating good results and data reliability.<sup>38</sup> Another limitation is that contextual data come from commercial and government sources, and may be subject to inaccuracies. However, results are consistent with most of the literature, demonstrating the internal validity of the results found herein.

Strength points of the present study are its pioneering aspect in Brazil. It also uses the multilevel analytical methodology. Multilevel analysis incorporates objective characteristics of environments (physical and social) in the analysis and, thus, verifies the importance of each one of them for diabetes. Moreover, the use of information from a large Brazilian urban center stands out, based on a database derived from an important national system for monitoring the risk and protection factors for NCD. Therefore, the study can expand the discussion on aspects of health promotion and prevention from a more comprehensive perspective.

Research showed that a greater chance of occurrence of DM II was associated with the characteristics of the physical and social environments of AAUBS, such as: lower density of private places for practicing physical activity, lower family income, and higher index of social vulnerability, regardless of individual characteristics. Thus, health strategies to reduce DM II can be beneficial and more effective if they consider both the context in which the individual lives and individual characteristics. Better-organized urban centers with ample opportunities for adopting healthy behaviors can help to reduce social inequities, as well as the progression and complications of preventable chronic diseases, such as DM II, in urban communities.

## REFERENCES

1. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Secretaria de Vigilância em Saúde. Departamento de Análise de Situação de Saúde. Plano de ações estratégicas para o enfrentamento das doenças crônicas não transmissíveis (DCNT) no Brasil 2011-2022. Brasília: Ministério da Saúde; 2011.
2. World Health Organization. Global Report on Diabetes [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016 [accessed on July 8, 2018]. v. 978. Available at: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241565257>
3. Saeedi P, Petersohn I, Salpea P, Malanda B, Karuranga S, Unwin N, et al. Global and regional diabetes prevalence estimates for 2019 and projections for 2030 and 2045: Results from the International Diabetes Federation Diabetes Atlas. 9. ed. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2019; 157: 107843. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2019.107843>
4. Ogurtsova K, Fernandes JDR, Huang Y, Linnenkamp U, Guariguata L, Cho NH, et al. IDF Diabetes Atlas: Global estimates for the prevalence of diabetes for 2015 and 2040. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* [Internet]. 2017 [accessed on July 8, 2018]; 128: 40-50. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2017.03.024>
5. Costa AF, Flor LS, Campos MR, Oliveira AF, Costa MFS, Silva RS, et al. Carga do diabetes mellitus tipo 2 no Brasil. *Cad Saúde Pública* [Internet]. 2017 [accessed on October 10, 2018]; 33(2): 1-14. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1590/0102-311x00197915>
6. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Secretaria de Vigilância em Saúde. Departamento de Análise em Saúde e Vigilância de Doenças não Transmissíveis. *Vigitel Brasil 2018: vigilância de fatores de risco e proteção para doenças crônicas por inquérito telefônico: estimativas sobre frequência e distribuição sociodemográfica de fatores de risco e proteção para doenças crônicas nas capitais dos 26 estados brasileiros e no Distrito Federal em 2018*. Brasília: Ministério da Saúde; 2019.
7. Cichosz SL, Johansen MD, Hejlesen O. Toward big data analytics: Review of predictive models in management of diabetes and its complications. *J Diabetes Sci Technol* 2015; 10(1): 27-34. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1932296815611680>
8. Lagani V, Koumakis L, Chiarugi F, Lakasing E, Tsamardinos I. A systematic review of predictive risk models for diabetes complications based on large scale clinical studies. *J Diabetes Complications* 2013; 27(4): 407-13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2012.11.003>
9. Leong A, Daya N, Porneala B, Devlin JJ, Shiffman D, McPhaul MJ, et al. Prediction of type 2 diabetes by Hemoglobin A1C in two communitybased cohorts. *Diabetes Care* 2018; 41(1): 60-8. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc17-0607>
10. Gray LJ, Davies MJ, Hiles S, Taub NA, Webb DR, Srinivasan BT, et al. Detection of impaired glucose regulation and/or type 2 diabetes mellitus, using primary care electronic data, in a multiethnic UK community setting. *Diabetologia* 2012; 55(4): 959-66. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-011-2432-x>
11. Bravo MA, Anthopolos R, Kimbro RT, Miranda ML. Residential Racial Isolation and Spatial Patterning of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in Durham, North Carolina. *Am J Epidemiol* 2018; 187(7): 1467-76. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwy026>
12. Auchincloss AH, Mujahid MS, Shen M, Michos ED, Whitt-Glover MC, Diez Roux AV. Neighborhood health-promoting resources and obesity risk (the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis). *Obesity* 2013; 21(3): 621-8. <https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.20255>
13. Hipp JA, Chalise N. Spatial Analysis and Correlates of County-Level Diabetes Prevalence, 2009–2010. *Prev Chronic Dis* 2015; 12: 140404. <https://doi.org/10.5888/pcd12.140404>
14. Astell-Burt T, Feng X, Kolt G. Is neighborhood green space associated with a lower risk of Type 2 diabetes? Australians. *Diabetes Care* [Internet]. 2014 [accessed on December 7, 2019]; 37(1): 197-201. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc13-1325>
15. den Braver NR, Lakerveld J, Rutters F, Schoonmade LJ, Brug J, Beulens JWJ. Built environmental characteristics and diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Med* 2018; 16(1): 12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-017-0997-z>
16. Haynes-Maslow L, Leone LA. Examining the relationship between the food environment and adult diabetes prevalence by county economic and racial composition: an ecological study. *BMC Public Health* 2017; 17: 648. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-017-4658-0>
17. Christine PJ, Auchincloss AH, Bertoni AG, Carnethon MR, Sánchez BN, Moore K, et al. Longitudinal Associations Between Neighborhood Physical and Social Environments and Incident Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). *JAMA Intern Med* 2015; 175(8): 1311-20. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2015.2691>
18. Faka A, Chalkias C, Montano D, Georgousopoulou EN, Tripitsidis A, Koloverou E, et al. Association of Socio-Environmental Determinants with Diabetes Prevalence in the Athens Metropolitan Area, Greece: A Spatial Analysis. *Rev Diabet Stud* [Internet]. 2017 [accessed on December 9, 2019]; 14(4): 381-9. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1900/RDS.2017.14.381>

19. Adekanmbi VT, Uthman OA, Erqou S, Echouffo-Tcheugui JB, Harhay MN, Harhay MO. Epidemiology of prediabetes and diabetes in Namibia, Africa: A multilevel analysis. *J Diabetes* 2019; 11(2): 161-72. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1753-0407.12829>
20. Baker J, White N, Mengersen K. Spatial modelling of type II diabetes outcomes: a systematic review of approaches used. *R Soc Open Sci* 2015; 2(6): 140460. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.140460>
21. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Secretaria de Vigilância em Saúde. Secretaria de Gestão Estratégica e Participativa. *Vigilante Brasil 2010: Vigilância de fatores de risco e proteção para doenças crônicas por inquérito telefônico*. Brasília: Ministério da Saúde; 2011.
22. Merlo J, Chaix B, Ohlsson H, Beckman A, Johnell K, Hjerpe P, et al. A Brief Conceptual Tutorial of Multilevel Analysis in Social Epidemiology: using measures of clustering in multilevel logistic regression to investigate contextual phenomena. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2006; 60(4): 290-7. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jech.2004.029454>
23. Larsen K, Merlo J. Appropriate Assessment of Neighborhood Effects on Individual Health: Integrating Random and Fixed Effects in Multilevel Logistic Regression. *Am J Epidemiol* 2005; 161(1): 81-8. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwi017>
24. Gomes CS, Matozinhos FP, Mendes LL, Pessoa MC, Velasquez-Melendez G. Physical and social environment are associated to leisure time physical activity in adults of a Brazilian city: a cross-sectional study. *Plos One* 2016; 11(2): e0150017. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0150017>
25. Hsueh MC, Lin CY, Huang PH, Park JH, Liao Y. Cross-Sectional Associations of environmental Perception with Leisure Time Physical Activity and Screen Time among Older Adults. *J Clin Med* 2018; 7(3): 56. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm7030056>
26. O'Donoghue G, Perchoux C, Mensah K, Lakerveld J, van der Ploeg H, Bernaards C, et al. A systematic review of correlates of sedentary behaviour in adults aged 18-65 years: a socio-ecological approach. *BMC Public Health* 2016; 16(1): 163. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-016-2841-3>
27. Guthold R, Stevens GA, Riley LM, Bull FC. Worldwide trends in insufficient physical activity from 2001 to 2016: a pooled analysis of 358 population-based surveys with 1.9 million participants. *Lancet Glob Health* 2018; 6(10): e1077-86. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(18\)30357-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30357-7)
28. Jalaludin B, Thompson S, Kent J. Healthy built environments: a review of the literature [Internet]. Sydney: Healthy Built Environments Program, City Futures Research Centre, UNSW; 2011 [accessed on July 8, 2020]. Available at: <https://apo.org.au/node/32052>
29. Rantakokko M, Mänty M, Iwarsson S, Törmäkangas T, Leinonen R, Heikkinen E, et al. Fear of moving outdoors and development of outdoor walking difficulty in older people. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2009; 57(4): 634-40. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2009.02180.x>
30. Van Cauwenberg J, Clarys P, De Bourdeaudhuij I, Van Holle V, Verté D, De Witte N, et al. Physical environmental factors related to walking and cycling in older adults: the Belgian aging studies. *BMC Public Health* 2012; 12(1): 142. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-12-142>
31. Leite MA, Assis MM, Carmo ASD, Costa BVL, Claro RM, Castro IR, et al. Is neighbourhood social deprivation in a Brazilian city associated with the availability, variety, quality and price of food in supermarkets? *Public Health Nutr* 2019; 22(18): 3395-404. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980019002386>
32. Black C, Moon G, Baird J. Dietary inequalities: what is the evidence for the effect of the neighbourhood food environment? *Health Place* [Internet]. 2014 [accessed on July 14, 2020]; 27: 229-42. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2013.09.015>
33. Bertin M, Touvier M, Dubuisson C, Dufour A, Havard A, Lafay L, et al. Dietary patterns of French adults: associations with demographic, socio-economic and behavioural factors. *J Hum Nutr Diet* [Internet]. 2016 [accessed on July 14, 2020]; 29(2): 241-54. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/jhn.12315>
34. Stringhini S, Carmeli C, Jokela M, Avendaño M, Muennig P, Guida F, et al. Socioeconomic status and the 25 × 25 risk factors as determinants of premature mortality: a multicohort study and meta-analysis of 1.7 million men and women. *Lancet* 2017; 389(10075): 1229-37. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(16\)32380-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)32380-7)
35. Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística (IBGE). *Pesquisa Nacional de Saúde 2013—Percepção do estado de saúde, estilos de vida e doenças crônicas Brasil, Grandes Regiões e Unidades da Federação*. Rio de Janeiro: IBGE; 2014.
36. Malta DC, Bernal RTI, Iser BPM, Szwarcwald CL, Duncan BB, Schmidt MI. Factors associated with self-reported diabetes according to the 2013 National Health Survey. *Rev Saúde Pública* 2017; 51(Supl. 1): 12s. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1518-8787.2017051000011>
37. Vitoi NC, Fogal AS, Nascimento CM, Franceschini SCC, Ribeiro AQ. Prevalence and associated factors of diabetes in the elderly population in Viçosa, Minas Gerais, Brazil. *Rev Bras Epidemiol* 2015; 18(4): 953-65. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1980-5497201500040022>
38. Fontanelli MDM, Teixeira JA, Sales CH, Castro MAD, Cesar CLG, Alves MCGP, et al. Validation of self-reported diabetes in a representative sample of São Paulo city. *Rev Saúde Pública* 2017; 51: 20. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1518-8787.2017051006378>

**Received on:** 09/25/2020

**Reviewed on:** 11/30/2020

**Accepted on:** 12/13/2020

**Preprint on:** 12/15/2020

**Authors' contributions:** LABT contributed to the manuscript's conception, results analysis and interpretation, writing or critical review and approval of the final version. MASV contributed to data analysis and interpretation; writing or relevant critical review of the manuscript's intellectual content; final approval of the version to be published. CSG contributed to data analysis and interpretation; writing or relevant critical review of the manuscript's

intellectual content; final approval of the version to be published. FLR contributed to the writing, relevant critical review of the manuscript content, and final approval of the version to be published. DSTOF contributed to the writing, relevant critical review of the manuscript content, and final approval of the version to be published. DCM contributed to the writing, relevant critical review of the manuscript content, and final approval of the version to be published. GVM participated in manuscript's conception / article's preparation, methodology's creation, data analysis, results' interpretation and discussion, relevant critical review of the content, and approval of the version to be published.

