### RESEARCH

**Open Access** 



Multicomponent LBSap vaccine displays immunological and parasitological profiles similar to those of Leish-Tec<sup>®</sup> and Leishmune<sup>®</sup> vaccines against visceral leishmaniasis

Ludmila Zanandreis de Mendonça<sup>1</sup>, Lucilene Aparecida Resende<sup>1,2</sup>, Mariana Ferreira Lanna<sup>1,2</sup>, Rodrigo Dian de Oliveira Aguiar-Soares<sup>2</sup>, Bruno Mendes Roatt<sup>2</sup>, Renata Alves de Oliveira e Castro<sup>3</sup>, Maurício Azevedo Batista<sup>1</sup>, Denise Silveira-Lemos<sup>5</sup>, Juliana de Assis Silva Gomes<sup>1</sup>, Ricardo Toshio Fujiwara<sup>6</sup>, Simone Aparecida Rezende<sup>3</sup>, Olindo Assis Martins-Filho<sup>5</sup>, Rodrigo Corrêa-Oliveira<sup>4</sup>, Walderez Ornelas Dutra<sup>1</sup>, Alexandre Barbosa Reis<sup>2</sup> and Rodolfo Cordeiro Giunchetti<sup>1\*</sup>

### Abstract

**Background:** In past years, many researchers have sought canine visceral leishmaniasis (CVL) prevention through the characterization of *Leishmania* antigens as vaccine candidates. Despite these efforts, there is still no efficient vaccine for CVL control.

**Methods:** In the present study, we performed a pre-clinical vaccine trial using BALB/c mice to compare the effects of the multicomponent LBSap vaccine with those of Leish-Tec<sup>®</sup> and Leishmune<sup>®</sup>. Blood was collected to determine the frequency of peripheral blood cells and to evaluate hematologic and immunophenotypic parameters. Liver and spleen samples were collected for parasitological quantification, and spleen samples were used to access the cytokine profile.

**Results:** When measuring total IgG and IgG1 anti-*Leishmania* levels after the third vaccination and *L. infantum* challenge, it was evident that all vaccines were able to induce humoral immune response. Regarding the innate immune response, increased levels of NK CD3<sup>-</sup>CD49<sup>+</sup> cells were the hallmark of all vaccinated groups, whereas only the Leish-Tec<sup>®</sup> group displayed a high frequency of CD14<sup>+</sup> monocytes after *L. infantum* challenge. Moreover, CD3<sup>+</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were the main circulating lymphocytes induced after *L. infantum* challenge with all evaluated vaccines. Importantly, after *L. infantum* challenge, splenocytes from the Leishmune<sup>®</sup> vaccine produced high levels of IL-2, whereas a prominent type 1 immune response was the hallmark of the LBSap vaccine, which presented high levels of IL-2, IL-6, TNF- $\alpha$ , and IFN- $\gamma$ . The efficacy analysis using real-time polymerase chain reaction demonstrated a reduction in the parasitism in the spleen (Leishmune<sup>®</sup>: 64 %; LBSap: 42 %; and Leish-Tec<sup>®</sup>: 36 %) and liver (Leishmune<sup>®</sup>: 71 %; LBSap: 62 %; and Leish-Tec<sup>®</sup>: 48 %).

(Continued on next page)

\* Correspondence: giunchetti@icb.ufmg.br; giunchetti@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup>Laboratório de Biologia das Interações Celulares, Departamento de Morfologia, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Minas

Gerais, Brazil

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© 2016 The Author(s). **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

### (Continued from previous page)

**Conclusions:** The dataset led to the conclusion that the LBSap vaccination was able to induce immune and efficacy profiles comparable with those of commercial vaccines, thus demonstrating its potential as a promising vaccine candidate for visceral leishmaniasis control.

Keywords: Visceral leishmaniasis, Vaccine, Leishmune®, Leish-Tec®, LBSap, Immunogenicity, Cytokines

**Abbreviations:** 15<sup>ASaline</sup>, 15 days after the third saline; 15<sup>AVac</sup>, 15 days after the third vaccination; 30<sup>AChal</sup>, 30 days after experimental challenge; BV, Before the first vaccination; C, Control group; CVL, Canine visceral leishmaniasis; GAPDH, Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; Abbreviated as horseradish peroxidase; HRP, horseradish peroxidase; LB, LBSap group; LBSap, *Leishmania braziliensis* antigen and saponin adjuvant; LM, Leishmune<sup>®</sup> group; LT, Leish-Tec<sup>®</sup> group; natural killer cell; PCR, Polymerase chain reaction; SLCA, Soluble lysate of *L. infantum* antigen; VL, Visceral leishmaniasis

### Background

Visceral leishmaniasis (VL), which is caused by *Leishmania infantum* (syn. *L. chagasi*) and is also known as Kala-azar, is a fatal infection if not treated successfully [1, 2]. Dogs play an important role in parasite maintenance because they are the main domestic reservoirs of *L. infantum* [3]. The best strategy to combat the spreading of disease would be the use of a vaccine to control canine visceral leishmaniasis (CVL). In recent years, several researchers have devoted their efforts to finding an efficient alternative for CVL prevention. However, no vaccine has proven to be effective [4–7].

In 2014, two commercially available vaccines were licensed by the Brazilian Ministry of Agriculture for use in dogs: Leish-Tec<sup>®</sup> (Hertape S.A., Juatuba, Brazil), which contains a recombinant amastigote stage–specific protein (rA2) of different *Leishmania* species plus saponin as an adjuvant [8–10], and Leishmune<sup>®</sup> (Zoetis, Campinas, Brazil), which is composed of semi-purified fucose-mannose ligand (FML) antigen glycoproteins from *Leishmania donovani* and saponin [11–13]. However, in November 2014, the Brazilian Ministry of Agriculture suspended the provisory license granted to the Leishmune<sup>®</sup> vaccine for failing to fully meet the requirements of a phase III vaccine clinical trial.

The choice of an appropriate experimental model is critical to the success of studies in leishmaniasis vaccinology. Several experimental models have been used in vaccine trials, including dogs, hamsters and mice [14, 15]. The murine models have several advantages such as: easy handling, low cost, short time of experimentation and wide availability of reagents for characterizing the immune response [15]. In this sense, the BALB/c mouse is a model highly used in preclinical studies anti-CVL.

Given the importance of the evaluation of innate and adaptive immune responses for understanding what response is associated with resistance and parasite control in VL-infected animals, this study aimed to compare the Leishmune<sup>®</sup> and Leish-Tec<sup>®</sup> vaccines with a patented vaccine candidate (LBSap) [16–18]. This study provides evidence that LBSap is a potential multicomponent vaccine for the prevention of VL because it induces parasite control and a protective immune response.

### Methods

### Animals, immunization protocol, and experimental challenge

Female BALB/c mice (6–8 week-old) received subcutaneous injections (100  $\mu$ l/dose) of the vaccines at intervals of 14 days for a total of three injections. The animals were divided into four groups: Leish-Tec<sup>®</sup> (10  $\mu$ g A2 and 50  $\mu$ g saponin/dose); Leishmune<sup>®</sup> (150  $\mu$ g FML and 50  $\mu$ g saponin/dose); LBSap (60  $\mu$ g *Leishmania braziliensis* antigen and 50  $\mu$ g saponin/dose); obtained according [16]; and Control group, inoculated with 0.85 % sterile saline.

The LBSap vaccine was registered at the Industrial Property National Institute (Brazil) under patent number PI 0601225-6 (February 17, 2006). Leish-Tec<sup>®</sup> and Leishmune<sup>®</sup> were purchased and diluted according to each manufacturer at the time of immunization.

After 30 days of inoculum protocol, mice were challenged with  $10^7$  promastigotes of *L. infantum* at the stationary phase in the lateral vein of the tail. Mice were euthanized 30 days after experimental challenge. The evaluations were performed at the following time points: before the first vaccination (BV); 15 days after the third saline  $[15^{ASaline}]$  or vaccination  $(15^{AVac})$ ; and 30 days after experimental challenge  $(30^{AChal})$ . Blood was collected to determine the frequency of peripheral blood cells and to evaluate hematologic parameters (BV,  $15^{AVac}$ , and  $30^{AChal}$ ). Liver and spleen samples were collected for parasitological quantification, and spleen samples were used to access the cytokine profile  $(30^{AChal})$ .

All experiments were performed using groups of five animals per evaluation time in two independent batches. The experiments showed similar results and the graphics are representative of one experimental batch (n = 5 per batch).

### Blood sample collection and differential leukocyte counts

The blood cell counts were determined using an electronic haematology particle counter (BC2800Vet,

Mindray, Hamburg, Germany). Differential leukocyte counting was performed on Giemsa stained blood smears, and a total of 100 cells were counted.

### Humoral immune response

Antibody production was evaluated using a soluble lysate of L. infantum antigen (MHOM/BR/1972/BH46) (SLcA) and a conventional enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Briefly, 96-well microplates (MaxiSorp°; Nalge Nunc International, Rochester, NY) were coated with SLcA (at a concentration of 4.5 µg/ml) and after blocking with 2 % casein, serum samples were added at a dilution of 1:40 and the plates were incubated at room temperature. After a wash step, horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG heavy and light chain (HRP-conjugated, anti-mouse, lot A90116P-29; Bethyl Laboratories, Montgomery, TX), anti-IgG1 (HRP-conjugated, antimouse, lot A90105P-31; Bethyl Laboratories, Montgomery, TX) or anti-IgG2a (HRP-conjugated, anti-mouse, lot A90107P-34; Bethyl Laboratories, Montgomery, TX) were added at dilutions of 1:3000, 1:2000, and 1:1000, respectively. The wells were then washed, substrate and chromogen (o-phenylenediamine; Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO) were added, and the absorbance was read at 492 nm on a Multiskan® MCC 340 (Labsystems, Helsinki, Finland) automatic microplate reader.

### Immunophenotyping of blood cells by flow cytometry

Immunophenotyping of blood cells was performed by flow cytometry. The cell markers used were monoclonal antibodies against CD14 (FITC anti-mouse CD14, clone Sa2-8/E00166-204; e-Bioscience, San Diego, CA), CD3 (PE-Cy5 anti-mouse CD3, clone 145-2C11/E060661630; e-Bioscience, San Diego, CA), CD4 (FITC anti-mouse CD4, clone GK1-5/E00078-133; e-Bioscience, San Diego, CA), CD8 (APC anti-mouse CD8a, clone 53.6-7/E070561330; e-Bioscience, San Diego, CA), CD19 (FITC anti-mouse CD19, clone NB19-1/E00184-1630; e-Bioscience, San Diego, CA), and CD49b (FITC anti-mouse CD49b, clone HMA2/ E00340229; e-Bioscience, San Diego, CA). The antibodies were added to polystyrene tubes and 25 µl peripheral whole blood collected in EDTA was added to each tube. After homogenization in a vortex, the suspensions were incubated for 30 min at room temperature in the dark.

After erythrocytes lysis, the samples were centrifuged. The supernatant was discarded and the leucocytes were washed with phosphate-buffered saline. Afterward, the leukocytes were fixed with FACS FIX solution (10 g/l paraformaldehyde, 10.2 g/l sodium cacodylate, and 6.65 g/l sodium chloride, pH 7.2). Flow cytometry measurements were performed on a FACScalibur<sup>®</sup> instrument (Becton Dickinson, Mountain View, CA). The program CellQuest (Franklin Lakes, NJ) was used for data acquisition, and Flow Jo Software (Flow Cytometry Analysis Software 7.6.; Tree Star, Inc., Ashland, OR) was used for data analyses. The representative flow cytometry analysis strategy on Flow Jo Software can be seen in Additional file 1: Figure S1. Nonspecific binding was monitored by using fluorochrome-labeled isotypes to provide valid negative controls. Autofluorescence was monitored by the use of a negative control in which the cell suspension was incubated in the absence of fluorochrome-labeled monoclonal antibodies but in the presence of dilution and wash buffers.

### Cytometric bead array

The spleen cells were prepared as previously described elsewhere [19]. As specific stimulus we used the SLcA (25  $\mu$ g/ml). After the experiment the supernatant was collected and stored in a freezer at -80 °C. The cytokine levels were measured by Cytometric Bead Array (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Concentrations of each test sample in picograms per milliliter (pg/ml) were calculated using FCAP software array v.1.0.2 (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA).

The cytokines profile was demonstrated by the index of each cytokine obtained by dividing the values of the SLcA-stimulated culture and the unstimulated culture from the same animal. The index cytokine profile was also used to stratify the mice as low or high cytokine producers. For this analysis, the median of each cytokine was obtained; the animals with measurements that were above the median were considered high producers and the information was plotted on the radar chart.

### Real-time polymerase chain reaction

Real-time PCR was performed according to the protocol described in [17]. The pair of primers used (forward: 5 TGT CGC TTG CAG ACC AGA TG-3 ; reverse: 5 GCA TCG CAG GTG TGA GCA C-3 ) was described by [20] and targets the DNA polymerase gene of L. infantum (GenBank: AF009147), which is a single-copy gene, and amplifies a fragment of 90 bp. To verify the integrity of the samples, the same procedure was performed for the GAPDH gene (GenBank: AK168217.1). For amplification of the GAPDH gene, the primers used were forward 5 GAA ACC TGC CAA GTA TG-3 and reverse 5 GGG AGT TGC TGT TGA AGT C-3 . Reactions were processed and analyzed in an ABI Prism 7500-Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Walthan, MA, USA). The results were expressed as the number of amastigotes per milligram of spleen and liver.

### Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism 6 software (Prism Software, Irvine, CA). Normality of the data was demonstrated using a D'Agostino-Pearson normality test. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test was used to analyze the inter-groups (Control × Leish-Tec<sup>®</sup> × Leishmune<sup>®</sup> × LBSap) and intragroup differences (BV ×  $15^{AVac}$  ×  $30^{AChal}$ ). Inter-group differences were marked with letters corresponding to each experimental group, as follows: "C" as compared with the Control group; "LT" as compared with the Leish-Tec<sup>®</sup> group; "LM" as compared with the Leishmune<sup>®</sup> group and "LB" as compared with the LBSap group. Additionally, connecting lines are used to highlight intra-group differences at different time points. All differences were considered significant at  $P \le 0.05$ .

### Results

### All vaccines induced increases in total IgG, IgG1 and IgG2a levels

Increases in total IgG, IgG1 and IgG2a were observed in all vaccinated groups at  $15^{Vac}$  and  $30^{AChal}$  as compared to BV (All statistical tests in this analysis had a P < 0.0001, except for Leish-Tec<sup>®</sup> group - IgG2a [BV *versus*  $15^{AVac}$ : P = 0.048]) (Fig. 1). It was also observed that IgG, IgG1 and IgG2a increased in all immunized groups at  $15^{Vac}$  when

compared to the Control group (All statistical tests in this analysis had a P < 0.0001, except in IgG2a Leish-Tec<sup>°</sup> (P = 0.002) *versus* Control group) (Fig. 1). Regarding the levels of IgG2a, there was an increase in Leishmune<sup>°</sup> (P = 0.0002) and LBSap (P = 0.003) groups at  $15^{Vac}$  as compared with the Leish-Tec<sup>°</sup> group.

## Both Leish-Tec<sup>®</sup> and LBSap presented increased counts of lymphocytes after vaccination protocol

Differential leukocyte counts in peripheral blood leukocytes profile in BALB/c mice immunized with the multicomponent LBSap vaccine, Leish-Tec<sup>®</sup> or Leishmune<sup>®</sup> were carried out by conventional hematoscopy on Giemsastained blood smear. Significant decreases in total neutrophils were observed at  $15^{Vac}$  in the Leish-Tec<sup>®</sup> (P = 0.050) and in the LBSap (P = 0.020) groups as compared to BV. LBSap group showed a decrease in total neutrophils at  $15^{Vac}$  as compared with the Leishmune<sup>®</sup> (P = 0.004) (Fig. 2). Importantly, the total lymphocyte population displayed high counts at  $15^{Vac}$  when Leish-Tec<sup>®</sup> (P = 0.048) and







Connecting lines represent significant intra-group differences (P < 0.05) at the different time points. Inter-group differences at the same time point are marked by letters (LM: Leishmune<sup>®</sup> group and LB: LBSap group)

LBSap (P = 0.008) were analyzed as compared to BV (Fig. 2). At  $15^{Vac}$  the LBSap group had an increase in total lymphocytes when compared with Leishmune<sup>®</sup> group (P = 0.004) (Fig. 2). Regarding the red series, no significant differences were observed (data not shown).

# Regarding innate immune response, increased levels of NK CD3<sup>-</sup>CD49<sup>+</sup> cells were the hallmark of all vaccinated groups, whereas only the Leish-Tec<sup>®</sup> group displayed a high frequency of CD14<sup>+</sup> monocytes after *L. infantum* challenge

Immunophenotypic analysis was carried out by flow cytometry to characterize circulating innate immunity cells from BALB/c mice immunized with the multicomponent LBSap vaccine, Leish-Tec° or Leishmune°. An increase at  $30^{AChal}$ for NK cells (CD3<sup>-</sup>CD49<sup>+</sup>) was observed in the Leish-Tec° (P = 0.015), Leishmune° (P = 0.030) and LBSap (P = 0.050) groups as compared to BV. Leish-Tec° (P = 0.005) and Leishmune° (P = 0.016) also showed that this increase at  $30^{\text{AChal}}$  compared to  $15^{\text{Vac}}$  (Fig. 3). The evaluation of circulating monocytes (CD14<sup>+</sup>) in the Leish-Tec<sup>\*</sup> group showed increased counts at  $30^{\text{AChal}}$  in comparison with both BV (P = 0.019) and  $15^{\text{Vac}}$  (P = 0.003). The Leish-Tec<sup>\*</sup> group also showed an increase at  $30^{\text{AChal}}$  as compared to Leishmune<sup>\*</sup> (P = 0.0002), LBSap (P = 0.0004) and control groups (P = 0.0002) (Fig. 3). Leishmune<sup>\*</sup> presented high levels of CD14<sup>+</sup> monocytes at  $15^{\text{Vac}}$  as compared to BV (P = 0.001) and  $30^{\text{AChal}}$  (P = 0.002). Similar results were observed in the LBSap group, which displayed increased counts of CD14<sup>+</sup> monocytes at  $15^{\text{Vac}}$  in comparison to  $30^{\text{AChal}}$  (P = 0.034) (Fig. 3).

### CD3<sup>+</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were the main circulating lymphocytes

induced after *L. infantum* challenge for all evaluated vaccines Immunophenotypic analysis was carried out by flow cytometry to characterize circulating adaptive immunity cells from BALB/c mice immunized with the multicomponent



differences (P < 0.05) at the different time points. Inter-group differences at the same time point are marked by letters (C: Control group, LT: Leish-Tec\* group,

LBSap vaccine, Leish-Tec<sup>°</sup> or Leishmune<sup>°</sup>. The Leish-Tec<sup>°</sup> and Leishmune<sup>°</sup> did not alter the frequency of CD19<sup>+</sup> B-cells (Fig. 4). In contrast, LBSap vaccination led to a decrease in the frequency of B-cells at  $15^{Vac}$  (P = 0.031) and  $30^{AChal}$  (P = 0.005) as compared to BV. Moreover, a decrease of B-cells was observed at  $30^{AChal}$  in the LBSap group as compared to the Control group (P = 0.014) (Fig. 4).

LM: Leishmune® group, LB: LBSap group)

When evaluating total T-lymphocytes (CD3<sup>+</sup>), it was observed that the Leish-Tec<sup>®</sup> group presented an increase at  $30^{AChal}$  in comparison with BV (P = 0.028) (Fig. 4). Furthermore, the LBSap group showed an increase in the frequency of T-lymphocytes at  $15^{Vac}$  (P = 0.032) and  $30^{AChal}$  (P = 0.044) as compared to BV (Fig. 4).

In the Leish-Tec<sup>°</sup> group there was an increase of Thelper lymphocyte (CD3<sup>+</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup>) at 30<sup>AChal</sup> as compared to BV (P = 0.035) (Fig. 4). In the Leishmune<sup>°</sup> group, there was an increase at 30<sup>AChal</sup> as compared to BV (P = 0.036) and 15<sup>Vac</sup> (P = 0.044) (Fig. 4). Moreover, the Leishmune<sup>°</sup> group also presented an increase at 30<sup>AChal</sup> as compared to the Control group (P = 0.016). Similarly, the LBSap group analysis showed sustained and increased counts of CD3<sup>+</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells at both 15<sup>Vac</sup> (P = 0.011) and 30<sup>AChal</sup> (P = 0.009) as compared to BV (Fig. 4).

# LBSap displayed a higher T-lymphocyte/B-lymphocyte ratio in addition to an increased CD4<sup>+</sup>/CD8<sup>+</sup> ratio after both vaccination and *L. infantum* challenge

The analysis of the CD3<sup>+</sup> T cells/CD19<sup>+</sup> B-cells ratio revealed an increase in the Leish-Tec $^{\circ}$  group at 30<sup>AChal</sup> in

comparison with BV (P = 0.002) (T/B ratio; Fig. 4). The LBSap group showed an increase at  $15^{Vac}$  (P = 0.0002) and  $30^{AChal}$  (P = 0.028) as compared to BV(Fig. 4).

Moreover, only the LBSap group showed an increase in the CD4<sup>+</sup>/CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell subset ratio at  $15^{Vac}$  (*P* = 0.036) and  $30^{AChal}$  (*P* = 0.014) as compared to BV (Fig. 4).

# After *L. infantum* challenge, splenocytes from the Leishmune<sup>®</sup> vaccine produced high levels of IL-2, but a prominent type 1 immune response was the hallmark of the LBSap vaccine, which presented high levels of IL-2, IL-6, TNF- $\alpha$ and IFN- $\gamma$

Data analysis showed an increased IL-2 index in both Leishmune<sup>\*</sup> (P = 0.011) and LBSap (P = 0.028) groups as compared to the Control group (Fig. 5, upper panel).

Furthermore, TNF-α analysis demonstrated high levels in the LBSap group as compared to the Leish-Tec<sup>\*</sup> (P = 0.030) and Control (P = 0.011) groups (Fig. 5, upper panel). Similar results were observed with IL-6, which presented increased levels in the LBSap group when compared to the Leish-Tec<sup>\*</sup> (P = 0.006) and Control (P = 0.003) groups (Fig. 5, upper panel).

We also observed an increase in the IFN- $\gamma$  index in the LBSap as compared to the Leish-Tec<sup>°</sup> (P = 0.048) and Control (P = 0.026) groups (Fig. 5, upper panel). No significant differences were observed regarding IL-10 and IL-17A production (Fig. 5, upper panel). IL-4 production was below the limit of detection of the test (data not shown).

Additional analysis of pro-inflammatory/regulatory cytokine balance (IFN- $\gamma$ /IL-10 index ratio) revealed a clear



the different analyzed groups (n = 5 mice/group in two independent batches) (Control [ $\Box$ ]; Leish-Lec" [ $\square$ ]; Leishmune" [ $\blacksquare$ ]; and LBSap [killed *L* braziliensi: vaccine plus saponin;  $\blacksquare$ ]). The y-axis represents the mean (with standard deviations) of the frequency values in the lymphocytes gate. Connecting lines represent significant intra-group differences (P < 0.05) at the different time points. Inter-group differences at the same time point are marked by letters (C: Control group, LM: Leishmune" group, LB: LBSap group)

picture that all three vaccines [Leish-Tec<sup>\*</sup>(P = 0.048), Leishmune<sup>\*</sup> (P = 0.036) and LBSap (P = 0.050) as compared to the Control group] were able to trigger a cytokine balance shifted toward a pro-inflammatory pattern, with index ratio approximately twice higher for IFN- $\gamma$  than IL-10 (Fig. 5, upper panel).

In addition, the frequency of the overall cytokine production was further evaluated by determining the proportion of high producers that were displayed on radar charts (Fig. 5, bottom panel). The Control group demonstrated low numbers of high producers of proinflammatory cytokines, and 50 % of animals presented as high producers of IL-10 (Fig. 5, bottom panel). Additionally, the Leish-Tec<sup>®</sup> group displayed a low frequency profile with high producers of pro-inflammatory and regulatory cytokines, although approximately 50 %



### (See figure on previous page.)

**Fig. 5** Overall proinflammatory and regulatory cytokine patterns secreted by splenocytes from BALB/c mice immunized with the multicomponent LBSap vaccine, Leish-Tec<sup>®</sup> or Leishmune<sup>®</sup>. In the upper panel, the x-axis displays the index (SLcA stimulated culture/unstimulated culture) of each analyzed cytokine (IL-6, TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-10, IL-17A) 30 days after experimental *L. infantum* challenge in the different analyzed groups (n = 5 mice/group in two independent batches) (Control [ $\Box$ ]; Leish-Tec<sup>®</sup> [ $\blacksquare$ ]; Leishmune<sup>®</sup> [ $\blacksquare$ ]; and LBSap [killed *L. braziliensis* vaccine plus saponin;  $\blacksquare$ ]). The y-axis represents the mean values (with standard deviations) of the stimulation index of analyzed cytokines. Significant inter-group differences are marked by letters (C: Control group and LT: Leish-Tec<sup>®</sup> group). The bottom panel displays radar charts of proinflammatory and regulatory cytokines from splenocyte supernatant culture. Each axis displays the proportion of high-producing cytokines in the following groups (n = 5 mice/group in two independent batches): Control ( $\Box$ ); Leish-Tec<sup>®</sup> ( $\blacksquare$ ); Leishmune<sup>®</sup> ( $\blacksquare$ ); and LBSap (killed *L. braziliensis* vaccine plus saponin;  $\blacksquare$ )

of animals showed high IFN- $\gamma$  production. Moreover, the Leishmune<sup>°</sup> group revealed a pro-inflammatory profile with approximately 50 % of animals being high producers of IL-6, TNF- $\alpha$ , IFN- $\gamma$ , and IL-17, in addition to basal levels of IL-10. Furthermore, the hallmark of the LBSap group indicated a prominent type I immune response with a great frequency of animals (range, 60–90 %) displaying high production of IL-6, TNF- $\alpha$ , IFN- $\gamma$ , and IL-17; approximately 50 % of animals showed high IL-10 production (Fig. 5, bottom panel).

### Leishmune<sup>®</sup> vaccination led to a reduction in parasite load in the spleen, whereas Leishmune<sup>®</sup> and LBSap presented low parasitism in the liver

As shown in Fig. 6 (upper panel), a 64 % reduction of amastigotes in the spleen was observed in the Leishmune<sup> $\circ$ </sup> group (*P* = 0.034) when compared with the Control group. Moreover, regarding the spleen parasite burden, there was a decrease of 36 % in the Leish-Tec<sup> $\circ$ </sup> group and 42 % in the LBSap group (Fig. 6, upper panel).

Regarding parasite burden in the liver (Fig. 6, bottom panel), there was a reduction of 71 % in the Leishmune<sup>®</sup> group (P = 0.004) and of 62 % in the LBSap group (P = 0.036) when compared with the Control group. Additionally, the Leish-Tec<sup>®</sup> group experienced a decrease of 42 % in parasite burden in the liver (Fig. 6, bottom panel). Interestingly, a parasite load reduction of 23 % in the Leishmune<sup>®</sup> group (P = 0.044) (Fig. 6, bottom panel).

### Discussion

In the past years, several efforts have been made to improve the scientific knowledge regarding the immunological tools to control CVL, mainly focusing on the characterization and test of distinct *Leishmania*-derived antigens as vaccines and candidates for VL control. Regardless of these efforts, there are still relevant gaps about the immunological mechanism of protection triggered by distinct vaccines and novel immunobiological candidates for VL control. Such information would provide insights to improve the effectiveness of VL immunoprophylaxis [4, 21].





The murine experimental model for Leishmania infection represents a useful tool to investigate aspects related to parasite-host interactions and has significantly contributed to the design of hypothesis of immunemediated mechanisms relevant to understand distinct aspects of leishmaniasis as well as identified relevant elements associated with protective response in immunoprophilactic approaches. However, although the data generated in murine models can be used to predict a hypothesis relevant to other host species, it is important to mention that discussion of mouse model-derived results must be performed carefully, taking proper prudence to avoid over interpretation. In this sense, the data generated in the present investigation must be further validated in complementary investigations performed in dogs, since these hosts present particularities in their immune response that are distinct from those observed in mouse models and critical for protection against Leishmania infection. Nevertheless, there are several findings previously reported in murine models that were further validated in dog hosts.

Efficient immunization against infectious agents requires the participation of the innate immune response [22]. In this study, we observed a neutrophil reduction at  $15^{AVac}$  with respect to the BV in Leish-Tec<sup>\*</sup> and LBSap groups and the re-establishments of population levels at  $30^{AChal}$ . The role of neutrophils in the *Leishmania* infection is still not fully understood. It has been described that neutrophils play a role in the establishment of infection as "Trojan horses" [23]. However, some authors believe that the neutrophils can also be associated with the parasite control during ongoing VL [24–26].

Immunophenotypic analysis showed an increase in monocyte (CD14<sup>+</sup>) frequency in the Leish-Tec<sup>®</sup> (30<sup>AChal</sup>) and Leishmune<sup>®</sup> groups (15<sup>AVac</sup>), despite the reduction found in the LBSap group (15<sup>AVac</sup>). Increased amounts of circulating CD14<sup>+</sup> monocytes were associated with asymptomatic disease compatible with parasite control in VL [27] or high immunogenicity elicited by vaccination against CVL [16]. In fact, monocytes/macrophages are also extremely important in performing phagocytosis, killing pathogens through the respiratory burst, and participating in the production of proinflammatory cytokines [28]. All immunized groups displayed increase in NK cell frequency, particularly after experimental challenge (30<sup>AChal</sup>). It has been proposed that these cells have the ability to induce IL-12 production, favoring high levels of nitric oxide production, activation of macrophages, and thus inducing leishmanicidal activity and parasite control [29].

The innate and adaptive immune systems synergize to activate and execute a protective immune response following vaccination. However, the adaptive immune system allows the host to generate both antigen-specific responses and immunological memory, which have primary importance in the effective response against pathogens [30].

Regarding the CD19<sup>+</sup> B-lymphocyte levels, a reduction in the LBSap group (15<sup>AVac</sup> and 30<sup>AChal</sup>) was observed. It is possible to speculate that this reduction is related to the migration of these cells to lymphoid organs such as the spleen for polyclonal activation and antibody production, as previously reported [16, 31]. In agreement with this hypothesis, we observed high increases in IgG and IgG1 (15<sup>AVac</sup> and 30<sup>AChal</sup>) in all immunized groups, indicating similar high antigenicity elicited by analyzed vaccines. Furthermore, the Leish-Tec° group displayed lower IgG2a production at 15<sup>AVac</sup> compared to BV and compared to other vaccine groups. To date, there is no data in the literature using the Leish-Tec° commercial formulation in mouse models. It has been reported that IgG2a is closely related to the type I immune response and type 2 response correlates with IgE and IgG1 [32, 33]. It has been proposed that one advantage of Leish-Tec° is the fact that it induces the production of anti-A2, but not anti-SLA antibodies [10] that would allow the discrimination of immunized from infected dogs. However, it has been shown that Leish-Tec®-immunized dogs present IgG, IgG1 and IgG2 reactivity to SLA antigen. In fact, using a large number of dogs (n = 39) at different times after vaccination, Fernandes and colleagues have demonstrated that the IgG seroconversion rate of Leish-Tec°-immunized dogs can reach 59.5 %, as early as 21 days after the first dose and 54.8 % later on at 21 days after the second dose [34]. These findings corroborate the data observed in the present investigation showing the ability of Leish-Tec® vaccination to elicit the production of anti-SLA IgG.

Regarding the frequency of CD4<sup>+</sup> T lymphocytes, we observed increased levels in the Leish-Tec<sup>®</sup> (30<sup>AChal</sup>), Leishmune<sup>®</sup> (30<sup>AChal</sup>) and LBSap (15<sup>AVac</sup> and 30<sup>AChal</sup>) groups. Because the memory T cells are critical for inducing protection against infections in the long-term [35], high levels of these cells after the vaccine protocol and experimental challenge may be associated with immune cell-mediated protection. The CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell induction in anti-VL vaccines has been associated with high protection levels due to the ability of these lymphocytes to produce IFN-y and promote macrophage activation favoring the leishmanicidal activity [35–37]. Additionally, it has been reported that dogs vaccinated with LBSap showed an increase in the frequency of circulating T cells and their subsets (CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup>) after the immunization protocol [16] and remains even after long-term experimental challenge in dogs [18]. The continued increase in the ratio of T-lymphocytes to B-lymphocytes (T/B ratio) in both Leish-Tec° and LBSap groups, after experimental challenge (30<sup>AChal</sup>), was associated with CD3<sup>+</sup> T cells, particularly CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, with a consequent reduction in CD19<sup>+</sup> B-cells. Moreover, we also observed an increased CD4/CD8 ratio at 30<sup>AChal</sup> in the Leish-Tec<sup>\*</sup> and LBSap groups that was related to the increased frequency of CD4<sup>+</sup> cells. The increased CD4/CD8 T-cell ratio could favor parasite control, because CD4 T cells have been associated with an immune cell-mediated protective mechanism [4, 16, 18, 36].

The IL-2 increase in Leishmune<sup> $\circ$ </sup> and LBSap and the increase in IL-6, TNF- $\alpha$ , and IFN- $\gamma$  levels in LBSap may have contributed to the protection found in the vaccinated groups.

In fact, the analysis of overall proinflammatory and regulatory cytokine patterns, demonstrated by radar charts, indicated a prominent type 1 immune response (high producers of IL-6, TNF- $\alpha$ , IFN- $\gamma$ , and IL-17) in the Leishmune<sup>®</sup> group and especially in the LBSap group. Additionally, Leish-Tec<sup>®</sup> presented a low frequency of IL-10 producers, which should contribute to the proinflammatory microenvironment. It is noteworthy that an effector immune response against VL, with the production of mainly proinflammatory cytokines such as IFN- $\gamma$  and IL-12, is usually correlated with a type 1 response [38, 39]. In contrast, a predominantly type 2 immune response with regulatory cytokines, such as IL-10 and IL-4, appears to exacerbate the *Leishmania* infection [40, 41].

The parasitological data regarding the LBSap group corroborate with recent studies indicating that dogs immunized with this vaccine and challenged had a 54 % reduction in parasite load in splenic tissue [18]. The Leishmune<sup>®</sup> vaccine showed the highest parasite control in both spleen and liver. Previous studies demonstrated an 84.4 % reduction in liver parasite load in BALB/c mice immunized with FML plus saponin [42]. BALB/c mice immunized with A2 administered with *Propianibactrium acnes* as adjuvant and infected by *L. donovani* showed an 89 % reduction in liver parasite [43].

Together, our findings demonstrated evidences indicating the existence of particular phenotypic and functional features elicited by the three vaccines. However, all three vaccines are able to elicit relevant immunological changes supportive of putative anti-*Leishmania* protective mechanisms, such as a shift of cytokine balance towards higher IFN- $\gamma$ /IL-10 ratio. In this context, the LbSap vaccine triggers an immune response comparable with other high performance vaccines already developed to control *L. chagasi* infection. Therefore, the LbSap vaccine represents a putative candidate to be further improved to meet the requirements of phase III clinical trials.

### Conclusions

The dataset led to the conclusion that the LBSap vaccine displayed immunological and parasitological profiles similar to other commercially available anti-CVL vaccines. In this sense, our data indicate that the LBSap vaccine presented the following: high antigenicity with sustained production of anti-*Leishmania* total IgG, IgG1, and IgG2a; prominent cellular immune response displaying increased levels of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells; and a proinflammatory cytokine profile with high levels of *Leishmania*-specific IL-6, TNF- $\alpha$ , and IFN- $\gamma$  that contributed to a reduction in parasitism.

### **Additional file**

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Representative flow cytometry pseudocolor plots illustrating the gate strategy applied to identify and select the circulating lymphocytes based on their morphometric profile (Size – forward scatter and granularity – side scatter) followed by the analysis of immunophenotypic features to quantify innate (CD3<sup>-</sup>CD49b<sup>+</sup> NK-cells) and adaptive immunity cells (CD19<sup>+</sup> B-cells; CD3<sup>+</sup>, CD3<sup>+</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD3<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cells). A combination of morphometric (SSC) and immunophenotypic features (anti-CD14 staining) was applied to quantify CD14<sup>+</sup> monocytes. (PDF 504 kb)

### Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful for the use of the facilities at CEBIO, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais and Rede Mineira de Bioterismo (FAPEMIG).

### Funding

This work was supported by Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado de Minas Gerais (FAPEMIG), Brazil (grants CBB-APQ-02076-10, CBB-APQ-02473-10, CBB-APQ-01225-11, CBB-APQ-01052-11, CBB-APQ-01698-12, CBB-APQ-02007-12, CBB-APQ-02372-13, APQ-03576-13, CBB-PPM-00296-11/00609-15), Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Brazil (grants 482249/2012-9 and 480979/2013-8), and CAPES. JASV, RTF, OAMF, RCO, WOD, ABR, AND and RCG are grateful to CNPq for fellowships. The funding bodies had no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data as well as in writing the manuscript.

#### Availability of data and materials

All relevant data are within the paper.

#### Authors' contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: DSL, JASG, RTF, SAR, OAMF, RCO, WOD, ABR and RCG. Performed the experiments: LZM, LAR, MFL, RDOAS, BMR, RAOC, MAB and DSL. Analyzed the data: LZM, DSL, JASG, OAMF, WOD and RCG. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: DSL, ABR, and RCG. Wrote the paper: LZM, DSL, JASG, RTF, WOD and RCG. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study protocol was approved by the Ethical Committee for the Use of Experimental Animals of the Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto, Ouro Preto - MG, Brazil (Protocol 2011/13).

### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Laboratório de Biologia das Interações Celulares, Departamento de Morfologia, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil. <sup>2</sup>Laboratório de Imunopatologia, Núcleo de Pesquisas em Ciências Biológicas/NUPEB, Instituto de Ciências Exatas e Biológicas, Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto, Ouro Preto, Minas Gerais, Brazil. <sup>3</sup>Laboratório de Pesquisas Clínicas, Programa de Pós-Graduação de Ciências Farmacêuticas, Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto, Ouro Preto, Minas Gerais, Brazil. <sup>4</sup>Laboratório de Imunologia Celular e Molecular, Centro de Pesquisa René Rachou, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil. <sup>5</sup>Laboratório de Biomarcadores de Diagnóstico e Monitoração, Centro de Pesquisa René Rachou, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil. <sup>6</sup>Laboratório de Genômica de Parasitos, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil.

### Received: 21 December 2015 Accepted: 12 August 2016 Published online: 30 August 2016

### References

- Kaye P, Scott P. Leishmaniasis: complexity at the host-pathogen interface. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2011;9(8):604–15.
- Kumar R, Nylen S. Immunobiology of visceral leishmaniasis. Front Immunol. 2012;3:251.
- 3. Deane LM, Deane MP. Visceral leishmaniasis in Brazil: geographical
- distribution and trnsmission. Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo. 1962;4:198–212.
  Gradoni L. Canine *Leishmania* vaccines: still a long way to go. Vet Parasitol. 2015;208(1-2):94–100.
- Mauel J. Vaccination against *Leishmania* infections. Curr Drug Targets Immune Endocr Metabol Disord. 2002;2(3):201–26.
- Working Group on Research Priorities for Development of Leishmaniasis V, Costa CH, Peters NC, Maruyama SR, de Brito Jr EC, Santos IK. Vaccines for the leishmaniases: proposals for a research agenda. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2011;5(3):e943.
- Duthie MS, Raman VS, Piazza FM, Reed SG. The development and clinical evaluation of second-generation leishmaniasis vaccines. Vaccine. 2012;30(2):134–41.
- Coelho EA, Tavares CA, Carvalho FA, Chaves KF, Teixeira KN, Rodrigues RC, et al. Immune responses induced by the *Leishmania (Leishmania) donovani* A2 antigen, but not by the LACK antigen, are protective against experimental *Leishmania (Leishmania) amazonensis* infection. Infect Immun. 2003;71(7):3988–94.
- Zanin FH, Coelho EA, Tavares CA, Marques-da-Silva EA, Silva Costa MM, Rezende SA, et al. Evaluation of immune responses and protection induced by A2 and nucleoside hydrolase (NH) DNA vaccines against *Leishmania chagasi* and *Leishmania amazonensis* experimental infections. Microbes Infect. 2007;9(9):1070–7.
- Fernandes AP, Costa MM, Coelho EA, Michalick MS, de Freitas E, Melo MN, et al. Protective immunity against challenge with *Leishmania (Leishmania) chagasi* in beagle dogs vaccinated with recombinant A2 protein. Vaccine. 2008;26(46):5888–95.
- da Silva VO, Borja-Cabrera GP, Correia Pontes NN, de Souza EP, Luz KG, Palatnik M, et al. A phase III trial of efficacy of the FML-vaccine against canine kala-azar in an endemic area of Brazil (Sao Goncalo do Amaranto, RN). Vaccine. 2000;19(9-10):1082–92.
- Borja-Cabrera GP, Correia Pontes NN, da Silva VO, Paraguai de Souza E, Santos WR, Gomes EM, et al. Long lasting protection against canine kalaazar using the FML-QuilA saponin vaccine in an endemic area of Brazil (Sao Goncalo do Amarante, RN). Vaccine. 2002;20(27-28):3277–84.
- Parra LE, Borja-Cabrera GP, Santos FN, Souza LO, Palatnik-de-Sousa CB, Menz I. Safety trial using the Leishmune vaccine against canine visceral leishmaniasis in Brazil. Vaccine. 2007;25(12):2180–6.
- Fernandes AP, Coelho EA, Machado-Coelho GL, Grimaldi G Jr, Gazzinelli RT. Making an anti-amastigote vaccine for visceral leishmaniasis: rational, update and perspectives. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2012;15(4):476-85.
- Garg R, Dube A. Animal models for vaccine studies for visceral leishmaniasis. Indian J Med Res. 2006;123:439–54.
- Giunchetti RC, Correa-Oliveira R, Martins-Filho OA, Teixeira-Carvalho A, Roatt BM, de Oliveira Aguiar-Soares RD, et al. Immunogenicity of a killed *Leishmania* vaccine with saponin adjuvant in dogs. Vaccine. 2007;25(44):7674–86.
- 17. Resende LA, Roatt BM, Aguiar-Soares RD, Viana KF, Mendonça LZ, Lanna MF, et al. Cytokine and nitric oxide patterns in dogs immunized with LBSap vaccine, before and after experimental challenge with *Leishmania chagasi* plus saliva of *Lutzomyia longipalpis*. Vet Parasitol. 2013;198(3-4):371–81.
- Roatt BM, Aguiar-Soares RD, Vitoriano-Souza J, Coura-Vital W, Braga SL, Correa-Oliveira R, et al. Performance of LBSap vaccine after intradermal challenge with *L. infantum* and saliva of *Lu. longipalpis*: immunogenicity and parasitological evaluation. PLoS One. 2012;7(11):e49780.
- Arantes JM, Francisco AF, de Abreu Vieira PM, Silva M, Araújo MS, de Carvalho AT, Pedrosa ML, Carneiro CM, Tafuri WL, Martins-Filho OA, Elói-Santos SM. *Trypanosoma cruzi*: desferrioxamine decreases mortality and parasitemia in infected mice through a trypanostatic effect. Exp Parasitol. 2011;128(4):401–8.
- Bretagne S, Durand R, Olivi M, Garin JF, Sulahian A, Rivollet D, et al. Real-time PCR as a new tool for quantifying *Leishmania infantum* in liver in infected mice. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol. 2001;8(4):828–31.

- Health Brazil Ministry. Manual de Vigilância e Controle da Leishmaniose Visceral Brasília, Brazil. http://bvsms.saude.gov.br/bvs/publicacoes/manual\_vigilancia\_ controle leishmaniose visceral 1edicao.pdf. Acessed 22 Feb 2016.
- Kumar H, Kawai T, Akira S. Pathogen recognition by the innate immune system. Int Rev Immunol. 2011;30(1):16–34.
- Peters NC, Egen JG, Secundino N, Debrabant A, Kimblin N, Kamhawi S, et al. In vivo imaging reveals an essential role for neutrophils in leishmaniasis transmitted by sand flies. Science. 2008;321(5891):970–4.
- 24. Rousseau D, Demartino S, Ferrua B, Michiels JF, Anjuere F, Fragaki K, et al. In vivo involvement of polymorphonuclear neutrophils in *Leishmania infantum* infection. BMC Microbiol. 2001;1:17.
- McFarlane E, Perez C, Charmoy M, Allenbach C, Carter KC, Alexander J, et al. Neutrophils contribute to development of a protective immune response during onset of infection with *Leishmania donovani*. Infect Immun. 2008;76(2):532–41.
- Araujo MS, de Andrade RA, Sathler-Avelar R, Magalhaes CP, Carvalho AT, Andrade MC, et al. Immunological changes in canine peripheral blood leukocytes triggered by immunization with first or second generation vaccines against canine visceral leishmaniasis. Vet Immunol Immunopathol. 2011;141(1-2):64–75.
- Giunchetti RC, Mayrink W, Genaro O, Carneiro CM, Correa-Oliveira R, Martins-Filho OA, et al. Relationship between canine visceral leishmaniosis and the *Leishmania (Leishmania) chagasi* burden in dermal inflammatory foci. J Comp Pathol. 2006;135(2-3):100–7.
- Cunningham AC. Parasitic adaptive mechanisms in infection by *Leishmania*. Exp Mol Pathol. 2002;72(2):132–41.
- Bogdan C. Natural killer cells in experimental and human leishmaniasis. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2012;69(2):1–9.
- Esser MT, Marchese RD, Kierstead LS, Tussey LG, Wang F, Chirmule N, et al. Memory T cells and vaccines. Vaccine. 2003;21(5-6):419–30.
- Giunchetti RC, Martins-Filho OA, Carneiro CM, Mayrink W, Marques MJ, Tafuri WL, et al. Histopathology, parasite density and cell phenotypes of the popliteal lymph node in canine visceral leishmaniasis. Vet Immunol Immunopathol. 2008;121(1-2):23–33.
- Alexander J, Brombacher F. T helper1/t helper2 cells and resistance/ susceptibility to leishmania infection: is this paradigm still relevant? Front Immunol. 2012;80(3):1–13.
- Santos WR, Paraguai de Souza E, Palatnik M, Palatnik de Sousa CB. Vaccination of Swiss Albino mice against experimental visceral leishmaniasis with the FML antigen of Leishmania donovani. Vaccine. 1999;17(20-21):2554–61.
- 34. Fernandes CB, Junior JTM, Jesus C, Souza BMPS, Larangeira DF, Fraga DBM, et al. Comparison of two commercial vaccines against visceral leishmaniasis in dogs from endemic areas: IgG, and subclasses, parasitism, and parasite transmission by xenodiagnoses. Vaccine. 2014;32:1287–95.
- Sanchez-Sampedro L, Gomez CE, Mejias-Perez E, Sorzano CO, Esteban M. High quality long-term CD4+ and CD8+ effector memory populations stimulated by DNA-LACK/MVA-LACK regimen in *Leishmania major* BALB/c model of infection. PLoS One. 2012;7(6):e38859.
- Kedzierska K, Curtis JM, Valkenburg SA, Hatton LA, Kiu H, Doherty PC, et al. Induction of protective CD4+ T cell-mediated immunity by a *Leishmania* peptide delivered in recombinant influenza viruses. PLoS One. 2012;7(3):e33161.
- Coler RN, Goto Y, Bogatzki L, Raman V, Reed SG. Leish-111f, a recombinant polyprotein vaccine that protects against visceral leishmaniasis by elicitation of CD4+ T cells. Infect Immun. 2007;75(9):4648–54.
- Dey R, Dagur PK, Selvapandiyan A, McCoy JP, Salotra P, Duncan R, et al. Live attenuated *Leishmania donovani* p27 gene knockout parasites are nonpathogenic and elicit long-term protective immunity in BALB/c mice. J Immunol. 2013;190(5):2138–49.
- Fiuza JA, Gannavaram S, Santiago Hda C, Selvapandiyan A, Souza DM, Passos LS, et al. Vaccination using live attenuated *Leishmania donovani* centrin deleted parasites induces protection in dogs against *Leishmania infantum*. Vaccine. 2015;33(2):280–8.
- Bhowmick S, Ravindran R, Ali N. IL-4 contributes to failure, and colludes with IL-10 to exacerbate *Leishmania donovani* infection following administration of a subcutaneous leishmanial antigen vaccine. BMC Microbiol. 2014;14:8.
- 41. Awasthi A, Mathur RK, Saha B. Immune response to *Leishmania* infection. Indian J Med Res. 2004;119(6):238–58.
- Palatnik-de-Sousa CB, Paraguai-de-Souza E, Gomes EM, Borojevic R. Experimental murine *Leishmania donovani* infection: immunoprotection by the fucose-mannose ligand (FML). Braz J Med Biol Res. 1994;27(2):547–51.
- 43. Ghosh A, Zhang WW, Matlashewski G. Immunization with A2 protein results in a mixed Th1/Th2 and a humoral response which protects mice against *Leishmania donovani* infections. Vaccine. 2001;20(1-2):59–66.