UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE MINAS GERAIS

Faculdade de Medicina

Programa de Pós-Graduação Medicina Molecular

Maíra Casalechi Badin Telles

TRANSLOCATOR PROTEIN (TSPO) EXPRESSION AND LOCALIZATION IN HUMAN ENDOMETRIUM AND ENDOMETRIOSIS

Belo Horizonte

2024

MAÍRA CASALECHI BADIN TELLES

TRANSLOCATOR PROTEIN (TSPO) EXPRESSION AND LOCALIZATION IN HUMAN ENDOMETRIUM AND ENDOMETRIOSIS

Tese apresentada ao Programa de Pós-Graduação em Medicina Molecular da Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais como requisito para obtenção do título de Doutor.

Orientador: Prof. Dr. Fernando Marcos dos Reis Co-orientador: Prof. Dr. Antônio Marcos Coldibelli Francisco

Belo Horizonte 2024

T274t	 Telles, Maira Casalechi Badin. Translocator protein (TSPO) expression and localization in human endometrium and endometriosis [recursos eletrônicos]. / Maira Casalechi Badin Telles Belo Horizonte: 2024. 44f.: il. Formato: PDF. Requisitos do Sistema: Adobe Digital Editions.
	Orientador (a): Fernando Marcos dos Reis. Coorientador (a): Antônio Marcos Coldibelli Francisco. Área de concentração: Medicina Molecular. Tese (doutorado): Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Faculdade de Medicina.
	 Mitochondrial ADP, ATP Translocases. 2. Endometriosis. 3. Biomarkers. Mitochondrial Membranes. 5. Dissertação Acadêmica. I. Reis, Fernando Marcos dos. II. Francisco, Antônio Marcos Coldibelli. III. Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Faculdade de Medicina. IV. Título. NLM: WP 390

Bibliotecário responsável: Fabian Rodrigo dos Santos CRB-6/2697



UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE MINAS GERAIS FACULDADE DE MEDICINA PROGRAMA DE PÓS-GRADUAÇÃO EM MEDICINA MOLECULAR **ATA DE DEFESA DE TESE**

Às 09:00 horas do dia vinte e oito de fevereiro de dois mil vinte e quatro, videoconferência por meio da plataforma Zoom, realizou-se a sessão pública para a defesa da Tese de **MAÍRA CASALECHI BADIN TELLES**, número de registro 2019706398, graduada no curso de BIOMEDICINA, como requisito parcial para a obtenção do grau de Doutor em MEDICINA MOLECULAR. A presidência da sessão coube ao professor Fernando Marcos dos Reis, Orientador. Inicialmente, o presidente fez a apresentação da Comissão Examinadora assim constituída: Fernando Marcos dos Reis - Orientador (UFMG), Antônio Marcos Coldibelli Francisco - Coorientador (UNIVAS, Paola Viganò (Policlinico de Milano), Júlio César Rosa e Silva (FMRP-USP), Flávia Ribeiro de Oliveira (UFMG) e Ana Luiza Lunardi Rocha Baroni (UFMG). Em seguida, a candidata fez a apresentação do trabalho que constitui sua Tese de Doutorado, intitulada: **TRANSLOCATOR PROTEIN (TSPO) EXPRESSION AND LOCALIZATION IN HUMAN ENDOMETRIUM AND ENDOMETRIOSIS**. Seguiu-se a arguição pelos examinadores e logo após, a Comissão reuniu-se, sem a presença da candidata e do público e decidiu considerar aprovada a Tese de Doutorado. O resultado final foi comunicado publicamente à candidata pelo presidente da Comissão. Nada mais havendo a tratar, o presidente encerrou a sessão e lavrou a presente ata que, depois de lida, se aprovada, será assinada pela Comissão Examinadora.

Belo Horizonte, 28 de fevereiro de 2024.

Assinatura dos membros da banca examinadora:



Documento assinado eletronicamente por **Fernando Marcos dos Reis**, **Professor do Magistério Superior**, em 04/03/2024, às 10:43, conforme horário oficial de Brasília, com fundamento no art. 5º do <u>Decreto nº 10.543, de 13 de novembro de 2020</u>.



Documento assinado eletronicamente por **Julio Cesar Rosa e Silva**, **Usuário Externo**, em 07/03/2024, às 10:01, conforme horário oficial de Brasília, com fundamento no art. 5º do <u>Decreto nº 10.543, de 13</u> <u>de novembro de 2020</u>.



Documento assinado eletronicamente por **Flávia Ribeiro de Oliveira**, **Professora do Magistério Superior**, em 13/03/2024, às 11:40, conforme horário oficial de Brasília, com fundamento no art. 5º do <u>Decreto nº 10.543, de 13 de novembro de 2020</u>.



Documento assinado eletronicamente por **Antônio Marcos Coldibelli Francisco, Usuário Externo**, em 21/03/2024, às 07:45, conforme horário oficial de Brasília, com fundamento no art. 5º do <u>Decreto nº</u> 10.543, de 13 de novembro de 2020.



Documento assinado eletronicamente por **Ana Luiza Lunardi Rocha Baroni, Servidor(a)**, em 26/03/2024, às 12:31, conforme horário oficial de Brasília, com fundamento no art. 5º do <u>Decreto nº</u> 10.543, de 13 de novembro de 2020.



Documento assinado eletronicamente por **Paola Viganò**, **Usuário Externo**, em 04/04/2024, às 14:57, conforme horário oficial de Brasília, com fundamento no art. 5º do <u>Decreto nº 10.543, de 13 de</u> <u>novembro de 2020</u>.



A autenticidade deste documento pode ser conferida no site <u>https://sei.ufmg.br/sei/controlador_externo.php?</u> <u>acao=documento_conferir&id_orgao_acesso_externo=0</u>, informando o código verificador **3050074** e o código CRC **C6EE5B1C**.

Referência: Processo nº 23072.210169/2024-11

SEI nº 3050074

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Ao meu orientador, Professor **Fernando Marcos dos Reis**, por todos os ensinamentos, por confiar em mim e por me abrir infinitas portas.

Ao meu co-orientador, Professor Antônio Marcos Coldibelli Francisco por todo suporte ao longo desta jornada;

Al mio supervisore all'estero, **Paola Viganò**, per le tante conoscenze condivise e per tutte le opportunità concesse;

Aos colegas e amigos do Laboratório de Reprodução Humana do Hospital das Clínicas da UFMG, pela deliciosa convivência e pela disponibilidade em ajudar sempre que necessário;

Ai miei colleghi e amici della Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico di Milano per avermi accolto a braccia aperte, per aver reso facile il mio tirocinio di dottorato e per avermi insegnato come vivere in Italia;

À **l'équipe de l'Institut Cochin**, pour m'avoir gentiment accueilli et m'avoir tant appris pendant mon stage international;

Aos meus amigos e familiares, por estarem sempre por perto, mesmo quando à distância, em especial à minha mãe, **Delise**, e ao meu pai, **Fabiano**;

Às **pacientes**, por gentilmente aceitarem colaborar com o estudo;

Aos financiadores: **Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq)** pelo financiamento do projeto via **Instituto Nacional de Ciência e Tecnologia em Hormônios e Saúde da Mulher (INCT-HSM)**; **Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa de Minas Gerais** (FAPEMIG) pela bolsa de doutorado; **Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES)** pela bolsa para o estágio de doutorado em Milão-Itália por meio do **Programa Institucional de Internacionalização (PrInt)**; e **Society for Reproductive Investigation (SRI)** pela bolsa para o treinamento internacional em Paris-França.

RESUMO

As limitações dos métodos de imagem atuais para detectar pequenas lesões endometrióticas ou lesões superficiais estimulam a busca por novos alvos moleculares. TSPO é uma proteína de 18KDa localizada na membrana externa mitocondrial, que pode ser rastreada por tomografia por emissão de pósitrons (PET) usando ligantes específicos. TSPO está localizada principalmente em neurônios e locais inflamados fora do cérebro. Nossa hipótese é que ela também possa ser expressa no endométrio humano e em tecidos endometrioticos, sendo um alvo para imagens moleculares da endometriose. Este estudo transversal prospectivo incluiu 28 mulheres com endometriose e 11 controles - pacientes sem endometriose. Lesões endometrióticas (n=59) e peritônio normal (n=13) de pacientes com endometriose foram obtidas durante laparoscopia, enquanto amostras de endométrio eutópico de pacientes com endometriose (n=28) e de mulheres controle (n=11) foram coletadas no sala de cirurgia usando um dispositivo flexível. A expressão do mRNA da TSPO foi avaliada por PCR quantitativa em tempo real com transcrição reversa, enquanto a expressão da proteína foi avaliada por imuno-histoquímica com um anticorpo monoclonal anti-TSPO humano. A expressão de mRNA de TSPO foi detectada de forma invariável em todos os tipos de tecidos avaliados; entretanto, descobriu-se que a proteína TSPO é mais abundante no epitélio glandular do que no estroma, tanto no endométrio quanto nas lesões endometrióticas. Curiosamente, as terapias hormonais não alteraram a expressão da TSPO, e a sua presença foi principalmente negativa nos tecidos adjacentes aos implantes endometrióticos. Como prova de conceito, o padrão de expressão proteica do TSPO no tecido endometriótico e ao longo das áreas adjacentes sugere que a imagem molecular baseada no TSPO pode ser usada para detecção não invasiva de endometriose.

Palavras-chave: TSPO; endometriose; endometriose; biomarcadores.

ABSTRACT

The limitations of current imaging methods to detect small or superficial endometriotic lesions prompt the search for new molecular targets. TSPO is an 18KDa protein located in the outer mitochondrial membrane, which can be traced by positron emission tomography (PET) using specific ligands. TSPO is located mostly in neurons and inflammatory sites outside the brain. We hypothesized that it might also be expressed in the human endometrium and endometrial-like tissue, being a target for molecular imaging of endometriosis. This prospective cross-sectional study included 28 women with endometriosis and 11 endometriosis-free controls. Endometriotic lesions (n=59) and normal peritoneum (n=13) from endometriosis patients were obtained during laparoscopy, while samples of eutopic endometrium from patients with endometriosis (n=28) and from control women (n=11) were collected in the operating room using a flexible device. TSPO mRNA expression was evaluated by quantitative reverse-transcription real-time PCR while protein expression was evaluated by immunohistochemistry with a monoclonal antibody anti-human TSPO. TSPO mRNA expression was detected in an invariable fashion in all tissue types evaluated; however, TSPO protein was found to be more abundant in the glandular epithelium than in the stroma, both in the endometrium and in the endometriotic lesions. Interestingly, hormone therapies did not alter the expression of TSPO, and its presence was mostly negative in tissues adjacent to endometriotic implants. As a proof of concept, the protein expression pattern of TSPO in endometriotic tissue and along the adjacent areas suggests that TSPO-based molecular imaging might be used for noninvasive endometriosis detection.

Keywords: TSPO; endometriosis; endometrium; biomarkers.

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Imaging and laparoscopic appearance of endometriosis subtypes. - Allaire C. et al., 2023.PMID: 36918177 (*modified*)

Figure 2: TSPO localization in the outer mitochondrial membrane in a neuro cell, which can be used as a target of radiolabeled molecules to PET imaging-based diagnosis. Zhang et al., 2021. PMID: 33643818

Figure 3: Overview of study methodology

Figure 4: TSPO mRNA expression in endometrium and in endometriotic lesions. The bars represent the group medians, and group comparisons were made with the Kruskal-Wallis test. ENDO: eutopic endometrium from the endometriosis group; NP: normal peritoneum; SUP: superficial peritoneal endometriosis; OMA: ovarian endometrioma; DE: deep endometriosis.

Figure 5: TSPO localization in endometrium of controls (A-B) and in women with endometriosis (C-F). G = negative control. SE: surface epithelium. GI: glandular epithelium; St: stroma; V: blood vessel. Scale bar = 50 μ m. The immunohistochemistry score (mean ± standard error) is shown in (H). *p<0.05 vs. Glands (Wilcoxon's paired rank test).

Figure 6: TSPO localization in endometriotic lesions (A-G) and disease-free peritoneum (H). The images are representative of superficial peritoneal endometriosis (A-B), ovarian endometrioma (C), and deep endometriosis of the uterosacral ligament (D), intestine (E-F), and bladder (G). GI: glandular epithelium; St: stroma; V: blood vessel; Ad: adjacent area. Scale bar = 50 μ m. The immunohistochemistry score (mean ± standard error) is shown in (I-K). *p<0.05 vs. Lesion-Gland

(Dunn's test). SUP: superficial peritoneal endometriosis; OMA: ovarian endometrioma; DE: deep endometriosis; NP: normal peritoneum.

Figure 7: TSPO gene mRNA and protein expression in the control endometrium (A-C), eutopic endometrium from patients with endometriosis (D-F) and endometriotic lesions (G-I). The participants were subdivided into those without any hormone use in the month before surgery (None) or in use of combined oral contraceptives (COC) or isolated progestins. The bars represent group medians (mRNA) or means (protein) and only mRNA levels in endometriotic lesions (G) differed significantly between subgroups (p<0.05, Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA).

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of the study groups.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

ASRM: American Society of Reproductive Medicine BMI: body mass index CAPES: Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal do Nível Superior cDNA: complementary deoxyribonucleic acid CNPq: Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico COC: combined oral contraceptives Ct: Threshold cycle DE: deep endometriosis FAPEMIG: Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa de Minas Gerais GnRH: Gonadotropin-releasing hormone INCT-HSM: Instituto Nacional de Ciência e Tecnologia em Hormônios e Saúde da Mulher **IRB:** Institutional Review Board MRI: magnetic resonance imaging mRNA: messenger ribonucleic acid OMA: ovarian endometrioma PET: positron emission tomography RT-qPCR: quantitative reverse-transcription real-time polymerase chain reaction SRI: Society for Reproductive Investigation StAR: steroid acute regulatory protein SUP: superficial endometriosis TSPO: translocator protein UFMG: Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais UNIVÁS: Universidade do Vale do Sapucaí

SUMMARY

1. INTRODUCTION	13
2. MATERIALS AND METHODS	18
2.1 Study design and participants	18
2.2 Sample collection	18
2.3 RNA extraction, complementary DNA synthesis, and semi-quantitative PCR	19
2.4 Immunohistochemistry	20
2.5 Statistical analysis	21
3. RESULTS	22
4. DISCUSSION	27
5. REFERENCES	30
ATTACHMENTS	34

1. INTRODUCTION

Endometriosis is a chronic inflammatory gynecological disorder defined as the presence of endometrial-like tissue outside the uterus that affects up to 10% of women of reproductive age worldwide (Taylor et al., 2021). Its clinical appearance comprises three distinct phenotypes: 1. superficial endometriosis (SUP); which is characterized by thin layer lesions in the peritoneum; 2. ovarian endometrioma (OMA); characterized by cystic lesions in the ovaries, which are filled by a dark fluid; and 3. deep endometriosis (DE), defined by the presence of lesions with more than 5 mm depth into the tissue (Taylor et al., 2021). These appearances might be isolated or combined, and several pelvic organs and tissues might be compromised, including ovaries, intestines, peritoneum, and bladder (Hsu et al., 2010). From the histological point of view, endometriosis is considered the presence of ectopic endometrial glands or stroma, often with haemosiderin outside the uterine cavity (Chapron et al., 2019). Despite being often characterized as a pelvic disease, evidence suggests that it is a multifactorial disorder, which has several effects throughout the body (Taylor et al., 2021). Among them, the most common symptom is infertility and chronic pelvic pain, including dysmenorrhea, non-menstrual pain, dyspareunia, dyschezia, and dysuria, but endometriosis also might cause migraine, fatigue, pelvic fibroids and irritable bowel syndrome (Becker et al., 2022).

Considering endometriosis nonspecific symptoms, as well as its heterogenic manifestations, its diagnosis is challenging, usually taking seven to nine years after its first signs, deeply impacting women' quality of life, and, consequently, being an economic burden: only in the USA of over US\$22 billion (Nnoaham et al., 2019; Soliman et al., 2017; Staal et al., 2016; Taylor et al., 2021). Up to now, endometriosis has no specific biochemical marker that could be

used for a clinical diagnosis. Studies have found potential biomarkers, but most of them have been discarded at the research stage, and very few have been translated into clinical practice, with poor accuracy. So far, most diagnosis confirmations comprise laparoscopic surgery with biopsy and histopathological examination of the lesions (Becker et al., 2022). However, surgical diagnosis is not a perfect gold standard, since diagnostic laparoscopy might be inaccurate and can miss the disease (Taylor et al., 2021). Transvaginal ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) performed by trained specialists show good accuracy in detecting OMA and DE (Becker et al., 2022), but SUP lesions develop shallowly on the surface of the peritoneum or ovaries, making their imaging far more difficult (Borghese et al., 2015) (Figure 1). Also, the absence of visible lesions or negative histology do not exclude endometriosis, since occult endometriosis has been in random peritoneal biopsy specimens (Balasch et al., 1996; Kazanegra et al., 2008; Albee, Sinervo and Fisher, 2008; Stegmann et al., 2008). Therefore, reliance on surgery for endometriosis diagnosis only delays the initiation of treatment for this complex disease (Taylor et al., 2018). Therefore, the detection of molecular targets that could be used with imaging techniques aiming to a faster, more precise, and non-invasive diagnosis of endometriosis in general and SUP in particular is urgently needed (Coutinho et al., 2019). The translocator protein (TSPO), also known as peripheral benzodiazepine receptor, (Braestrup & Squires, 1977) is a potential tool for a more specific and sensitive noninvasive imaging of endometriotic lesions. It is an 18 kDa protein with five transmembrane domains, mainly localized in the outer mitochondrial membrane of the neurons and is involved in membrane biogenesis, bioenergetics, cell proliferation, apoptosis, and immunomodulation (Liu et al., 2022; Morin et al., 2016; Taketani et al., 1994). Together with the steroid acute regulatory protein (StAR), TSPO has an important role in the first, rate-limiting step of steroid hormone biosynthesis, as both proteins mobilize cholesterol to the inner mitochondrial

Endometriosis subtype	Transvaginal ultrasonography	Laparascopy
Superficial peritoneal endometriosis	Not visible on imaging	
Ovarian endometrioma		
Deep endometriosis of sigmoid colon		

Figure 1. Imaging and laparoscopic appearance of endometriosis subtypes. - Allaire C. et al., 2023. PMID: 36918177 (*modified*)

membrane to be converted into pregnenolone (Stocco et al., 2017). TSPO can be modulated by inflammation (M. K. Chen & Guilarte, 2008; Werry et al., 2019) to the point that it is considered an inflammation biomarker and a natural defense (Rupprecht et al., 2010), as it is effective in brain protection from neurodegeneration, neuroinflammation, and in neuropathic pain (Girard et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2016; Wei et al., 2013). More than that, TSPO expression has been detected in sites outside the nervous system such as the kidney, heart, and liver (Batarseh & Papadopoulos,

2010; Giatzakis & Papadopoulos, 2004; Papadopoulos et al., 2006). Moreover, TSPO has specific ligands – such as the C-11 labeled R-enantiomer of 1-(2-chlorophenyl)-N-methyl-N-(1-methylpropyl)-3-isoquinoline carboxamide ((R)-[11C]PK11195) and the F-18 labeled N,N-diethyl-2-[4-(2-[18F]fluoroethoxy)phenyl]-5,7-dimethyl-pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine-3-acetamide ([18F]DPA-714) – that, once conjugated to radioisotopes, allow the non-invasive imaging of TSPO-rich tissues using positron emission tomography (PET) (Figure 2).





Little is known about TSPO expression and function in the female reproductive system. It has been shown that TSPO is present in the rat endometrium and is regulated by steroid hormones (Morohaku et al., 2013). Therefore, we hypothesized that this molecule might also be present in the human endometrium and in endometriosis. Considering that, this study aimed to evaluate TSPO expression in human endometrial tissue and endometriotic lesions. Furthermore, we evaluated TSPO localization in areas adjacent to endometriotic lesions as well as in normal peritoneum to find out whether this biomarker is circumscribed to endometriosis and thus could be potentially used to identify endometriotic lesions through PET scan.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study design and participants

This prospective cross-sectional study included 28 women with endometriosis and 11 patients without endometriosis as controls. All participants were enrolled from March 2017 to September 2018 at the Hospital das Clínicas Samuel Libânio, Pouso Alegre, Brazil. The study design, protocol, and informed consent form were approved by the local Institutional Review Board (IRB; registration number 60378816.1.0000.5149), and all participants freely signed the informed consent upon enrollment.

The main clinical characteristics of the endometriosis and control groups are summarized in Table 1. Briefly, women with endometriosis had been referred for laparoscopic surgery due to pelvic pain of moderate to severe intensity that did not respond satisfactorily to medical treatment, and/or infertility. The control group was composed by women undergoing laparoscopy and/or hysteroscopy for benign gynecological conditions other than endometriosis.

2.2 Sample collection

Samples of endometriotic lesions (n=59) and normal peritoneum (n=13) were obtained during laparoscopy, while samples of eutopic endometrium from patients with endometriosis (n=28) and from control women (n=11) were collected in the operating room using a flexible device (Pipelle®, Laboratoire CCD, Paris, France) (Attachment 1). One portion of each endometrial tissue sample was fixed in HistoChoice® Tissue Fixative (CAT: H2904; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, United States) and was paraffin-embedded to allow protein localization by immunohistochemistry. Another portion was stored in 1 mL of RNA*later* (CAT: AM7021; ThermoFisher, Waltham, Massachusetts, United States) for 24 hours at room temperature, then drained and stored at -80° C for future gene expression analysis.

2.3 RNA extraction, complementary DNA synthesis, and semi-quantitative PCR

Expression of the messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA) encoding TSPO was evaluated in all samples by quantitative reverse-transcription real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR). For processing, endometriotic tissue stored in RNA*later* was removed from the freezer at -80 °C and was washed twice in cold PBS. All endometriotic lesions were manually dissected to minimize adjacent-tissue contamination, followed by disruption and homogenization. Total RNA was isolated using the TRIzol® protocol, unmodified. Total RNA was quantified by light absorbance at 260 nm (NanoDrop - Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, Delaware, USA), and 1 µg of total RNA was pretreatment with DNase I, Amplification Grade for 15 minutes (CAT: 18068015; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) to remove undesired genomic DNA contamination. First-strand complementary deoxyribonucleic acid (cDNA) was synthesized from 750 ng of DNase I-treated total RNA using Superscript IV first-strand synthesis system (CAT: 18091050; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Real-time PCR was carried out as described previously (Dela Cruz et al., 2022) in an ABI-Prism 7500 Sequence Detection System using the fluorescent dye Power SYBR Green Master Mix Kit (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The PCR parameters were: [stage 1] a cycle of 95 °C / 10min; [stage 2] 40 cycles of 95 °C / 15 seconds, 60 °C / 15 seconds and 72 °C / 20 seconds; [Stage 3] 95 °C / 15 seconds, 54 °C / 15 seconds and 95 °C / 15 seconds. The gene encoding the ribosomal protein S26 was used as the internal control. The synthesized primer sequences used for PCR amplification were: TSPO forward 5'CCTACCCCTTGCAAAGAAGC 3'; TSPO reverse 5'TCGGGCACCAAAGAAGATGG 3'; S26 forward 5'CCAAAGGGAGGCTGGTGAAT 3'; S26 5' reverse

GGTGCCTGCGATATTTGTTAGG 3'. Primers were designed to span two sequential exons and thus anneal only to cDNA. The specificity of PCR products was confirmed by single peak dissociation curves. Threshold cycle (Ct) values were normalized to S26 (Δ Ct), and each sample value was reported as $1/\Delta$ Ct.

2.4 Immunohistochemistry

All immunohistochemistry steps were performed using the NovolinkTM non-biotin polymer detection system kit (Novocastra®, Newcastle Upon Tyne, UK). The paraffin-embedded samples sectioned at 0.4 µm of thickness were deparaffinized with xylene and hydrated with graded ethanol and PBS. The slides were microwaved in an EDTA buffer for 5 minutes for optimal exposure of the epitopes of interest. The endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked with peroxidase block for 5 minutes. The sections were incubated for 5 minutes to reduce background staining with the protein block solution. The sections were then incubated overnight at 4°C with primary rabbit monoclonal antibody anti-human TSPO (D1N7Z RabbitmAb #70358 - Cell Signaling -Massachusetts /USA) diluted 1:50. After incubation, each slide was incubated 30 minutes with the post-primary block reagent, and then the reactant polymer was applied for another 30 minutes incubation. The staining was developed by diaminobenzidine and counterstained with hematoxylin. Negative control reactions consisted of omitting the primary antibody step from the protocol. High-resolution images of the stained sections were acquired through a Panoramic Digital Slide Scanner (3DHistech, Budapest, Hungary) and analyzed in full using CaseViewer 2.4 software. Representative areas were chosen to illustrate the findings. The intensity of the immunostaining was graded on a 0 to 3 arbitrary unit scale, and the percentage of cells with positive staining was graded as 0 (absent), 1 (1% to 25%), 2 (26% to 75%), or 3 (76% to 100%).

An individual index for each sample was obtained by summing its immunostaining intensity and the percentage scores (Couto et al., 2018).

2.5 Statistical analysis

The results were analyzed by the D'Agostino-Pearson test to determine normal data distributions. Unless otherwise stated, continuous variables were summarized as mean ± standard error and categorical variables were expressed as frequency (percentage). Differences between groups were assessed using the Kruskal-Wallis analysis of variance followed by Dunn's test, whereas the immunostaining index was compared between epithelial and stromal compartments using the Wilcoxon's paired rank test. All analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 6.





Considering the two study groups, there was no difference in age, BMI, cycle regularity, or use of hormonal treatments in the month before the endometrial biopsy (Table 1). Considering the women affected by endometriosis, they were predominantly stage III/IV (75%), accordingly to rASRM classification (Practice Committee of the ASRM, 2012), with a higher prevalence of infertility, dysmenorrhea and dyspareunia than the control group (Table 1).

	Endometriosis	Control	P value
	(n = 28)	(n = 11)	
Age (years)	37.6 ± 6.0	36.1 ± 8.6	0.539
BMI (Kg/m ²)	26.1 ± 3.7	24.8 ± 3.1	0.312
Dysmenorrhea (VAS)	7.2 ± 3.1	1.2 ± 3.0	0.000
Dyspareunia (VAS)	3.3 ± 3.9	0.7 ± 2.4	0.020
Acyclic pelvic pain (VAS)	2.0 ± 3.2	0.6 ± 2.1	0.134
Regular menstrual cycles	7 (25%)	5 (46%)	0.262
Infertility	19 (68%)	3 (27%)	0.033
Adenomyosis (ultrasound)	7 (25%)	0 (0%)	0.159
Family history of endometriosis	3 (11%)	2 (18%)	0.609
Hormonal treatments in the last month			
Combined oral contraceptive	9 (32%)	1 (9%)	0.228
Progestin	14 (50%)	4 (36%)	0.497
GnRH agonist	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1.000
rASRM Stage of endometriosis			
Ι	2 (7%)	-	
II	5 (18%)	_	
III	10 (36%)	_	
IV	11 (39%)	_	

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of the study groups.

TSPO mRNA expression was detected in all tissue types evaluated, with no significant quantitative difference between eutopic endometrium of controls and eutopic endometrium from endometriosis patients, or between SUP, OMA or DE lesions (Figure 4).



Figure 4: TSPO mRNA expression in endometrium and in endometriotic lesions. The bars represent the group medians, and group comparisons were made with the Kruskal-Wallis test. ENDO: eutopic endometrium from the endometriosis group; NP: normal peritoneum; SUP: superficial peritoneal endometriosis; OMA: ovarian endometrioma; DE: deep endometriosis.

TSPO protein was localized in endometrium from both control women and from endometriosis patients (Figure 5). In controls, the immunostaining index for TSPO expression was higher in the glandular epithelium (3.2 ± 0.6) than in the stroma (1.6 ± 0.4 , p<0.05, Figure 5H). The same pattern was found in the eutopic endometrium of women with endometriosis, where TSPO immunostaining prevailed in the glandular epithelium (3.7 ± 0.4) over the stroma (1.2 ± 0.3 , p<0.001). Positive controls had the expected staining pattern (not shown), and negative controls had no staining at all (Figure 5G), demonstrating the reliability of the results.

Figure 5: TSPO localization in endometrium of controls (A-B) and in women with endometriosis (C-F). G = negative control. SE: surface epithelium. GI: glandular epithelium; St: stroma; V: blood vessel. Scale bar = 50 μ m. The immunohistochemistry score (mean \pm standard error) is shown in (H). *p<0.05 vs. Glands (Wilcoxon's paired rank test).



Endometriotic lesions of all types evaluated expressed the TSPO protein (Figure 6), with the TSPO immunostaining index being higher in the endometriotic glands (4.5 ± 0.2) than in the stroma (2.0 ± 0.2). Importantly, TSPO was almost undetectable in the non-affected areas adjacent to the endometriotic lesions (0.8 ± 0.2) or in the normal peritoneum (1.5 ± 0.4 , p<0.001, Figure



6I). TSPO immunostaining did not differ significantly between SUP, OMA, and DE lesions, either in the glands (Figure 6J) or in the stroma (Figure 6K).

TSPO Figure 6: localization in endometriotic lesions (A-G) and disease-free peritoneum (H). The images are representative of superficial peritoneal endometriosis (A-B), ovarian endometrioma (C), and deep endometriosis the of uterosacral ligament (D), intestine (E-F), and bladder (G). Gl: glandular epithelium; St: stroma; V: blood vessel; Ad: adjacent area. Scale bar = 50 μ m. The

immunohistochemistry score (mean \pm standard error) is shown in (I-K). *p<0.05 vs. Lesion-Gland (Dunn's test). SUP: superficial peritoneal endometriosis; OMA: ovarian endometrioma; DE: deep endometriosis; NP: normal peritoneum. We also evaluated whether the use of combined oral contraceptives (COC) or isolated progestins could interfere with the expression levels of TSPO in eutopic endometrium or in endometriotic lesions (Figure 7). There was no difference related to hormone therapy use in the expression of TSPO in the endometrium (Figure 7A-F). The use of progestin was associated with decreased TSPO mRNA levels in endometriotic lesions (p<0.05, Kruskal-Wallis test, Figure 7G) but, considering protein expression, no difference was found between women using COC or progestin in comparison with women without any hormone therapy, either in the glands or at the stroma of the endometriotic tissue (Figure 7H-I).



Figure 7: TSPO gene mRNA and protein expression in the control endometrium (A-C), eutopic endometrium from patients with endometriosis (D-F) and endometriotic lesions (G-I). The participants were subdivided into those without any hormone use in the month before surgery (None) or in use of combined oral contraceptives (COC) or isolated progestins. The bars represent group medians (mRNA) or means (protein) and only mRNA levels in endometriotic lesions (G) differed significantly between subgroups (p<0.05, Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA).

4. DISCUSSION

Noninvasive diagnosis of endometriosis currently relies on ultrasound and MRI, which should be performed by specialists familiar with its imaging protocols and pitfalls. Although ultrasound has a good sensitivity in diagnosing DIE and OMA, detecting SUP lesions remains a challenge, which contributes to delayed diagnosis and treatment and to the progressive worsening of women's quality of life (Casalechi et al., 2021). In this scenario, a target for PET-ligands allowing the diagnosis and follow-up of endometriotic (especially SUP) lesions would likely improve the treatment timeliness and the quality of life of women suffering with endometriosis.

Previous studies have shown the presence of TPSO in the rat endometrium (Morohaku et al., 2013). On these bases, we hypothesized that TPSO could also be present in human endometrium and, possibly, in endometriotic lesions. In fact, our data showed for the first time the expression of TSPO in the endometrium of women with and without endometriosis and also in endometriotic lesions, having a considerable contrast with the peritoneum (Figure 5). Since TSPO has specific ligands already standardized to be used for PET/Scan imaging (Filippi et al., 2023), our results suggest that TPSO qualifies to be further investigated as a molecular target for endometriosis imaging based on PET/Scan.

Another critical point to be considered is that, in animal models, hormone treatments seem to change TSPO expression patterns (Morohaku et al., 2013). This could challenge the employment of TSPO as a molecular target for endometriosis diagnosis, since treatments would have to be suspended in order to have a clearer picture of the lesions. As a matter of fact, we detected lower TSPO mRNA levels in the endometriotic lesions of women on progesterone treatment compared to those without hormonal therapies. However, considering the results obtained from protein expression, no difference could be observed in women using progesterone. This finding makes TSPO an even more interesting target for a non-invasive diagnosis of endometriosis, as the test could be performed at any time of the menstrual cycle and especially during hormonal endometriosis treatment. This is an ideal situation, considering that stopping the treatment causes pain and decreases women's quality of life (Szyplowska et al., 2023).

PET-Scan with TSPO ligands has been clinically used to evaluate neurological conditions such as depression (Eggerstorfer et al., 2022), Alzheimer's disease (Rauchmann et al., 2022), and hypothalamic inflammation (Butler et al., 2022). Generally speaking, PET/scan is a reliable technology for the initial workup of several tumor-based diseases and for follow-up settings, providing a better staging and evaluation of the response to the treatment. A great advantage that makes TSPO a potential target for non-invasive diagnosis is that there are already different radioligands for TSPO available for clinical imaging, some of which allow an image detection of lesions as small as 4 mm. Specifically, (R)-[11C]PK11195 is a widely used radioligand for TSPO in clinical imaging nowadays (Ching et al., 2012), and second-generation ligands like [18F] DPA-714 have been developed (James et al., 2008).

The standardization of PET tests, including kinetics analysis and modeling of preclinical data, is challenged by several quantification-related caveats (Z. Chen et al., 2021). Because the clinical trials evaluating TSPO as a diagnostic target were conducted for neuroinflammatory disorders there is no information on how its ligands would work on pelvic evaluations, in terms of sensitivity and specificity. Also, the affinity of TSPO to ligands can be reduced in the presence of a single nucleotide polymorphism (rs6971) in *TSPO* gene exon 4, which might lead to false negative results (Ching et al., 2012). In this sense, evaluating the normal female pelvic imaging with a TSPO tracer is needed before its validation to detect pelvic endometriosis.

It is expected that the development of endometriosis biomarkers could improve diagnosis as well as follow-up after lesion resection and/or medical treatment. A strength of the present study is the analysis of different types of lesions and clinical scenarios, showing that TSPO expression is constant among various endometriotic phenotypes and during hormone therapies. However, we are aware of some limitations of the study, mainly considering that samples were obtained from patients undergoing laparoscopy surgery, and, therefore, our results should not be extrapolated to women with early or asymptomatic disease not requiring surgical intervention. Considering that TSPO is linked to inflammation, it remains to be established whether lesions from the initial stages of endometriosis would present the same expression of TSPO as seen in lesions from women undergoing surgery. Finally, we did not evaluate TSPO localization in other pelvic tissues that express the protein in the mouse, such as fallopian tubes and ovarian cortex (Morohaku et al., 2013). Nevertheless, only further studies with PET/Scan imaging will demonstrate whether the TSPO density in other pelvic organs is low enough to contrast with that of endometrium and endometriosis, allowing the specific detection of endometriotic foci.

In summary, TSPO is present in the human endometrium as well as in several types of endometriotic lesions, being more abundant in the glandular epithelium than in the stroma. Hormone therapies did not alter the expression of TSPO, and its presence was mostly negative in tissues adjacent to endometriotic implants. However, further studies are still needed before the application of TSPO as a diagnostic target. These include performing PET with different TSPO ligands to establish whether the *in vivo* TSPO labeling allows the accurate localization of endometriotic lesions in contrast with the anatomic background and to find out which ligand would perform better in endometriosis detection using TSPO-based molecular imaging.

5. REFERENCES

Albee, R.B., Sinervo, K. and Fisher, D.T. (2008). Laparoscopic Excision of Lesions Suggestive of Endometriosis or Otherwise Atypical in Appearance: Relationship Between Visual Findings and Final Histologic Diagnosis. *Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology*, 15(1), pp.32–37. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmig.2007.08.619

Balasch, J., Creus, M., Fabregues, F., Carmona, F., Ordi, J., Martinez-Roman, S. and Vanrell, J.A. (1996). Visible and non-visible endometriosis at laparoscopy in fertile and infertile women and in patients with chronic pelvic pain: a prospective study. *Human Reproduction*, 11(2), pp.387–391. doi:https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/11.2.387.

Batarseh, A., & Papadopoulos, V. (2010). Regulation of translocator protein 18 kDa (TSPO) expression in health and disease states. *Mol Cell Endocrinol*, 327, 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2010.06.013

Becker, C.M., Bokor, A., Heikinheimo, O., Horne, A., Jansen, F., Kiesel, L., King, K., Kvaskoff, M., Nap, A., Petersen, K., Saridogan, E., Tomassetti, C., van Hanegem, N., Vulliemoz, N., Vermeulen, N., & Group, E.E.G. (2022). ESHRE guideline: endometriosis. *Hum Reprod Open*, 2022, hoac009. https://doi.org/10.1093/hropen/hoac009

Borghese, B., Tost, J., de Surville, M., Busato, F., Letourneur, F., Mondon, F., Vaiman, D., & Chapron, C. (2015). Identification of susceptibility genes for peritoneal, ovarian, and deep infiltrating endometriosis using a pooled sample-based genome-wide association study. *Biomed Res Int*, 2015, 461024. https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/461024

Braestrup, C., & Squires, R.F. (1977). Specific benzodiazepine receptors in rat brain characterized by highaffinity (3H)diazepam binding. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 74, 3805-3809. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.74.9.3805

Butler, T., Glodzik, L., Wang, X.H., Xi, K., Li, Y., Pan, H., Zhou, L., Chiang, G.C., Morim, S., Wickramasuriya, N., Tanzi, E., Maloney, T., Harvey, P., Mao, X., Razlighi, Q.R., Rusinek, H., Shungu, D.C., de Leon, M., Atwood, C.S., & Mozley, P.D. (2022). Positron Emission Tomography reveals age-associated hypothalamic microglial activation in women. *Sci Rep, 12*, 13351. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-17315-8

Casalechi, M., Vieira-Lopes, M., Quessada, M.P., Arao, T.C., & Reis, F.M. (2021). Endometriosis and related pelvic pain: association with stress, anxiety and depressive symptoms. *Minerva Obstet Gynecol*, *73*, 283-289. https://doi.org/10.23736/S2724-606X.21.04704-3

Chapron, C., Marcellin, L., Borghese, B. and Santulli, P. (2019). Rethinking mechanisms, diagnosis and management of endometriosis. *Nature Reviews Endocrinology*, 15(11), pp.666–682. doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/s41574-019-0245-z

Chen, M.K., & Guilarte, T.R. (2008). Translocator protein 18 kDa (TSPO): molecular sensor of brain injury and repair. *Pharmacol Ther*, *118*, 1-17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2007.12.004

Chen, Z., Haider, A., Chen, J., Xiao, Z., Gobbi, L., Honer, M., Grether, U., Arnold, S.E., Josephson, L., & Liang, S.H. (2021). The Repertoire of Small-Molecule PET Probes for Neuroinflammation Imaging: Challenges and Opportunities beyond TSPO. *J Med Chem*, 64, 17656-17689. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.1c01571

Ching, A.S., Kuhnast, B., Damont, A., Roeda, D., Tavitian, B., & Dolle, F. (2012). Current paradigm of the 18-kDa translocator protein (TSPO) as a molecular target for PET imaging in neuroinflammation and neurodegenerative diseases. *Insights Imaging*, *3*, 111-119. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13244-011-0128-x

Coutinho, L.M., Ferreira, M.C., Rocha, A.L.L., Carneiro, M.M., & Reis, F.M. (2019). New biomarkers in endometriosis. *Adv Clin Chem*, 89, 59-77. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.acc.2018.12.002

Couto, H.L., Dela Cruz, C., Buzelin, M.A., Toppa, N.H., Wainstein, A.J., & Reis, F.M. (2018). Follistatin Expression in Human Invasive Breast Tumors: Pathologic and Clinical Associations. *Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol, 26*, 108-112. https://doi.org/10.1097/PAI.00000000000385

Dela Cruz, C., Vilamil, Q.T., Casalechi, M., Rezende, C.P., Assis, W.A., Del Puerto, H.L., Abrao, M.S., & Reis, F.M. (2022). Altered Endometrial Expression of alpha-Inhibin Subunit and Its Co-Receptor Betaglycan in Infertile Women with Endometriosis. *Gynecol Obstet Invest*, 87, 248-255. https://doi.org/10.1159/000526062

Eggerstorfer, B., Kim, J.H., Cumming, P., Lanzenberger, R., & Gryglewski, G. (2022). Meta-analysis of molecular imaging of translocator protein in major depression. *Front Mol Neurosci, 15*, 981442. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnmol.2022.981442

Filippi, L., Frantellizzi, V., Vincentis, G., Schillaci, O., & Evangelista, L. (2023). Clinical Applications of TSPO PET for Glioma Imaging: Current Evidence and Future Perspective-A Systematic Review. *Diagnostics* (*Basel*), *13*. https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics13101813

Giatzakis, C., & Papadopoulos, V. (2004). Differential utilization of the promoter of peripheral-type benzodiazepine receptor by steroidogenic versus nonsteroidogenic cell lines and the role of Sp1 and Sp3 in the regulation of basal activity. *Endocrinology*, *145*, 1113-1123. https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2003-1330

Girard, C., Liu, S., Cadepond, F., Adams, D., Lacroix, C., Verleye, M., Gillardin, J.M., Baulieu, E.E., Schumacher, M., & Schweizer-Groyer, G. (2008). Etifoxine improves peripheral nerve regeneration and functional recovery. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *105*, 20505-20510. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0811201106

Hsu, A.L., Khachikyan, I., & Stratton, P. (2010). Invasive and noninvasive methods for the diagnosis of endometriosis. *Clin Obstet Gynecol*, *53*, 413-419. https://doi.org/10.1097/GRF.0b013e3181db7ce8

James, M.L., Fulton, R.R., Vercoullie, J., Henderson, D.J., Garreau, L., Chalon, S., Dolle, F., Costa, B., Guilloteau, D., & Kassiou, M. (2008). DPA-714, a new translocator protein-specific ligand: synthesis, radiofluorination, and pharmacologic characterization. *J Nucl Med*, *49*, 814-822. https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.107.046151

Kazanegra, R., Zaritsky, E., Lathi, R.B., Clopton, P. and Nezhat, C. (2008). Diagnosis of Stage I Endometriosis: Comparing Visual Inspection to Histologic Biopsy Specimen. *Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology*, 15(2), pp.176–180. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmig.2007.10.005

Lee, J.W., Nam, H., & Yu, S.W. (2016). Systematic Analysis of Translocator Protein 18 kDa (TSPO) Ligands on Toll-like Receptors-mediated Pro-inflammatory Responses in Microglia and Astrocytes. *Exp Neurobiol*, *25*, 262-268. https://doi.org/10.5607/en.2016.25.5.262

Liu, J., Huang, J., Zhang, Z., Zhang, R., Zhang, Z., Liu, Y., & Ma, B. (2022). Translocator Protein 18 kDa (TSPO) as a Novel Therapeutic Target for Chronic Pain. *Neural Plast, 2022,* 8057854. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/8057854

Morin, D., Musman, J., Pons, S., Berdeaux, A., & Ghaleh, B. (2016). Mitochondrial translocator protein (TSPO): From physiology to cardioprotection. *Biochem Pharmacol*, *105*, 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2015.12.003

Morohaku, K., Phuong, N.S., & Selvaraj, V. (2013). Developmental expression of translocator protein/peripheral benzodiazepine receptor in reproductive tissues. *PLoS One*, *8*, e74509. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0074509

Nnoaham, K.E., Hummelshoj, L., Webster, P., d'Hooghe, T., de Cicco Nardone, F., de Cicco Nardone, C., Jenkinson, C., Kennedy, S.H., Zondervan, K.T., & World Endometriosis Research Foundation Global Study of Women's Health, c. (2019). Reprint of: Impact of endometriosis on quality of life and work productivity: a multicenter study across ten countries. *Fertil Steril, 112*, e137-e152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2019.08.082

Papadopoulos, V., Baraldi, M., Guilarte, T.R., Knudsen, T.B., Lacapere, J.J., Lindemann, P., Norenberg, M.D., Nutt, D., Weizman, A., Zhang, M.R., & Gavish, M. (2006). Translocator protein (18kDa): new nomenclature for the peripheral-type benzodiazepine receptor based on its structure and molecular function. *Trends Pharmacol Sci*, 27, 402-409. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tips.2006.06.005

Practice Committee of the American Society for Reproductive, M. (2012). Endometriosis and infertility: a committee opinion. *Fertil Steril*, *98*, 591-598. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2012.05.031

Rauchmann, B.S., Brendel, M., Franzmeier, N., Trappmann, L., Zaganjori, M., Ersoezlue, E., Morenas-Rodriguez, E., Guersel, S., Burow, L., Kurz, C., Haeckert, J., Tato, M., Utecht, J., Papazov, B., Pogarell, O., Janowitz, D., Buerger, K., Ewers, M., Palleis, C., Weidinger, E., Biechele, G., Schuster, S., Finze, A., Eckenweber, F., Rupprecht, R., Rominger, A., Goldhardt, O., Grimmer, T., Keeser, D., Stoecklein, S., Dietrich, O., Bartenstein, P., Levin, J., Hoglinger, G., & Perneczky, R. (2022). Microglial Activation and Connectivity in Alzheimer Disease and Aging. *Ann Neurol*, *92*, 768-781. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.26465

Rupprecht, R., Papadopoulos, V., Rammes, G., Baghai, T.C., Fan, J., Akula, N., Groyer, G., Adams, D., & Schumacher, M. (2010). Translocator protein (18 kDa) (TSPO) as a therapeutic target for neurological and psychiatric disorders. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*, *9*, 971-988. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd3295

Soliman, A.M., Fuldeore, M., & Snabes, M.C. (2017). Factors Associated with Time to Endometriosis Diagnosis in the United States. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*, 26, 788-797. https://doi.org/10.1089/jwh.2016.6003

Staal, A.H., van der Zanden, M., & Nap, A.W. (2016). Diagnostic Delay of Endometriosis in the Netherlands. *Gynecol Obstet Invest*, *81*, 321-324. https://doi.org/10.1159/000441911

Stocco, D.M., Zhao, A.H., Tu, L.N., Kanako Morohaku and Selvaraj, V. (2017). A brief history of the search for the protein(s) involved in the acute regulation of steroidogenesis. Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology, 441, pp.7–16. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2016.07.036

Szyplowska, M., Tarkowski, R., & Kulak, K. (2023). The impact of endometriosis on depressive and anxiety symptoms and quality of life: a systematic review. *Front Public Health*, *11*, 1230303. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1230303

Taketani, S., Kohno, H., Okuda, M., Furukawa, T., & Tokunaga, R. (1994). Induction of peripheral-type benzodiazepine receptors during differentiation of mouse erythroleukemia cells. A possible involvement of these receptors in heme biosynthesis. *J Biol Chem*, 269, 7527-7531

Taylor, H.S., Adamson, G.D., Diamond, M.P., Goldstein, S.R., Horne, A.W., Missmer, S.A., Snabes, M.C., Surrey, E. and Taylor, R.N. (2018). An evidence-based approach to assessing surgical versus clinical diagnosis of symptomatic endometriosis. *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics*, 142(2), pp.131–142. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/ijgo.12521

Taylor, H.S., Kotlyar, A.M., & Flores, V.A. (2021). Endometriosis is a chronic systemic disease: clinical challenges and novel innovations. *Lancet*, *397*, 839-852. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00389-5

Wei, X.H., Wei, X., Chen, F.Y., Zang, Y., Xin, W.J., Pang, R.P., Chen, Y., Wang, J., Li, Y.Y., Shen, K.F., Zhou, L.J., & Liu, X.G. (2013). The upregulation of translocator protein (18 kDa) promotes recovery from neuropathic pain in rats. *J Neurosci, 33*, 1540-1551. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0324-12.2013

Werry, E.L., Bright, F.M., Piguet, O., Ittner, L.M., Halliday, G.M., Hodges, J.R., Kiernan, M.C., Loy, C.T., Kril, J.J., & Kassiou, M. (2019). Recent Developments in TSPO PET Imaging as A Biomarker of Neuroinflammation in Neurodegenerative Disorders. *Int J Mol Sci*, 20. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20133161

ATTACHMENTS



UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE MINAS GERAIS COMITÊ DE ÉTICA EM PESQUISA - COEP

Projeto: CAAE - 60378816.1.0000.5149

Interessado(a): Prof. Fernando Marcos dos Reis Departamento de Ginecologia e Obstetrícia Faculdade de Medicina- UFMG

DECISÃO

O Comitê de Ética em Pesquisa da UFMG – COEP aprovou, no dia 26 de outubro de 2016, o projeto de pesquisa intitulado "Proteína translocadora / receptor benzodiazepínico periférico no endométrio e na endometriose" bem como o Termo de Consentimento Livre e Esclarecido.

O relatório final ou parcial deverá ser encaminhado ao COEP um ano após o início do projeto através da Plataforma Brasil.

ing Jeren

Profa. Dra. Vivian Resence Coordenadora do COEP-UFMG

Av. Pres. Antonio Carlos, 6627 – Unidade Administrativa II - 2º andar – Sala 2005 – Cep:31270-901 – BH-MG Telefax: (031) 3409-4592 - <u>e-mail: coep@prpq.ufmg.br</u> Attachment 1: Institutional Review Board Approval - UFMG

Attachment 2: Institutional Review Board Approval - UNIVAS



Attachment 2: Institutional Review Board Approval – UNIVAS (continuing)



Attachment 2: Institutional Review Board Approval – UNIVAS (continuing)



Página 03 de 04

	FACULDADE DE CIÊ MÉDICAS DR.JOSÉ A GARCIA COUTINI	NCIAS NTÔNIO HO -	Platafo grazil	nuo						
Continuação do Parecer: 1.922.	375									
Folha de Rosto	folha_de_rosto.pdf	na_de_rosto.pdf 19/12/2016 Antônio Marcos 18:49:24 Coldibelli Francisco								
Projeto Detalhado / Brochura Investigador	Projeto_Univas.doc	19/12/2016 18:48:18	Antônio Marcos Coldibelli Francisco	Aceite						
Informações Básicas	PB_INFORMAÇÕES_BÁSICAS_DO_P ROJETO_829008.pdf	28/11/2016		Aceite						
TCLE / Termos de Assentimento / Justificativa de Ausência	TCLE_Univas_grupo_endometriose.doc	20/11/2016 10:34:25	Antônio Marcos Coldibelli Francisco	Aceite						
TCLE / Termos de Assentimento / Justificativa de	TCLE_Univas_grupo_controle_e_ACO.d oc	20/11/2016 10:34:07	Antônio Marcos Coldibelli Francisco	Aceit						
Situação do Parecer Aprovado Necessita Apreciaçã Não	: o da CONEP: POUSO ALEGRE, 15 de Fever	eiro de 2017	_							
Situação do Parecer Aprovado Necessita Apreciaçã Não	: o da CONEP: POUSO ALEGRE, 15 de Fever Assinado por: Rosa Maria do Nascim (Coordenador)	eiro de 2017 ento	_							
Situação do Parecer Aprovado Necessita Apreciaçã Não	: POUSO ALEGRE, 15 de Fever Assinado por: Rosa Maria do Nascim (Coordenador)	eiro de 2017 ento								

Attachment 2: Institutional Review Board Approval – UNIVAS (continuing)

Attachment 3: Patients included in the study and their clinical characteristics. BMI: Body mass index; COC: Combined oral contraceptive; GnRH: Gonadotropinreleasing hormone; VAS: Visual Analogue Scale; ASRM: American Society of Reproductive Medicine; SUP: superficial endometriosis; OMA: ovarian endometriosis; DE: deep endometriosis.

Patients	Sample	Age (years)	Number of previous gestations	Parity	Family history	BMI (kg/m2)	Regular cycles	COC last month	Progestin last month	GnRH analog last month	Dysmenorrhea (VAS)	Dyspareunia (VAS)	Non-menstrual pain (VAS)	Infertility	Adenomyosis (US)	ASRM score	ASRM stage	SUP	OMA	DE
Control 01	Endometrium	43	3	2	0	27.34	yes	no	no	no	0	0	0	no	no	0	0	no	no	no
Control 02	Endometrium	42	5	4	0	26.22	yes	no	no	no	0	0	0	no	no	0	0	no	no	no
Control 03	Endometrium	30	0	0	0	22.04	yes	no	no	no	0	0	0	no	no	0	0	no	no	no
Control 04	Endometrium	43	1	0	0	24.46	yes	no	no	no	0	0	0	yes	no	0	0	no	no	no
Control 05	Endometrium	42	2	2	0	32.04	no	no	yes	no	0	0	0	no	no	0	0	no	no	no
Control 06	Endometrium	38	3	3	0	24.86	no	no	yes	no	0	0	0	no	no	0	0	no	no	no
Control 07	Endometrium	22	0	0	1	25.15	no	no	yes	no	10	8	0	yes	no	0	0	no	no	no
Control 09	Endometrium	44	1	0	0	23.73	yes	no	no	no	0	0	0	yes	no	0	0	no	no	no
Control 10	Endometrium	20	0	0	1	21.99	no	no	yes	no	0	0	0	no	no	0	0	no	no	no
Control 11	Endometrium	38	1	1	0	20.52	no	yes	no	no	0	0	7	no	no	0	0	no	no	no
Control 12	Endometrium	34	2	1	0	24.98	no	no	no	no	3	0	0	no	no	0	0	no	no	no
Endometriosis 02	Endometrium	36	1	1	0	35.70	no	yes	no	no	10	10	6	yes	yes	40	IV	yes	no	yes
Endometriosis 02	Lesion GUT	36	1	1	0	35.70	no	yes	no	no	10	10	6	yes	yes	40	IV	yes	no	yes
Endometriosis 02	Lesion surgical site	36	1	1	0	35.70	no	yes	no	no	10	10	6	yes	yes	40	IV	yes	no	yes
Endometriosis 02	Lesion peritoneum	36	1	1	0	35.70	no	yes	no	no	10	10	6	yes	yes	40	IV	yes	no	yes
Endometriosis 02	Normal peritonium	36	1	1	0	35.70	no	yes	no	no	10	10	6	yes	yes	40	IV	yes	no	yes
Endometriosis 03	Endometrium	47	1	1	0	21.09	no	no	yes	no	0	8	0	no	no	10	Ш	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 03	Lesion USL	47	1	1	0	21.09	no	no	yes	no	0	8	0	no	no	10	Ш	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 03	Normal peritonium	47	1	1	0	21.09	no	no	yes	no	0	8	0	no	no	10	Ш	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 04	Endometrium	46	2	2	0	30.80	yes	no	yes	no	10	8	0	no	yes	60	IV	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 04	Lesion GUT	46	2	2	0	30.80	yes	no	yes	no	10	8	0	no	yes	60	IV	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 04	Lesion ovary	46	2	2	0	30.80	yes	no	yes	no	10	8	0	no	yes	60	IV	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 04	Lesion peritoneum	46	2	2	0	30.80	yes	no	yes	no	10	8	0	no	yes	60	IV	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 04	Normal peritonium	46	2	2	0	30.80	yes	no	yes	no	10	8	0	no	yes	60	IV	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 05	Endometrium	45	2	2	0	23.60	yes	yes	no	no	0	0	6	no	no	4	I.	yes	no	yes
Endometriosis 05	Lesion surgical site	45	2	2	0	23.60	yes	yes	no	no	0	0	6	no	no	4	I.	yes	no	yes
Endometriosis 05	Lesion belly button	45	2	2	0	23.60	yes	yes	no	no	0	0	6	no	no	4	I.	yes	no	yes
Endometriosis 05	Normal peritonium	45	2	2	0	23.60	yes	yes	no	no	0	0	6	no	no	4	1	yes	no	yes
Endometriosis 06	Endometrium	42	1	1	0	33.70	yes	no	no	no	8	0	0	yes	yes	16	Ш	no	yes	no
Endometriosis 06	Lesion ovary	42	1	1	0	33.70	yes	no	no	no	8	0	0	yes	yes	16	Ш	no	yes	no
Endometriosis 06	Normal peritonium	42	1	1	0	33.70	yes	no	no	no	8	0	0	yes	yes	16	Ш	no	yes	no
Endometriosis 07	Endometrium	44	1	1	0	24.70	no	yes	no	no	5	0	0	no	yes	50	IV	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 07	Lesion ovary	44	1	1	0	24.70	no	yes	no	no	5	0	0	no	yes	50	IV	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 08	Endometrium	36	0	0	0	26.70	no	no	yes	no	10	7	0	yes	yes	80	IV	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 08	Lesion GUT	36	0	0	0	26.70	no	no	yes	no	10	7	0	yes	yes	80	IV	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 08	Lesion GUT	36	0	0	0	26.70	no	no	yes	no	10	7	0	yes	yes	80	IV	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 08	Lesion GUT	36	0	0	0	26.70	no	no	yes	no	10	7	0	yes	yes	80	IV	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 08	Lesion ovary	36	0	0	0	26.70	no	no	yes	no	10	7	0	yes	yes	80	IV	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 08	Lesion surgical site	36	0	0	0	26.70	no	no	yes	no	10	7	0	yes	yes	80	IV	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 08	Normal peritonium	36	0	0	0	26.70	no	no	yes	no	10	7	0	yes	yes	80	IV	yes	yes	yes

Attachment 3: Patients included in the study and their clinical characteristics (continuing). BMI: Body mass index; COC: Combined oral contraceptive; GnRH: Gonadotropin-releasing hormone; VAS: Visual Analogue Scale; ASRM: American Society of Reproductive Medicine; SUP: superficial endometriosis; OMA: ovarian endometriosis; DE: deep endometriosis.

Patients	Sample	Age (years)	Number of previous gestations	Parity	Family history	BMI (kg/m2)	Regular cycles	COC last month	Progestin last month	GnRH analog last month	Dysmenorrhea (VAS)	Dyspareunia (VAS)	Non-menstrual pain (VAS)	Infertility	Adenomyosis (US)	ASRM score	ASRM stage	SUP	OMA	DE
Endometriosis 09	Endometrium	45	1	1	0	30.30	no	no	yes	no	8	0	9	yes	yes	62	IV	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 09	Lesion GUT	45	1	1	0	30.30	no	no	yes	no	8	0	9	yes	yes	62	IV	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 09	Lesion ovary	45	1	1	0	30.30	no	no	yes	no	8	0	9	yes	yes	62	IV	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 09	Normal peritonium	45	1	1	0	30.30	no	no	yes	no	8	0	9	yes	yes	62	IV	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 10	Endometrium	-	0	0	1	27.59	no	yes	no	no	10	0	0	yes	no	5	1	yes	no	no
Endometriosis 10	Lesion peritoneum	-	0	0	1	27.59	no	yes	no	no	10	0	0	yes	no	5	1	yes	no	no
Endometriosis 10	Normal peritonium		0	0	1	27.59	no	yes	no	no	10	0	0	yes	no	5	1	yes	no	no
Endometriosis 11	Endometrium	28	0	0	0	31.18	no	no	yes	no	8	0	5	yes	no	78	IV	yes	yes	no
Endometriosis 11	Lesion USL	28	0	0	0	31.18	no	no	yes	no	8	0	5	yes	no	78	IV	yes	yes	no
Endometriosis 11	Lesion ovary	28	0	0	0	31.18	no	no	yes	no	8	0	5	yes	no	78	IV	yes	yes	no
Endometriosis 12	Endometrium	39	0	0	0	21.64	no	no	no	no	9	8	8	yes	no	52	IV	yes	yes	no
Endometriosis 12	Lesion ovary	39	0	0	0	21.64	no	no	no	no	9	8	8	yes	no	52	IV	yes	yes	no
Endometriosis 12	Lesion peritoneum	39	0	0	0	21.64	no	no	no	no	9	8	8	yes	no	52	IV	yes	yes	no
Endometriosis 13	Endometrium	36	1	0	1	24.09	no	no	yes	no	10	0	0	yes	no	10	П	no	no	yes
Endometriosis 13	Lesion retrocervical	36	1	0	1	24.09	no	no	yes	no	10	0	0	yes	no	10	П	no	no	yes
Endometriosis 13	Normal peritonium	36	1	0	1	24.09	no	no	yes	no	10	0	0	yes	no	10	П	no	no	yes
Endometriosis 14	Endometrium	37	1	0	0	24.00	no	yes	no	no	3	0	0	yes	no	80	IV	no	yes	no
Endometriosis 14	Lesion ovary	37	1	0	0	24.00	no	yes	no	no	3	0	0	yes	no	80	IV	no	yes	no
Endometriosis 14	Normal peritonium	37	1	0	0	24.00	no	yes	no	no	3	0	0	yes	no	80	IV	no	yes	no
Endometriosis 15	Endometrium	25	0	0	0	26.91	no	yes	no	no	10	6	0	yes	no	30	ш	yes	no	no
Endometriosis 15	Lesion peritoneum	25	0	0	0	26.91	no	yes	no	no	10	6	0	yes	no	30	ш	yes	no	no
Endometriosis 15	Normal peritonium	25	0	0	0	26.91	no	yes	no	no	10	6	0	yes	no	30	ш	yes	no	no
Endometriosis 16	Endometrium	38	0	0	0	27.55	no	no	yes	no	8	8	0	yes	no	88	IV	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 16	Lesion GUT	38	0	0	0	27.55	no	no	yes	no	8	8	0	yes	no	88	IV	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 16	Lesion ovary	38	0	0	0	27.55	no	no	yes	no	8	8	0	yes	no	88	IV	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 16	Normal peritonium	38	0	0	0	27.55	no	no	yes	no	8	8	0	yes	no	88	IV	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 17	Endometrium	38	0	0	0	27.55	no	no	yes	no	8	8	0	yes	no	88	IV	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 17	Lesion GUT	40	1	1	0	29.71	no	yes	no	no	5	5	0	no	no	20	ш	no	no	yes
Endometriosis 17	Normal peritonium	40	1	1	0	29.71	no	yes	no	no	5	5	0	no	no	20	ш	no	no	yes
Endometriosis 18	Endometrium	28	0	0	0	27.34	no	no	yes	no	8	8	0	yes	no	40	IV	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 18	Lesion GUT	28	0	0	0	27.34	no	no	yes	no	8	8	0	yes	no	40	IV	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 18	Lesion ovary	28	0	0	0	27.34	no	no	yes	no	8	8	0	yes	no	40	IV	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 18	Lesion peritoneum	28	0	0	0	27.34	no	no	yes	no	8	8	0	yes	no	40	IV	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 18	Normal peritonium	28	0	0	0	27.34	no	no	yes	no	8	8	0	yes	no	40	IV	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 19	Endometrium	47	2	2	0	23.83	no	no	no	no	0	7	1	no	yes	20	ш	no	yes	no
Endometriosis 19	Lesion ovary	47	2	2	0	23.83	no	no	no	no	0	7	1	no	yes	20	ш	no	yes	no
Endometriosis 19	Normal peritonium	47	2	2	0	23.83	no	no	no	no	0	7	1	no	yes	20	ш	no	yes	no
Endometriosis 20	Endometrium	39	1	1	0	21.30	yes	yes	no	no	8	7	8	yes	no	30	IV	no	yes	yes
Endometriosis 20	Lesion GUT	39	1	1	0	21.30	yes	yes	no	no	8	7	8	yes	no	30	IV	no	yes	yes
Endometriosis 20	Lesion ovary	39	1	1	0	21.30	yes	yes	no	no	8	7	8	yes	no	30	IV	no	yes	yes
Endometriosis 20	Lesion surgical site	39	1	1	0	21.30	yes	yes	no	no	8	7	8	yes	no	30	IV	no	yes	yes
Endometriosis 20	Normal peritonium	39	1	1	0	21.30	yes	yes	no	no	8	7	8	yes	no	30	IV	no	yes	yes

Attachment 3: Patients included in the study and their clinical characteristics (continuing). BMI: Body mass index; COC: Combined oral contraceptive; GnRH: Gonadotropin-releasing hormone; VAS: Visual Analogue Scale; ASRM: American Society of Reproductive Medicine; SUP: superficial endometriosis; OMA: ovarian endometriosis; DE: deep endometriosis.

Patients	Sample	Age (vears)	Number of previous gestations	Parity	Family history	BMI (kg/m2)	Regular cvcles	COC last month	Progestin last month	GnRH analog last month	Dysmenorrhea (VAS)	Dyspareunia (VAS)	Non-menstrual pain (VAS)	Infertility	Adenomyosis (US)	ASRM score	ASRM stage	SUP	OMA	DE
Endometriosis 21	Endometrium	33	1	1	0	23.14	no	no	yes	no	8	0	5	no	no	40	III.	yes	yes	no
Endometriosis 21	Lesion ovary	33	1	1	0	23.14	no	no	yes	no	8	0	5	no	no	40	ш	yes	yes	no
Endometriosis 21	Lesion peritoneum	33	1	1	0	23.14	no	no	yes	no	8	0	5	no	no	40	ш	yes	yes	no
Endometriosis 21	Normal peritonium	33	1	1	0	23.14	no	no	yes	no	8	0	5	no	no	40	ш	yes	yes	no
Endometriosis 22	Endometrium	39	2	2	0	27.92	no	yes	no	no	4	0	0	no	no	60	IV	no	yes	no
Endometriosis 22	Lesion ovary	39	2	2	0	27.92	no	yes	no	no	4	0	0	no	no	60	IV	no	yes	no
Endometriosis 22	Normal peritonium	39	2	2	0	27.92	no	yes	no	no	4	0	0	no	no	60	IV	no	yes	no
Endometriosis 23	Endometrium	37	2	2	0	25.21	no	no	yes	no	8	0	8	no	no	72	IV	no	yes	yes
Endometriosis 23	Lesion USL	37	2	2	0	25.21	no	no	yes	no	8	0	8	no	no	72	IV	no	yes	yes
Endometriosis 23	Lesion ovary	37	2	2	0	25.21	no	no	yes	no	8	0	8	no	no	72	IV	no	yes	yes
Endometriosis 23	Lesion retrocervical	37	2	2	0	25.21	no	no	yes	no	8	0	8	no	no	72	IV	no	yes	yes
Endometriosis 23	Lesion ureter	37	2	2	0	25.21	no	no	yes	no	8	0	8	no	no	72	IV	no	yes	yes
Endometriosis 24	Endometrium	30	0	0	0	20.76	yes	no	yes	no	6	0	0	yes	no	25	ш	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 24	Lesion USL	30	0	0	0	20.76	yes	no	yes	no	6	0	0	yes	no	25	ш	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 24	Lesion ovary	30	0	0	0	20.76	yes	no	yes	no	6	0	0	yes	no	25	ш	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 24	Lesion peritoneum	30	0	0	0	20.76	yes	no	yes	no	6	0	0	yes	no	25	ш	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 24	Normal peritonium	30	0	0	0	20.76	yes	no	yes	no	6	0	0	yes	no	25	ш	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 25	Endometrium	34	0	0	0	25.71	yes	no	no	no	10	0	0	yes	no	10	Ш	no	no	yes
Endometriosis 25	Lesion USL	34	0	0	0	25.71	yes	no	no	no	10	0	0	yes	no	10	Ш	no	no	yes
Endometriosis 25	Lesion peritoneum	34	0	0	0	25.71	yes	no	no	no	10	0	0	yes	no	10	Ш	no	no	yes
Endometriosis 25	Lesion retrocervical	34	0	0	0	25.71	yes	no	no	no	10	0	0	yes	no	10	Ш	no	no	yes
Endometriosis 25	Normal peritonium	34	0	0	0	25.71	yes	no	no	no	10	0	0	yes	no	10	Ш	no	no	yes
Endometriosis 26	Endometrium	39	0	0	1	27.34	yes	no	no	no	9	0	0	yes	no	24	ш	no	no	yes
Endometriosis 26	Lesion USL	39	0	0	1	27.34	yes	no	no	no	9	0	0	yes	no	24	ш	no	no	yes
Endometriosis 26	Normal peritonium	39	0	0	1	27.34	yes	no	no	no	9	0	0	yes	no	24	ш	no	no	yes
Endometriosis 27	Endometrium	34	0	0	0	24.22	no	no	yes	no	10	0	0	yes	no	12	IV	no	no	yes
Endometriosis 27	Lesion bladder	34	0	0	0	24.22	no	no	yes	no	10	0	0	yes	no	12	IV	no	no	yes
Endometriosis 27	Lesion GUT	34	0	0	0	24.22	no	no	yes	no	10	0	0	yes	no	12	IV	no	no	yes
Endometriosis 27	Lesion USL	34	0	0	0	24.22	no	no	yes	no	10	0	0	yes	no	12	IV	no	no	yes
Endometriosis 27	Normal peritonium	34	0	0	0	24.22	no	no	yes	no	10	0	0	yes	no	12	IV	no	no	yes
Endometriosis 28	Endometrium	34	2	2	0	22.06	no	no	yes	no	6	7	0	no	no	10	Ш	yes	no	yes
Endometriosis 28	Lesion bladder	34	2	2	0	22.06	no	no	yes	no	6	7	0	no	no	10	П	yes	no	yes
Endometriosis 28	Lesion peritoneum	34	2	2	0	22.06	no	no	yes	no	6	7	0	no	no	10	П	yes	no	yes
Endometriosis 28	Normal peritonium	34	2	2	0	22.06	no	no	yes	no	6	7	0	no	no	10	Ш	yes	no	yes
Endometriosis 29	Endometrium	-	1	1	0	26.35	no	yes	no	no	7	0	0	yes	no	30	ш	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 29	Lesion USL	-	1	1	0	26.35	no	yes	no	no	7	0	0	yes	no	30	ш	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 29	Lesion ovary	-	1	1	0	26.35	no	yes	no	no	7	0	0	yes	no	30	ш	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 29	Lesion peritoneum	-	1	1	0	26.35	no	yes	no	no	7	0	0	yes	no	30	ш	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 29	Lesion vagina	-	1	1	0	26.35	no	yes	no	no	7	0	0	yes	no	30	ш	yes	yes	yes
Endometriosis 29	Normal peritonium	-	1	1	0	26.35	no	yes	no	no	7	0	0	yes	no	30	ш	yes	yes	yes

Attachment 4: Manuscript acceptance at Cell Biology International.

nail - Your revised manuscript CBIN.20230824.R1 successfully submitted	12/02/24, 12:1
M Gmail	Fernando Reis <reis.ufmg@gmail.com></reis.ufmg@gmail.com>
Your revised manuscript CBIN.20230824.R1 s	uccessfully submitted
Pallabi Roy <onbehalfof@manuscriptcentral.com> Responder a: cellbioint@wiley.com</onbehalfof@manuscriptcentral.com>	12 de fevereiro de 2024 às 11:48
cc: reis.ufmg@gmail.com, fmreis@ufmg.br, mairacasalechi@gmail. vivi2alves@gmail.com, millenevlopes@gmail.com, felipelopes.med	.com, delacruzfc@gmail.com, @outlook.com, endofert@uol.com.br
12-Feb-2024	
Dear Prof. Reis:	
Your revised manuscript entitled "Translocator protein (TSPO) exp and endometriosis: a potential target for a noninvasive diagnosis? Cynthia Dela; Assis, Wiviane A.; Vieira-Lopes, Millene; F, Felipe E been successfully submitted online and is presently being given fu International.	pression and localization in human endometrium " by Reis, Fernando; Casalechi, Maíra; Cruz, Eduardo Lopes; Francisco, Antônio M.C., has ull consideration for publication in Cell Biology
Co-authors: Please contact the Editorial Office as soon as possibl for this manuscript.	le if you disagree with being listed as a co-author
Your manuscript ID is CBIN.20230824.R1.	
For your reference: the manuscript number of the PREVIOUS ma	nuscript version is: CBIN.20230824.
Please mention the above manuscript ID in all future corresponde	nce or when calling the office for questions.
Our journal is currently transitioning to Wiley's Research Exchange	e submission portal.
If you submitted this manuscript through our Research Exchange by logging into the submission site at wiley.atyponrex.com/journal	site, you can view the status of your manuscript /cbin.
If you submitted this manuscript through ScholarOne, you can vie Author Center after logging in to https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com	w the status of your manuscript by checking your n/cbin.
This journal offers a number of license options for published pape https://authorservices.wiley.com/author-resources/Journal-Authors confirmed that all co-authors have the necessary rights to grant in author's funder policies. If any author's funder has a policy that re example if the funder is a member of Coalition S, please make su	rs; information about this is available here: s/licensing/index.html. The submitting author has t the submission, including in light of each co- stricts which kinds of license they can sign, for re the submitting author is aware.
Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Cell Biology Internati	onal.
Sincerely, Cell Biology International Editorial Office	
[automatic email - ref: SE-8-a]	
ps://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ik=5ee898076e&view=pt&searcsg-f:179070493501894	69094&simpl=msg-f:1790704935018969094&mb=1 Page 1 o/



Cell Biol Int. 2024;1-9.