Geologia Série Científica

https://doi.org/10.11606/issn.2316-9095.v23-189192

Revista do Instituto de Geociências - USP Geol. USP, Sér. cient., São Paulo, v. 23, n. 3, p. 141-147, Setembro 2023

Glauconitic Siltstone: additive for ammonia retention and reduction of nitrogen volatilization loss

Siltito Glauconítico: aditivo para a retenção de amônia e redução de perdas de nitrogênio por volatilização

Carlos Henrique Eiterer de Souza¹ ⁽⁰⁾, Miguel Martins Neto¹ ⁽⁰⁾, Fábio Aurélio Dias Martins² ⁽⁰⁾, Débora Silvano Moreira³ ⁽⁰⁾, Victor Gustavo Soares Ribeiro⁴ ⁽⁰⁾

¹Centro Universitário Patos de Minas, Rua Major Gote, 808, Bloco H, sala 104, Caiçaras, CEP: 38702-054, Patos de Minas, MG, BR (carloshenrique@unipam.edu.br; miguelmartins189@gmail.com)

²Empresa de Pesquisa Agropecuária de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, MG, BR (fabio.aurelio@epamig.br)

³Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Institute of Geosciences, Graduate Program in Geology, Belo Horizonte, MG, BR (moreiradebora@yahoo.com)

⁴Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Graduate Program in Soils and Plant Nutrition, Viçosa, MG, BR (victorgustavo.sr@gmail.com)

Received on August 5, 2021; accepted on July 28, 2023.

Abstract

Glauconitic siltstone is sedimentary rock used as raw material to produce a multinutrient fertilizer that can combine with urea to increase their agronomic efficiency. The purpose of this study was to evaluate glauconitic siltstone as additive to reduce nitrogen losses by ammonia volatilization in nitrogen fertilizers. The experiment used randomized block, in a portion subdivided over time, following a 6 x 8 factorial with four replications and treatments comprising glauconitic siltstone mixture at a dose of 100 kg N ha⁻¹ (control, without the application of nitrogen; conventional urea – 45% N; commercial fertilizer registered with the trade name of Super N[®]; urea with addition of glauconitic siltstone: 9% N; 20 and 31% N) and the remaining portion divided in the collection time at 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 24 and 30 days after fertilizer application. The collection of the volatilized ammonia was made in a semi-opened free static chamber. The use of glauconitic siltstone associated with urea provided a reduction in loss by volatilization from 10 to 27% in relation to conventional urea. The treatments with application of urea with the urease inhibitor showed the lowest volatilization rate and delay in the volatilization peak, which occurred 17 days after fertilizer application. In addition to providing nutrients to the plants, glauconitic siltstone reduces ammonia losses.

Keywords: Glauconite; Urea-based fertilizers; N retention.

Resumo

O siltito glauconítico é uma rocha sedimentar usada como matéria-prima para a produção de um fertilizante multinutriente que pode ser combinado com ureia para aumentar sua eficiência agronômica. O objetivo deste estudo foi avaliar o siltito glauconítico como aditivo para reduzir perdas de nitrogênio decorrentes da volatilização de amônia de fertilizantes nitrogenados. O experimento utilizou um delineamento de blocos casualizados, em parcela subdividida no tempo, seguindo fatorial 6 x 8 com quatro repetições e tratamentos com uma mistura de siltito glauconítico a uma dose de 100 kg N ha⁻¹ (controle, sem aplicação de aitrogênio; ureia convencional — 45% N; fertilizante comercial registrado com a marca Super N[®]; ureia com adição de siltito glauconítico: 9% N; 20% e 31% N); e a subparcela dividida no tempo de coleta aos 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 24 e 30 dias após a aplicação do fertilizante. Para a coleta da amônia volatilizada foi utilizada uma câmara semiaberta livre estática. A utilização do siltito glauconítico associado à ureia proporcionou a redução da perda por volatilização de 10 a 27% em relação à ureia convencional. Os tratamentos com aplicação de ureia com inibidor de urease apresentaram a menor taxa de volatilização e retardo no pico de volatilização, que ocorreu aos 17 dias após a aplicação dos fertilizantes. Além de fornecer nutrientes para as plantas, o siltito glauconítico reduz as perdas de amônia.

Palavras-chave: Glauconita; Fertilizantes de ureia; Retenção de nitrogênio.

INTRODUCTION

The glauconitic siltstone is the main lithofacies in the Serra da Saudade Formation, Bambuí Group, cropping out along the ridge of the same name in Central-Western Minas Gerais, Brazil (Moreira et al., 2016). Glauconitic siltstone is composed of 40 to 80% of dark green micaceous glauconite, which is responsible for a whole-rock K_2O content of approximately 10 wt% (Moreira et al., 2016). The glauconite belongs to a mineral series ranging from smectite to glauconite, whereas dark green grains have K_2O content up to 8 wt%. It is typically formed during times of intense flooding in a transitional environment, between talud and platform at 50 to 500 m deep, normal salinity and basic pH, favored by reducing environments with the presence of organic matter (Amorosi, 1995).

The glauconitic siltstone is used as fertilizer, source of potassium, silicon, magnesium, manganese, and other micronutrients, which may increase soil fertility (Violatti et al., 2019; Brasil et al., 2020). The nutrient release is gradual, reducing losses by leaching, and offers the best benefit-cost ratio among the commonly used potash fertilizers, such as potassium chloride, potassium nitrate and potassium sulfate (Duarte et al., 2020). The production of fertilizer from the glauconitic siltstone relies on mechanical activation technology, which provides a physical improvement of the glauconite, performed by mineral processing operations such as size reduction, screening, and separation (Singla et al., 2019; Nader and Ackroyd, 2022). A combined measured and indicated mineral resource of 1,472 Mt at 9.28% K₂O and an inferred mineral resource of 1.850 Mt at 8.60% K₂O are estimated for the glauconitic siltstone. This amounts to 295.70 million tonnes of potash in K₂O. For context, in 2021, Brazil's total consumption of potash in K₂O was 7.92 million (Nader and Ackroyd, 2022).

Urea (CH4N₂O) is the major nitrogen-based fertilizer used in Brazilian agriculture, mainly due to the low cost of the nutrient and its high solubility in water when compared to other sources. However, under field conditions, urea show low use efficiency because of the high susceptibility to losses, due to the ammonia (NH₃) volatilization (Pereira et al., 2009; Martins et al., 2014). The loss of nitrogen (N) to the environment as a consequence of volatilization results include nutrients not incorporated into the plant and soil pollution. The reduction of nitrogen availability in the soil compromises the productivity and quality of the crop, and leads to the appearance of visual symptoms, such as yellowing of older leaves and reduced growth (Pereira et al., 2009; Abranches et al., 2016; Martins et al., 2014).

Alternatives and technologies have been sought to mitigate reactions or loss processes, thus increasing the agronomic efficiency in the use of urea in agricultural systems, such as the application of additive and polymers (Abranches et al., 2016). Glauconite has been used as natural sorbent in combination with urea to increase their agronomic efficiency (Bernardi et al., 2010; Werneck et al., 2012), due to their high cation exchange capacity (Malyovanny et al., 2013; Franus and Bandura, 2014; Stomaite and Zagorskis, 2014; Rudmin et al., 2019), which may justify its potential for ammonium retention in the soil and avoid nitrogen losses by volatilization.

In this study, for the first time, we investigated the glauconitic siltstone combined with urea fertilizers to reduce nitrogen losses by N-NH₃ volatilization, in a greenhouse area.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The samples of glauconitic siltstone used for the test were obtained from the region of São Gotardo, in the State of Minas Gerais $(19^{\circ}12'48'' \text{ S}/45^{\circ}52'43'' \text{ W})$.

Samples were powdered with 95% particles smaller than 2 mm. Cation-exchange capacity (CEC) and the water-holding capacity (WHC) were analyzed in Labfert Análises Ltda according to the conventional methods for fertilizers (MAPA, 2017). The pH was determined in water; total organic matter (O.M.) by titration; K and P assimilable by Mehlich-1; exchangeable Ca, Mg and Al contents, extracted by KCl; (H + Al) potential acidity SMP solution; remaining phosphorus. Cation exchange capacity at pH 7.0, given by the expression T = [Ca + Mg + K + (H + Al)] (EMBRAPA, 2009; MAPA, 2017).

The main oxides $(SiO_2, Al_2O_3, Fe_2O_3, CaO, MgO, TiO_2, P_2O_5, Na_2O, K_2O, MnO and Cr_2O_3)$ were determined by melting the samples with lithium tetraborate and subsequent X-ray fluorescence analysis (XRF) using an AxiosmAX-Minerals[®] spectrometer from PANalytical, at SGS Geosol Laboratórios Ltda.

For the mineralogical characterization, an X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was conducted in Professor Manoel Teixeira da Costa Research Center (CPMTC) of the Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG). The whole rock sample was mounted as powder and randomly analyzed. The equipment used was a Panalytical XPERT PRO diffractometer (PW3050/60), with a CuK α source, through the following parameters: scanning ranges from 3.01 to 71.27° 20; 40 kV and 45 mA; 0.02° step size and 0.5 s scan step time; continuous scan type; divergent slit size of 0.9570°; 0.38 mm receiving slit size. The mineral phases were quantified using Rietveld method.

The experiment in a greenhouse area covered by a plastic tarp was conducted in the Campus II Experimental Farm of Centro Universitário Patos de Minas (UNIPAM — (18°34'31" S/46°30'48" W). The climate in the region is classified by Köppen as Aw (a tropical climate with a dry season). Before installing the experiment, soil samples were collected at a depth of 0 to 20 cm for subsequent chemical

characterization. The samples were stored in a greenhouse covered with a plastic tarp to avoid the influence of rain. The area was subjected to the application of a 5 mm daily irrigation for five days, to promote the release of NH_3 that might be present. After five days, the surface was cleaned to remove organic residues, soil samples were collected for chemical characterization of the greenhouse area at a depth of 0 to 0.1 m (Table 1).

The experiment was designed in a randomized block, subdivided over time, following a 6 x 8 factorial with four repetitions. In the main portion, five types of coating applied to urea, that is, conventional urea, urea coated with N-(n-butyl) thiophosphoric triamide (NBPT), urea coated with glauconitic siltstone additive: UVA31 (31.0% additive and 31% N), UVA20 (54.5% additive and 20% N), and UVA9 (80.0% additive and 9.0% N) (Table 2), plus the control treatment without N application. The remaining portion was divided in the collection time at 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 24 and 30 days after fertilizer application. The fertilizers were applied in all treatments with a dose of 100 kg ha⁻¹ of N. Before application, the fertilizers were sieved through a 2 mm sieve to homogenize the granules and separate the sample to quantify the nitrogen dose.

A semi-open free static chamber was used, made with transparent polyethylene terephthalate (PET) flasks, with a volume of 2 L and an area of 0.008 m^2 (Araújo et al., 2009). To make the chamber, the base of the bottle was removed and placed on top with the aid of a rigid rope, to serve as protection. The opening at the top is used for air circulation inside the chamber (Figure 1).

The ammonia absorption system consists of a 2.5 cm wide, 25 cm long and 3 mm thick polyurethane foam sheet, which was placed vertically inside the chamber with the aid of the rigid rope. At the bottom of the rigid rope, a 50 mL plastic pot with a 1 mol L⁻¹ solution of H_2SO_4 was attached using a rubber gum. The foam sheet placed in the plastic pot was compressed to absorb the main part of the solution, where it will remain in the pot until it is placed inside the chamber (Figure 1).

At the time of installation, the leaf was kept with the bottom part inside the 50 mL pot to avoid splashing over the fertilizer, and the other part attached to the top, so that it remained in an upright position. The rigid rope with the 50 mL pot and the foam was introduced into the chamber by the base and hung on the top edge, where they were suspended approximately 1.5 cm above the ground.

Table 2. Description of fertilizers applied to a greenhouse area covered with plastic tarp for quantification of volatile $N-NH_3$.

| J | | | | |
|----------------|-------------------------|--------|--|--|
| Treatment code | Treatment | % of N | | |
| F1 | Control | 0 | | |
| F2 | Conventional urea | 45 | | |
| F3 | ¹ /NBPT urea | 44 | | |
| F4 | ²/UVA31 test | 31 | | |
| F5 | ²/UVA20 test | 20 | | |
| F6 | ² /UVA9 test | 9 | | |

¹Commercial fertilizer registered with the trade name Super N[®] (Fertigran); ²Urea with addition of glauconitic siltstone: UVA31 (31% additive and 69% urea), UVA20 (54.5% additive and 45.5 urea), and UVA9 (80% additive and 20% urea)



Figure 1. Chamber model used to set up the NH_3 volatilization experiment as a function of the application of nitrogen-based fertilizers in no-till farming.

 Table 1. Chemical characterization of the soil in a greenhouse area covered by plastic tarp located at the Campus II

 Experimental Farm of UNIPAM.

| рН | О.М. | Р | К | rem. P | Ca | Mg | (H + Al) | AI | Т |
|------------------|----------|---------|--------|--------------------|------------------------|------|----------|------|------|
| H ₂ O | dag dm-3 | mg dm-3 | | mg L ⁻¹ | cmolc dm ⁻³ | | | | |
| 5.53 | 3.41 | 27.77 | 143.54 | 6.28 | 2.70 | 1.40 | 5.60 | 0.06 | 9.81 |

pH in water; total organic matter (O.M.) by titration, according to EMBRAPA (2009); K and P assimilable by Mehlich-1; exchangeable Ca, Mg and Al contents, extracted by KCl; (H + Al) potential acidity SMP solution; rem. P: Remaining phosphorus; T: Cation exchange capacity at pH 7.0, given by the expression T = [Ca + Mg + K + (H + Al)].

After the application of fertilizers and installation of the chambers, the determinations were made from collections 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 24 and 30 days after the application of the fertilizer. The material was sent to the Soil Fertility Center (*Centro de Fertilidade do Solo* — CEFERT), located at UNIPAM, for further quantification of the ammonia captured in the chamber.

The volatilized NH₃ captured by the foam sheets was quantified according to the methodology proposed by Araújo et al. (2009). The samples were transferred to a 125 mL Erlenmeyer flask, where 40 mL of distilled water were added. The Erlenmeyer flask with the diluted solution was shaken at 250 RPM for 15 min on a horizontal shaker. After stirring, a 20 mL portion was transferred to a digestion tube, being distilled in a Semimicro Kjeldhal system and titrated with H₂SO₄ with a concentration of 0.015 mol L⁻¹.

The Index of Relative Volatilization (IRV – Machado, 2015) was used to compare the agronomic efficiency of treatments with conventional urea (Equation 1):

$$IRV (\%) = \frac{NH_3 Source - Control Treatment}{NH_3 Conventional Urea - Control Treatment}$$
(1)

Where:

IRV (%): Index of Relative Volatilization to conventional urea; NH₃ Source: Amount of total N-NH₃ volatilized from the coated urea;

 $\rm NH_3$ Urea: Amount of $\rm N-NH_3$ volatilized from conventional urea;

Control Treatment: Treatment volatilization without N application.

The results obtained were subjected to residual normality test (Shapiro-Wilk) and to the variance analysis. The treatment averages were compared by a Tukey test at 0.05 significance, using SISVAR software (Ferreira, 2000).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The XDR analysis indicated that the sample is a typical glauconitic siltstone, composed mainly by glauconite, microcline and quartz (Figure 2). The bulk chemical composition of sample is presented on Table 3. The sample has a K_2O content of 10.7%, plus other micro and micronutrients, such as Si, Mg and Mn.

The CEC of glauconitic siltstone, when grounded to a particle size of less than 2 mm, is 20 mmolc kg^{-1} , while the WHC is 34% m/m.

The highest volatilization values were observed in the treatments with the application of conventional urea, in the evaluations at 3, 6 and 10 days after the application of nitrogen, with the peak of volatilization occurring on the sixth day after the application (Table 4 and Figure 3).

The results corroborate those found by Duarte et al. (2007) and Cantarella et al. (2008). These authors explain



Figure 2. XRD pattern of glauconitic siltstone (Gla: glauconite $[K(Fe,AI)_2(Si,AI)_4O_{10}(OH)_2]$, Mic: microcline $[KAISi_3O_4]$; Qua: quartz $[SiO_5]$).

Table 3. X-ray fluorescence results.

| Oxide | Result (w%) | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| SiO ₂ | 58.2 | | | |
| Al_2O_3 | 15.1 | | | |
| Fe ₂ O ₃ | 6.86 | | | |
| CaO | 0.17 | | | |
| MgO | 2.94 | | | |
| TiO ₂ | 0.86 | | | |
| P_2O_5 | 0.12 | | | |
| Na ₂ O | 0.12 | | | |
| K₂O | 10.7 | | | |
| MnO | 0.09 | | | |
| Cr ₂ O ₃ | 0.02 | | | |

that, after the application of conventional urea on the soil surface, NH₃ volatilization happens with greater intensity in the first week, and on the sixth day there is apeak of volatilization. The tested materials, except for UNBPT, also showed a volatilization peak on the sixth day after nitrogen application. However, the formulations UVA9 and UVA20, with lower proportions of urea in the mixture, showed lower values of N-NH₃ captured in relation to UVA31, which contained nearly 70% of urea in the mixture. The applied nitrogen dose was 100 kg ha⁻¹ of N, and the results indicated the effect of the additive, decreasing the volatilization potential of N-NH₃ for urea (Table 4).

In the comparison between the conventional urea and UVA9 treatments, at the volatilization peak, on the sixth day after fertilizers were applied, the amount of N-NH₃ captured in the UVA9 chambers were about 20% lower than that for conventional urea, 13, 19 kg ha⁻¹ of N-NH₃ (UVA9) and

| Days after application of nitrogen | | | | | | | Total | | |
|------------------------------------|----------|----------|-----------------------------|---------|----------|---------|-------------------|---------|-----|
| Sources ¹ | 3 | 6 | 10 | 17 | 24 | 38 | 53 | Iotai | |
| | | | N-NH ₃ (kg ha-1) | | | | | | % |
| Control | 0.11 Aa | 0.14 Aa | 0.19 Aa | 0.12 Aa | 0.22 Aa | 0.22 Aa | 0.22 Aa | 1.22 a | |
| U _{CONVENTIONAL} | 3.96 Bc | 16.57 Ec | 7.14 De | 5.21 Cc | 1.55 Ab | 1.88 Ab | 1.19 Ab | 37.50 e | 100 |
| U _{NBPT} | 0.39 Aa | 0.44 Aa | 1.79 Bb | 8.66 Cd | 1.78 Bb | 1.53 Bb | 1.71 Bb | 16.30 b | 43 |
| UVA31 | 2.46 Bb | 16.00 Ec | 6.06 Dd | 4.65 Cc | 1.38 Ab | 1.27 Ab | 1.88 ABb | 33.71 d | 90 |
| UVA20 | 2.49 Bb | 13.06 Db | 6.53 Cde | 2.60 Bb | 1.93 Abb | 1.35 Ab | 1.35 Ab | 29.32 c | 78 |
| UVA9 | 2.07 ABb | 13.19 Db | 5.12 Cc | 2.91 Bb | 1.32 Ab | 1.57 Ab | 1.21 Ab | 27.39 c | 73 |
| CV% Source = 15.89 | | | DMSSource = 0.931 | | | | CV% total = 5.99 | | |
| CV% Time = 12.85 | | | DMSTime = 0.899 | | | | DMS total = 3.338 | | |

Table 4. Average daily values of N-NH₃, captured in semi-opened, free static volatilization chambers after the application of nitrogen-based treatments onto the soil surface inside a covered greenhouse*.

¹Treatment: Control - Without the application of nitrogen; $U_{CONVENTIONAL}$: Conventional urea (45% N); U_{NBPT} : Commercial fertilizer registered with the trade name of Super N[®] (Fertigran); UVA9 (9% N) UVA20 (20% N), and UVA31 (31% N): Fertilizers being tested, urea with addition of glauconitic siltstone; ²percentage of N-NH₃ captured to the treatment with the application of conventional urea; *the means followed by the same letters in columns are not significantly different by Duncan's test (p ≤ 0.05).



Figure 3. Accumulated values of $N-NH_3$, captured in semi-opened, free static volatilization chambers after the application of nitrogen-based fertilizers onto the soil surface inside a covered greenhouse after 53 days from application.

16.57 kg ha⁻¹ of N-NH₃ (urea) (Table 4). For the values accumulated on the sixth day, the effect was more pronounced with a 25.70% reduction of N-NH₃ from UVA9 (15.26 kg ha⁻¹ of N-NH₃) for urea (20.54 kg ha⁻¹ of N-NH₃) (Figure 3). The effect of reducing the volatilized nitrogen from urea guarantees an increase in the efficiency when it comes to fertilization of crops, for example.

In relative terms, the use of glauconitic siltstone associated with urea provided a 27% (UVA9), 22% (UVA20) and 10% (UVA31) reduction by volatilization compared to conventional urea. The total values of N-NH₃ captured were 37.5 kg ha⁻¹ of N-NH₃ from conventional urea; 33.71, 29.32 and 27.39 kg ha⁻¹ of N-NH₃, when UVA31, UVA20 and UVA9 were applied, respectively. This result is probably related to the CEC of the glauconitic siltstone on NH_4^+ retention. The CEC is the main factor affecting the losses of NH_3 volatilization in soils (Gasser, 1964). A higher cation exchange capacity of the soil is related to a greater number of exchange sites to retain NH_4^+ and less NH_3 remains in the solution, reducing losses due to NH_3 volatilization (Nelson, 1982).

On account of the higher value of WHC, glauconitic siltstone acts on the control of moisture during urea treatments. The elevated CEC promotes the subsequent hydrolysis of urea, allowing cationic exchanges between the potassium present in interlayers with NH_4^+ ions present in the soil. The exchangeable sites in the glauconite structure are outside the Si-Al-Fe spaces and the exchange reaction generally does not affect its structure. The CEC of glauconite could be improved by the mechanical activation (Singla et al., 2019), as occurs during the milling of the glauconitic siltstone to produce fertilizer.

The treatments with application of urea with NBPT showed the lowest rate of volatilization and delay at the volatilization peak, which occurred 17 days after the application of fertilizers. This could be due to the use of NBPT aiming to delay the hydrolysis of urea, as an inhibitor of the urease enzyme activity, which is responsible for the hydrolysis of urea (Bremner and Chai, 1986). The urea hydrolysis delay is approximately 14 days (Contin, 2007), which is remarkably close to the period reported on this research.

CONCLUSIONS

The association of glauconitic siltstone with urea did not delay the peak of volatilization, when compared to conventional urea, which occurred on the sixth day after application, but with less intensity.

The reductions in NH_3 volatilization losses between 10 and 27%, due to the association of glauconitic siltstone with urea, when compared to conventional urea, showed that this technology is an alternative to reduce this type of loss, increase the efficiency of nitrogen fertilization, and reduce environmental impacts.

Among the sources studied, NBPT urea was the one that most delayed the peak of volatilization, on the 17^{th} day after application, and had the lowest rates of volatilization of NH₃ out of all times evaluated.

Glauconitic siltstone is a multinutrient fertilizer that provides nutrients to the plants over time. In addition, it promotes decreasing NH₃ losses. Compared with conventional potash fertilizers and products containing the urease inhibitor, glauconitic siltstone production has two great advantages: the fertilizer has a high potassium grade (an average of 10% K₂O), plus Si, Mg, Mn and other micronutrients, and the mine is close to the largest agricultural region in the country, the Brazilian savannah (Cerrado). The mineral resources are sufficient to guarantee the total Brazilian potash market demand projected for the following 60 years. Glauconitic siltstone can be used as a low-cost alternative to additive and polymers, and as a natural sorbent to increase the agronomic efficiency of urea-based fertilizers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Centro Universitário Patos de Minas (UNIPAM), and the students of CEFERT for their support to the research.

REFERENCES

Abranches, J. L., Ferreira, R. L., Perdoná, M. J. (2016). Mitigação da contaminação ambiental pelo uso de ureia revestida por polímeros na agricultura. In: Fórum Ambiental da Alta Paulista, 12., 2016. *Anais...* Tupã: ANAP. p. 1139-1159.

Amorosi, A. (1995). Glaucony and sequence stratigraphy: a conceptual framework of distribution in siliciclastic sequences. *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, 65(4b), 419-425. https://doi.org/10.1306/D4268275-2B26-11D7-8648000102C1865D

Araújo, E. S., Marsola, T., Miyazawa, M., Soares, L. H. B., Urquiaga, S., Boddey, R. M., Alves, B. J. R. (2009). Calibração de câmara semiaberta estática para quantificação de amônia volatilizada do solo. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*, 44(7), 769-776. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-204X2009000700018 Bernardi, A. C. C., Monte, M. B., Paiva, P. R. (2010). Produção de matéria seca, extração e utilização de nitrogênio em aveia adubada com ureia misturada com zeólita. *Revista Agricultura*, 8, 1-10.

Brasil, E. P. F., Leandro, W. M., Teixeira, W. G., Vieira, M. A., Souza, J. P. N., Vieira, H. V. (2020). Chemical Extractors to Assess Potassium Availability in Glauconitic Siltstone. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 12(9), 166-172. https://doi. org/10.5539/jas.v12n9p166

Bremner, J. M., Chai, H. S. (1986). Evaluation of N-butyl phosphorothioic triamide for retardation of urea hydrolysis in soil. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 17(3), 337-351. https://doi. org/10.1080/00103628609367716

Cantarella, H., Trivelin, P. C. O., Contin, T. L. M., Dias, F. L. F., Rossetto, R., Marcelino, R., Coimbra, R. B., Quaggio, J. A. (2008). Ammonia volatilization from urease inhibitor-treated urea applied to sugarcane trash blankets. *Scientia Agricola*, 65(4), 397-401. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-90162008000400011

Contin, T. L. M. (2007). Uréia tratada com o inibidor de urease NBPT na adubação de cana-de-açucar colhida sem despalha a fogo. Master's Dissertation. Campinas: Instituto Agronômico. Available at: https://www.iac.sp.gov.br/areadoinstituto/posgraduacao/repositorio/storage/pb1206505. pdf. Accessed on: Jul. 28, 2023.

Duarte, F. M., Pocojeski, E., Silva, L. S., Graupe, F. A., Britzke, D. (2007). Perdas de nitrogênio por volatilização de amônia com aplicação de uréia em solo de várzea com diferentes níveis de umidade. *Ciência Rural*, 37(3), 705-711. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-84782007000300016

Duarte, F. V., Starling, M. C. V. M., Moreira, D. S., Oliveira, I. S. S. (2020). Formation of Dioxins during Energy Cogeneration by Burning Bagasse and Sugarcane Straw Fertilized with Chlorinated Compounds: State of the Art and Presentation of Alternatives. *International Journal of Environmental & Agriculture Research*, 6(5), 30-44. https:// doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3868737

EMBRAPA – Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária (2009). *Manual de métodos de análise de solos, plantas e fertilizantes*. 2ª ed. Brasília: Embrapa Informação Tecnológica. Available at: https://www.infoteca.cnptia.embrapa.br/handle/ doc/330496. Accessed on: May 9, 2023.

Ferreira, D. F. (2000). Análises estatísticas por meio do SISVAR para Windows versão 4.0. In: Reunião Anual da

Região Brasileira da Sociedade Internacional de Biometria, 55., 2000. *Anais...* São Carlos: UFSCar. p. 255-258.

Franus, M., Bandura, L. (2014). Sorption of heavy metal ions from aqueous solutions by glauconite. *Fresenlus Environmental Bulletin*, 23(3), 825-839.

Gasser, J. K. R. (1964). Urea as a fertilizer. *Soils Fertility*, 28, 175-180.

Machado, V. J. (2015). *Aplicação de fertilizantes com diferentes tecnologias: volatilização de NH*₃. Thesis (PhD). Uberlândia: Ciências Agrárias – UFU. https://doi. org/10.14393/ufu.te.2015.80

Malyovanny, M., Sakalova, S., Chomomaz, N., Nahurskyy, O. (2013). Water sorption purification from ammonium pollution. *Chemistry & Chemical Technology*, 7(3), 355-358. http://doi.org.10.23939/chcht07.03.355

MAPA-Ministério da Agricultura, Pecuária e Abastecimento (2017). *Manual de Métodos Analíticos Oficiais para Fertilizantes e Corretivos*. Brasília: MAPA, 240 p.

Martins, I. S., Cazetta, J. O., Fukuda, A. J. F. (2014). Condições, modos de aplicação e doses de ureia revestida por polímeros na cultura do milho. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Tropical*, 44(3), 271-279. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1983-40632014000300010

Moreira, D. S., Uhlein, A., Fernandes, M. L. S., Mizusaki, A. M., Galéry, R., Delbem, I. D. (2016). Estratigrafia, petrografia, e mineralização de potássio em siltitos verdes do Grupo Bambuí na região de São Gotardo, Minas Gerais. *Geociências*, 35(2), 157-171. Available at: https://www. periodicos.rc.biblioteca.unesp.br/index.php/geociencias/ article/view/11373. Accessed on: May 2, 2023.

Nader, B., Ackroyd, B. (2022). *NI 43-101 Pre-feasibility technical report Cerrado Verde Project, Minas Gerais, Brazil.* Belo Horizonte: BNA Consultoria e Sistemas. Available at: https://investor.verde.ag/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/NI-43-101-Pre-Feasibility-Technical-Report-for-the-Cerrado-Verde-Project.pdf. Accessed on: May 19, 2023. Nelson, D. W. (1982). Gaseous losses of nitrogen other than through denitritification. In: Stevenson, F. J., ed. *Nitrogen in agricultural soils*, v. 22, p. 327-363. Madison: Soil Science Society of America. https://doi.org/10.2134/ agronmonogr22.c9

Pereira, H. S., Leão, A. F., Verginassi, A., Carneiro, M. A. C. (2009). Ammonia volatilization of urea in the out-of-season corn. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo*, 33(6), 1685-1694. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-06832009000600017

Rudmin, M., Abdullayev, E., Ruban, A., Buyakov, A., Soktoev, B. (2019). Mechanochemical Preparation of Slow-Release Fertilizer Based on Glauconite–Urea Complexes. *Minerals*, 9(9), 507. https://doi.org/10.3390/ min9090507

Singla, R., Alex, T. C., Kumar, R. (2019). On mechanical activation of glauconite: physicochemical changes, alterations in cation exchange capacity and mechanisms. *Powder Technology*, 360, 337-351. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. powtec.2019.10.035

Stomaite, K., Zagorskis, A. (2014). Research into efficiency of ammonia removal from polluted air using an adsorber with glauconite packing material. In: International Conference Environmental Engineering, 9., 2014. Vilnius: Vilniaus Gediminas Technical University. p. 22-23. https://doi.org/10.3846/enviro.2014.057

Violatti, I. C. A., Gualberto, C. A. C., Silveira, L. H., Santos, G. A., Ferreira, B. C., Machado, P. M. M., Pereira, H. S. (2019). Glauconitic siltstone as multi-nutrient fertilizer for Urochloa brizantha cv. Marandú. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 13(8), 1280-1287. https://doi.org/10.21475/ajcs.19.13.08.p1609

Werneck, C. G., Breda, F. A., Zonta, E., Lima, E., Polidoro, J. C., Balieiro, F. C., Bernardi, A. C. C. (2012). Volatilização de amônia proveniente de ureia com zeólita natural. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*, 47(3), 466-470. https://doi. org/10.1590/S0100-204X2012000300020